



5.5 Focus on special characters in TIPS

#PositiveImpact

TIPS Consultative Group – 22.02.2023

Handling of XML escape characters – Executive Summary



Situation

- DB observed how TIPS and RT1 deal differently with the End-to-End Identification field validations.
- RT1 in comparison to TIPS allows the use of XML escape characters in the End-to-End ID field.
- Handling of spaces in End-to-End ID field (References, identifications and identifiers) is now mostly covered in new Rulebook version.
- To further consider how to deal with national characters.

Resulting Problems

- Client transactions with XML escape characters in End-to-End ID field, that are successfully processed in RT1, are rejected in TIPS.

Proposed Solution

- Option 1: Raise Change Request to EPC Rulebook to allow XML escape characters End-to-End-ID, resulting in harmonized processing.
- Option 2: Harmonize added value services across RT1 and TIPS for End-to-End ID.

Situation: RT1 support of XML escape characters



| Special Character | Character | XML escape |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| Ampersand | & | & |
| Less-than | < | < |
| Greater-than | > | > |
| Quotes | “ | " |
| Apostrophe | ' | ' |

2023 EPC Rulebook

TIPS and RT1 fully support EPC Rulebook defined UTF-8 Character Set.

The character set issue centers on the use of the full set characters in the message elements. Two considerations are:

- While PSPs must be allowed to use the character set currently in use at national level,
- PSPs throughout SEPA cannot be required to support the full character set used in SEPA countries.

Therefore:

- The ISO 20022 XML messages allow for the full range of global language requirements (UTF-8).
- PSPs must be able to support the Latin character set commonly used in international communication, as follows:
a b c d e f g h i j k l m n o p q r s t u v w x y z A B C D E F G H I J K L M N O P Q R S T U V W X Y Z 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 / - ? : () . , ' + Space

References, identifications and identifiers must respect the following:

- Content is restricted to the Latin character set as defined above
- Content must not start or end with a '/'
- Content must not contain '/'s Note: When a slash is used as delimiter between the attribute number (AT-XXXX) or code (ABCD) and the data content, no space is allowed directly after this slash.
- **However, there may be bilateral or multilateral agreements to support one or more sets of characters beyond the Latin character set referred to above.**

Situation: RT1 examples



XML ESCAPING CHARACTERS

As far as the usage of “XML escape” characters is concerned in the End-to-End ID:

- When the escaping character is received into the system for validation, it counts as 1 char (i.e. & counts as one character. An E2E ID with 34 chars + & is positively validated).
- In the System Database, the escaping character is then converted into its corresponding special character (i.e. & is converted into &) and counts as one character.
- In the GUI and API interface it is not shown the escaping character received in input, but the related special character (i.e. in the GUI it is shown & and not &);).
- If a Special character is received at the End to End ID, it counts as one character, this is never converted into any related escaping form and in the RSF, GUI / API interface and message that the system delivers to the RT1 counterpart after validation, is shown as a special character.

II- INPUT (with “XML escape” characters)

Pacs.008 sent: 123456789012345678901234567890123&}</EndToEndId>

Pacs.008 notified to counterpart: <EndToEndId>123456789012345678901234567890123&}</EndToEndId>

As displayed in PWS:

| End To End Id |
|-------------------------------------|
| 123456789012345678901234567890123&} |

Example for XML escape character from EBA documentation

OUTPUT

Pacs.008 as notified in RSF

<OrgnlEndToEndId>123456789012345678901234567890123&}</OrgnlEndToEndId>

Proposed Solution: 2x Options for discussion



| Option 1 | Option 2 |
|--|--|
| <p>Raise Change Request to EPC Rulebook to allow XML escape characters End-to-End-ID*, resulting in harmonized processing.</p> <p><small>*)Or even in all references and identifiers</small></p> | <p>Harmonize added value services across RT1 and TIPS for End-to-End ID field validations.</p> |



Community benefits from harmonized set of characters (in End-to-End ID field)