N	Page	Subsection	Original Text	Comment	Status	Feedback
1	38	2.3.1.4Technical sender	Each technical sender is identified by means of a certificate issued by one of the compliant NSP	Has a list of compliant NSPs been defined yet, or if not is there are target date to have this defined?	Clarification	Please address this issue to the ECB
Ē	58		Each RTGS DCA and sub-account holder needs to agree with the parties allowed to debit its accounts on the terms and conditions for using this service.			
2	30	3.2.2Functionalities		Debit messages?	Clarification	Yes
	79		The following types of payments can be submitted by an RTGS Account Holder or ancillary system and are processed in the RTGS component	Is it possible to restrict the types of payment messages that can be sent to an account, i.e can CLS be configured to ony		
3		5.1.10verview	In case of a technical system outage a RTGS Account Holder might not be in a	send/receive pacs.009 messages? What will the contingency arrangements for CLS in the new	Clarification	It is not possible to restrict the types of messages which can be sent/received. There are three types of outage which are to be taken into account here:
	84		position to send payments and to receive payments from the RTGS component	system? Will we continue to use existing mechanisms to transfer messages? Will the format of the messages be		<ul> <li>RTGS component outage: will be tackled with UDFS version 2.0.</li> <li>RTGS Actor outage: Similar as today, the actor can use the GUI for payment initiation. In this case</li> </ul>
4		5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments		updated to align with the new message types?	Clarification	CLS receives pacs.009 message. - NSP outage: out of UDFS scope
	90		Depending on their urgency of their settlement, payments can be submitted by the sender using one of the following priorities in the RTGS component	For outbound CLS payments will CLS use the 'urgent' priority?		Please refer to your central bank how the future set up of CLS should be. In case CLS as AS uses
5		5.1.6Payment priorities	Figure 15 - pacs.009 FinancialInstitutionCreditTransfer (submitted by ancillary	In this flow would CLS not receive a pacs.009 message? This	Clarification	standard payment functionality including the codeword ASTI, then priority is urgent.
	103	5.2.3.3Payments sent from an ancillary system	system)	appears to contradict with 5.3.5 - Notification to Settlement Banks		Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
ь		an anchiary system	However, for pacs.008 and pacs.009 the RTGS Account Holder A can send the	Is it possible to configure individual accounts (e.g. CLS Account)	Clarification	rigure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
	120		PaymentCancellationRequest to the RTGS and the RTGS component forwards the cancellation request to	to not allow other parties to send Revocation requests to it?		
7		5.2.6Revocation of payments	the relevant RTGS Account Holder B		Clarification	No as it is standard functionality for an RTGS DCA
	234		The CRDM common component allows duly authorised users to create and maintain reference data objects. CRDM objects specify reference data for the	CLS does not currently have UI access for Target, will CLS need to support this for the new system?		
8	2.34	6.1CRDM features	configuration of parties, cash accounts and rules and parame-ters.		Clarification	Please address this issue to the ECB within your customer relationship
9	382	14List of messages		Can CLS get access to the MyStandards pages? If so how do we request this?	Clarification	ECB project team informed.
10	72	4.20verview	table for RTGS	for clarification: we suggest to add the time-window for Liquidity Transfers (for completeness reasons).	Clarification	LTs are mentioned in 3rd line
10			Table 60	to improve readability we suggest to include the message used	Clarincation	
11	144	5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure A		to communicate broadcast or status, i.e. Admi.004 or CAMT.998	clarification	This issue is currently under discussion at L2. In order to offer A2A broadcast an approved change request is required.
	148	5.3.3Ancillary system	Table 61	to improve readability we suggest to include the message used to communicate broadcast or status, i.e. Admi.004 or		This issue is currently under discussion at L2. In order to offer A2A broadcast an approved change
12	153	settlement procedure B 5.3.4.1Ancillary system	Table 63	CAMT.998 to improve readibility we suggest to include the message used	clarification	request is required.
13	153	settlement procedure C	use of standard pmts functionality	to communicate rejections (camt.025 SUNS) as discussed under review.	clarification	Table 63 is on a generic level and does not contain details on message level.
	169	5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using				
14		payments	Table 70	to improve readability we suggest to include the second	clarification	Level 2 discussion ongoing
	172	5.3.60ptional connected	14010 70	to improve readability we suggest to include the message used to communicate broadcast or status, i.e. Admi.004 or CAMT.998		This issue is currently under discussion at L2. In order to offer A2A broadcast an approved change
15		mechanisms	Table 71	to improve readability we suggest to include the message used	clarification	request is required.
16	172	5.3.6Optional connected mechanisms		to communicate broadcast or status, i.e. Admi.004 or CAMT.998	clarification	This issue is currently under discussion at L2. In order to offer A2A broadcast an approved change request is required.
	172	5.3.60ptional connected	Table 71 Step 3	For completeness reasons, the various guarantee schemas should be described (if not in that chapter, then as annex).		
17		mechanisms	Table 117 (parameters)	we have commented in CLM-UDFS that we feel the parameters	clarification	The guarantee scheme details are out of RTGS scope.
				are not deemed sufficient. We need parameters per account (e.g. RTGS DCA, MCA) but also a differentiation on		
	264			transaction nature (e.g. Liquidity Transfers, AS Transactions, Overnight).		
		6.1.4.2Message subscription parameter		In general: relevant chapters of UDFS RTGS and UDFS CLM need to be alighed.		
18	671	types 15.1Index of business rules	pge 689 ff	the AS related parts should also mention the message used	Accepted	
19	671	and error codes 15.1Index of business rules	table 268	(e.g. camt.998 for status) to improve readability we suggest to segregate table with the	Clarification	Further information will be delivered in the next UDFS version
20	0/1	and error codes	signatures	scenarios for CBs into a different chapter. We suggest to describe if (and where) this deviates from	Clarification	Further adaptions will be delivered in the next UDFS version
21	737	15.6Message type 2: single ISO 20022 message		today's practice in T2S (since T2S is using BAH)	Rejected	The UDFS RTGS focusses on RTGS only and does not provide any comparison with T2S.
	51		last sentence under Direct participants:" they are responsible for all cash transfers sent	Which messages fall unter the term "cash transfer". We would appreciate a definition in the UDFS as to which messages are		
22		3.1.4Participation types	Direct Debit Mandate, first sentence: "The direct debit functionality in RTGS can	included under this term.	Clarification	See glossary
			be used by RTGS DCA ans sub-acount holders as well as ancillary systems."	are needed for AS. The provided answer was: "Direct debit mandates are not needed for AS." As the AS are still mentioned		
	58			under 3.2.2 we would appreciate an explanation in this chapter as to when a direct debit mandate in the context of AS is		
				needed and when it is not needed.		
23		3.2.2Functionalities	The following outgoing messages are subject to message subscription	Here camt.004 and camt.019 are listed. Are they not	Clarification	Section was updated to be more precise.
	62			mandatory and therefore do not require a message		
24				subscription? See also 6.1.4.2 that gives an "exhaustive list of		
25		3.2.3 Messaging		parameter types" and does not include camt.004 and camt.019.	Accepted	
	62		Table 9 Table 10	parameter types" and does not include camt.004 and camt.019.	Accepted Accepted	
( II	62			parameter types" and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see aboce comment for camt.004 and camt.019		
26			Table 10	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.034 and camt.019. please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are missing Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9. <sup>5</sup> furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. <sup>5</sup>		
26		3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups		parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. We are missing Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9 <sup>2</sup> , furthermore, such RTGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. <sup>3</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in	Accepted	
26	65	3.2.3Messaging	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services."	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D08 and cant. D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 Was are missing Account Monitoring forough in this table. See also page 55 below figure 92 Furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and or or many account monitoring groups. <sup>2</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be thace save with the start of the Target services in November 2022? If not, the wording should reflect that situation.	Accepted	Allignment of maintenance window is still subject to discussions.
	65	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are mixing Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9: " furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups." The aim is to align the maintenance window. Cam we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2023? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of panding paymentS1 will table the beaymentStatusBeport? In Mixel message.	Accepted	Allignment of maintenance window is still subject to discussions.
	65	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services."	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D04 and cant.D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 Was are mising Account Monitoring forough in this table. See also page 55 below figure 92 Furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and or or many account monitoring groups. <sup>2</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be that case with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport	Accepted	Allignment of maintenance window is still subject to discussions.
	65 76	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments"	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D08 and cant. D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 Was are missing Account Monitoring (forougin in this table. See also page 55 below fligur. 9° Furthermore, each HTGS DCA may be linked to one or mary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that dituation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of pending payments? Will that be the Dayment StatusReport? In 14.5.1.It is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection. Notification and Payment Set Wordins.	Accepted	Allignment of maintenance window is still subject to discussions.
27	65 76	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode)	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services."	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D08 and cant. D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 Was are missing Account Monitoring forough in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9° Furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or mary Lquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be surre that this will be tace awith the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that dutation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Hyment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Set Notification. Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Why 17.020 is the mentioned cut-off the customer payment	Accepted Accepted Clarification	
27	65 76	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. Please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are missing Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 55 belowing fugue 9. <sup>2</sup> fruthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. <sup>3</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Cam we be sur- than this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2022.1? I not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we nocheve in case there is a rejection of List stated that the PaymentStatut@point is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment SetUment Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments?	Accepted Accepted Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1
27	65 76 76 77	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD 4.4Dependencies to other services or components	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00."	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are missing Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 35 below figure 3'. Furthermore, each RFGS OCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account Monitoring groups. <sup>2</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Cam we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2023? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payments Rejection.Notification and Payment Set Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments?	Accepted Accepted Clarification	
27	65 76 76	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD 4.4Dependencies to other	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are miscing Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9: " furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account Monitoring groups." The aim is to align the maintenance window. Cam we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2023? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payments Payment Notification. Day Payment Set Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Why 17:00? Is the mentioned cut-off the customer payment cut-off if yes, please explain the connectivity between this cut- off and the prograadion. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that delay the data progragion? Is the receiver of this message always the BIC of the credited party? Please give an example for clarification.	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS,
27	65 76 76 77	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD 4.4Dependencies to other services or components 5.1.5.2Backup liquidity redistribution payments	Table 10 first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00."	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are missing Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 55 below figure 3'. Furthermore, each RFGS DCA may be linked to one or many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account Monitoring Groups. <sup>2</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Cam we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2023? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payments Rejection.Notification and Payment Set Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Why 17:00? Is the mentioned cut-off the customer payment cut-off if yes, please explain the connectivity between this cut- off and the prograadion. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that delay the data propagation? Is the receiver of this message always the BIC of the credited party? Please give an example for darGiration. We are delighted that the flagure shows a camt.054 credit missed of a pace.000, Unfortunated yes for 545 credit.	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T23 and ECMS are under evaluation.
27	65 76 76 77 87	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3AEoD 4.3AEoD 4.3AEoD 4.3AEoD 5.1.5.2Backup liquidity redistribution payments sc.3.3Payments sent from an ancillary system	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D04 and cant. D19. please see abore comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 We are mising Account Monitoring Group in this table. See also page 55 below figure 92 "furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or mary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups." The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be tace see with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Set Notification. Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Vity JTOOP is the mentioned cut-off the cut-off is delayed? Will that be the baymentStatusReport is to find the propagation. What will happen if the cut-off is the receiver of this message always the BiC of the credited payr/ Please groups on a canning for Carlification. We are delighted that the flagre shows a cant.DSC redit instead of a pacolo. Unfortunately planet 5.3.5 still uses pac.SLO9 and not cam:054 credit. Why the difference between SL3.3 and 3.3.57	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T23 and ECMS are under evaluation.
27 28 29 30	65 76 76 77 87	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 5.1.5.2Backup liquidity redistribution payments 5.2.3.3Payments sent from	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:30." Table 24 Figure 15 Figure 16	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see abore comment for camt.014 and camt.019 We are mising Account Monitoring forough in this table. See also page 55 below figure 92 "furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or mary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups." The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Set Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Vity 17.007 is the mentioned cut-off the cutcomer payment cut-017f Vitys, plase explain the connectivity between this cut- off and the propagation. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that the Paymaths? Is the receiver of this message always the BiC of the credited payr/ Plases give an example for Carlification. We are delighted that the flagure shows a camt.054 credit instead of a paccido. Uniortunately planet 5.3 still uses pac.000 and not camt.054 credit. Why the difference between \$2.33 and 3.357 Please see aboree comment for Figure 15	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T2S and ECMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
27 28 29 30 31	65 76 76 77 87 102	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 5.1.5.2Bachu liquidity redistribution payments 5.2.3.3Payments sent from an anciliary system 5.3.3.3Payments sent from	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.D08 and camt.D19. please see abore comment for camt.D04 and camt.D19 We are mising Account Monitoring forough in this table. See also page 55 below figure 92 "furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups." The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport 1 colf 71 yes, plasse explain the concertivity between this cuc- off and the propagation. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that de bet by the data propagation? Is the receiver of this message always the BLG of the credited payr/ Plasse give an example for clarification. We are delighted that the flagure shows a camt.D3C redit instead of a paccido. Uniortunately and parts 15.3 till uses pac.S00 and not camt.D3C redit. Why the difference between \$2.3.3 and \$3.3.5? Please see abore comment for figure 15 See also flagure 28 which states a SBTI not pacc.0958TI. We though the SBTI which states a SBTI not pacc.0958TI. We	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T2S and ECMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
27 28 29 30 31	65 76 76 77 87 102 102	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 5.1.5.2Bachu liquidity redistribution payments 5.2.3.3Payments sent from an anciliary system 5.3.3.3Payments sent from	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15 Figure 15 Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D04 and cant. D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 Wa are missing Account Monitoring foroup in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9° + furthermore, each HTGS DCA may be linked to one or mary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. <sup>4</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2023. <sup>1</sup> for ot, the wording should reflect that situation. 1.5. It is started that the PaymentStatueReport in used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Settlement Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Why 17:007 is the meentioned cu-off the customer payment cu-off? If yes, Beese oplain the connectivity between this cu- off and the propagation. What will happen if the cu-off is delayed? Will that the RymentStatueReport is set off? If yes, Desse oplain the connectivity between this cu-off? If yes, Desse oplain the connectivity between this cu- off and the propagation. What will happen if the cu-off is delayed? Will that the Rigure Shows a camt.D54 credit instead of a pacs.009. Unfortunately chapter 5.3.5.311 uses pacs.009 and not cum.D54 credit yes defause propagation? See also Rigure 28 which states SUTI not pacs.00588TI. We	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T2S and ECMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
27 28 29 30 31	65 76 76 77 87 102	3.2.3Messaging 3.3Types of groups 4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 4.3.4EoD 5.1.5.2Bachu liquidity redistribution payments 5.2.3.3Payments sent from an anciliary system 5.3.3.3Payments sent from	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15 Figure 15 Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D04 and cant. D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 Ware an ensing Account Monitoring foroup in this table. See also page 55 below fligure 9° Furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one or mary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we neckve in case there is a rejection of pending payments? Will Table be the PaymentSaturukegon? In pending payments? Will Table the the PaymentSaturukegon? In payment Rejection Notification and Payment Setting Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Setting Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Setting to ff and the procagation: A will happen if the cut-off is desiyad? Will that be the as a cant.D54 credit instead of a pacs.009. Unfortunately chapter 3.3.5 still uses pass.009 and not cant.D54 credit. Why the difference between 5.2.3.3 and 5.3.5? Pake see above comment for figure 15 See also figure 28 which states a SSTI not pacs.005STI. We though the SSTI not pacs.005STI. We shore set as an orang Data will should be the start See also figure 28 which states a SSTI not pacs.005STI. We though the SSTI not pacs.005 and not 7.02 to technical accounts Note: Payments War T1.03 and MT 202 to technical accounts Note: Naments and TS and MT 3.02 to technical accounts Note: Sate and and Data will Start as a start not main business	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS T25 and ECMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
27 28 29 30 31 32	65 76 76 77 87 102 102	3.2.3Messaging     3.3Types of groups     4.3.3MWI (maintenance     periode)     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     5.1.5.2Backing liquidity     redistribution payments     5.2.3.3Payments sent from     an ancillary system     5.3.4.1Ancillary system	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15 Figure 15 Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see abore comment for camt.014 and camt.019 We are mising Account Monitoring forough in this table. See also page 55 below figure 95 'furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of Harding payments? Will table the baymentStatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Set With y TAOO's is the mentioned cut-off the customer payment Coff and the propagation. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that de the BaymentStatusReport is the receiver of this message always the BIC of the credited payr/ Please give an example for clarification. We are delighted that the flagure shows a camt.04 credit instead of a paccido. Uniortimately and 5.3.5 till uses pac.000 and not camt.054 credit. Why the difference between page.3.000 and not camt.054 credit. Why the difference between page.3.2001 and the tamt.058 mill that usued today for Als ferat-line. Today UDFs book X version 11.0.1. states Whole: Payments with 103 and MT 2020 toteholical accounts	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS T2S and ECMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
27 28 29 30 31	65 76 76 77 87 102 102	3.2.3Messaging     3.3Types of groups     4.3.3MWI (maintenance     periode)     4.3.4EoD     4.4Dependencies to other     services or components     5.1.5.2Backup liquidity     redistribution payments     5.2.3.3Payments sent from     an ancillary system     5.3.4.1Ancillary system     settlement procedure C	Table 10  first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services."  Actions: " Rejection of pending payments"  Actions: " Rejection of pending payments"  Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00."  Table 24  Figure 15  Figure 15  Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank Transferinitiation (SBTI)  Table 67 "The credited settlement bank receives the pacs.009 as created by the	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are missing Account Monitoring foroup in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9° +furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one rmary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance without transfer Groups and one bowenber 2021? In or, the wording should reflect that situation. Minich message will we neckve in case there is a registion of panding payments? Will hat be the PaymentStatuReport in UNICh message will we neckve in case there is a registion of panding payments? Will hat be the PaymentStatuReport in 16.3. It is stated that the PaymentStatuReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatuReport Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Whi 37.002? Is been mentioned cut-off the customer payment coff? If yes, please explain the connectivity between this cut- off and the propagation. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that delay the data propagation? Its the receiver of this message always the BIC of the credited party?Please give an accum.054 credit linetaed of a pacs.009. Unformately chapter 3.3.5.311 uses acs.009 and not cam.054 credit linetaed of a chard by the difference between S.2.3.3 and S.3.5? See also figure 28 which states a SBTI not pacs.009SBTI. We thought the SBTI would be the same SBTI hot is used tody for AS for earl-time. Source should be version 1.0.0.1.stendical accounts but in contingency situations only." Please explain whethers SDT will will change compared to tody's version. Please compare to 5.2.3.3 where the banks would received the Please compare to 5.2.3.3 where the banks would received the	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS T25 and ECMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
27 28 29 30 31 32	65 76 76 77 87 102 102	3.2.3Messaging     3.3Types of groups     4.3.3MWI (maintenance     periode)     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     5.1.5.2Backing liquidity     redistribution payments     5.2.3.3Payments sent from     an ancillary system     5.3.4.1Ancillary system	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services.* Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15 Figure 15 Figure 16 Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank Transferintiation (SRT)	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D04 and cant.D19. please see above comment for cant.D04 and cant.D19 Was are missing Account Monitoring forougin in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9°- furthermore, each HTGS DCA may be linked to one or mary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. <sup>3</sup> The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in November 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that distation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.5.1 it is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment Set Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Why 17.002 is the mentioned cut-off the customer payment votification dely the data propagation? Is the receiver of this message for darification and 5.2.5 still uses pasc.O90 and not amu.D54 are fully that the the Payment Set Set and 5.3.5? Please ae above comment for figure 15 See also figure 28 which states a StIT not pasc.00958TI. We thought the StIT would be the same StIT that is used today for ASI of easi-time. Today UDFS book 1 Version 11.0.1. states Those Payments Nations on YP Neese explain whether SSIT wall change compared to today's version.	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, TZS and ICMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Compared to today a different message will be used and in principle todays functionality will apply.
27 28 29 30 31 32	65 76 76 77 87 102 102 153 169	3.2.3Messaging     3.3Types of groups     4.3.3MWi (maintenance     periode)     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     5.1.5.2Backup liquidity     redistribution payments     5.2.3.3Payments sent from     an ancillary system     5.3.4.1Ancillary system     settlement procedure C     5.3.5Processing of ancillary	Table 10  first paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services."  Actions: " Rejection of pending payments"  Actions: " Rejection of pending payments"  Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00."  Table 24  Figure 15  Figure 15  Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank Transferinitiation (SBTI)  Table 67 "The credited settlement bank receives the pacs.009 as created by the	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include camt.004 and camt.019. please see above comment for camt.004 and camt.019 We are missing Account Monitoring foroup in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9° +furthermore, each RTGS DCA may be linked to one rmary Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance without transfer Groups and one bowenber 2021? In or, the wording should reflect that situation. Minich message will we neckve in case there is a registion of panding payments? Will hat be the PaymentStatuReport in UNICh message will we neckve in case there is a registion of panding payments? Will hat be the PaymentStatuReport in 16.3. It is stated that the PaymentStatuReport is used for Payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatuReport Notification.Does that message also apply to Pending Payments? Whi 37.002? Is been mentioned cut-off the customer payment coff? If yes, please explain the connectivity between this cut- off and the propagation. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that delay the data propagation? Its the receiver of this message always the BIC of the credited party?Please give an accum.054 credit linetaed of a pacs.009. Unformately chapter 3.3.5.311 uses acs.009 and not cam.054 credit linetaed of a chard by the difference between S.2.3.3 and S.3.5? See also figure 28 which states a SBTI not pacs.009SBTI. We thought the SBTI would be the same SBTI hot is used tody for AS for earl-time. Source should be version 1.0.0.1.stendical accounts but in contingency situations only." Please explain whethers SDT will will change compared to tody's version. Please compare to 5.2.3.3 where the banks would received the Please compare to 5.2.3.3 where the banks would received the	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T2S and ECNS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33	65 76 76 77 87 102 102 153 169 172	3.2.3Messaging     3.3Types of groups     4.3.3MWi (maintenance     periode)     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     5.1.5.28acture liquidity redistribution payments     5.1.3.3Payments sent from     an ancillary system     s.3.3Payments sent from     an ancillary system     5.3.4.1Ancillary system     5.3.5Processing of ancillary     system transactions using     payments     5.3.5Processing of ancillary     system transactions using     payments	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15 Figure 15 Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank Transferintiation (SRTI) Table 67 "The credited settlement bank receives the pacs.009 as created by the ancillary system."	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D04 and cant. D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 We are missing Account Monitoring foroup in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9° Furthermore, each HTGS DCA may be linked to one many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in Noember 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that situation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of payment Rejection Notification and Payment SatusReport? In 14.3.1 It is stated that the PaymentSatusReport is used for "Agments? Will that be the PaymentSatusReport is used for "Agment Rejection Notification and Payment SatusReport is 14.5.1 It is stated that the PaymentSatusReport is used for "Agments? Will That be the PaymentSatusReport is used for "Agment Rejection Notification and Payment SatusReport is 14.5.1 It is stated that the PaymentSatusReport is used for "Agment Rejection Notification and Payment SatusReport is used for "Agments?" Will J 2007 Is the mentioned cut-off the customer payment cut-off? If yse, please explain the connectivity between this cut- off and the propagation. What will happen if the cut-off is delayed? Will that the flagure should context the state delayed that the flagure should not the credited party? Please give an example for clarification. Sato 30 and on Cam. D54 credit. Note a delayed and that the flagure should not between 5.2.3.3 and 5.3.5? Please see above comment for flagure 15 Sate also flagure 28 which states SSTI not pass.0058/FII. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.0058/FII. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.0058/FII. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.0058/FII. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.0058/FII. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.0058/FII. We though the SSTI word	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T2S and ECMS are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Compared to today a different message will be used and in principle todays functionality will apply. Chapter 5.2.3.3 is updated in line with the information provided here. This issue is currently under discussion at L2. In order to offer A2A broadcast an approved change request is regured.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 33 34	65 76 76 77 87 102 102 153 169	3.2.3Messaging     3.3Types of groups     4.3.3MWI (maintenance     periode)     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     4.3.4EoD     5.1.5.2Backing liquidly     redistribution payments     5.2.3.3Payments sent from     an ancillary system     5.3.4.1Ancillary system     settlement procedure C     5.3.5Processing of ancillary     ystem transactions using     payments     5.3.6Dpfional connected	Table 10 Inst paragraph, second sentence: The timing of the maintenance windows is also synchronized across all services." Actions: " Rejection of pending payments" Data propagation from CRDM, sentence: "Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00." Table 24 Figure 15 Figure 15 Figure 16 Chapter Liquidity Provision on page 162 "sending pacs.009 SettlementBank Transferintiation (SRT) Table 67 "The credited settlement bank receives the pacs.009 as created by the ancillary system."	parameter types <sup>2</sup> and does not include cant. D04 and cant. D19. please see above comment for cant. D04 and cant. D19 We are missing Account Monitoring foroup in this table. See also page 55 below figure 9° Furthermore, each HTGS DCA may be linked to one many Liquidity transfer Groups and one or many account monitoring groups. " The aim is to align the maintenance window. Can we be sure that this will be the case with the start of the Target services in Noember 2021? If not, the wording should reflect that diatation. Which message will we receive in case there is a rejection of payment Rejection Notification and Payment StatusReport? In 14.3.1 It is stated that the PaymentStatusReport is used for "Agments? Will That be the PaymentStatusReport is used for "Agment Rejection Notification and Payment Set Variantion. Does that message also apply to Pending Agments? Why 17.000's the mentioned cut-off the customer payment votification. Does that message also apply to the cut-off is delayed? Will that be the PaymentStatusReport is used for "Agments? Why 17.000's the mentioned cut-off the customer payment votification and Payments? Is the receiver of this message always the BLC of the credited party? Please give an example for clarification We are delighted that the figure bases cant. D54 credit instead of a pacs.009. Unfortunately chapter 5.3.3 still uses pass.009 and not and US2 to tendition to the S2.3.3 Please see above comment for figure 15 See also figure 28 which states SSTI not pass.009SSTI. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.009SSTI. We though US5 book thates a SSTI not pass.005SSTI. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.005SSTI. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.005SSTI. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.005SSTI. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.005SSTI. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.005SSTI. We though the SSTI word has the same SSTI not pass.005SSTI. We though the S	Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	A pacs.002 will be send - see 10.4.1 The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TPS, T23 and CLM3 are under evaluation. Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. Compared to today a different message will be used and in principle todays functionality will apply. Chapter 5.2.3.3 is updated in line with the information provided here. This issue is currently under discussion at 12. In order to offer A2A broadcast an approved change

		5.3.6Optional connected	Used messages	admi.007 is mentioned under used messages but not shown in		Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are
38	172	mechanisms	-	figure 31	clarification	described in chapter 10.
		5.4.2.3.4Liquidity transfer from DCA in different	Note under figure 35	The note states that the detailed functionality of TIPS and CLM are out of scope of this UDFS. Will the Liquidity Transfers from		
	188	settlement service to a DCAof the RTGS component		TIPS and T2S via CLM TA to another DCA be possible at the start of the new T2 Service or will maybe just liquidity transfers		
39				to CLM be possible?	clarification	The dependencies are currently under investigation and the Level 2 discussion is ongoing.
	212		Table 93 line partially settled	Does partially settled apply to all Cash Transfers? Please see also our comment on 3.1.4 where we asked which messages		
40	212	5.5.1.3Status management process		fall under the definition of the term Cash Transfer.	Clarification	Only some types of liquidity transfers are subject to "partially settled" status. As this section clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities.
			Table 97 line Broadcast Query	the decision from the last TCCG as to provide an optional A2A	clamication	
41	231	5.5.3.3Query management process for RTGS		broadcast message has to be included in the table.	Clarification	This issue is currently under discussion at L2. In order to offer A2A broadcast an approved change request is required.
	231	5.5.3.3Query management	Table 97 lines File Query and Task Query	please list the used messages for these queries so that we can decide whether U2A is sufficient or maybe A2A is needed.		
42		process for RTGS	general comment		Clarification	Both features are U2A only.
	231	5.5.3.3Query management	general comment	please provide a link in this chapter to table 134 A2A messages for query processing. That would be very helpful.		
43		process for RTGS	second item in the list: 2 a validity period, specified by a mandatory initial date	UDFS should mention that the message subscription will not	Accepted	This is true in general for data that is propagated to other Services as described in section 6.1.5.6
44	263	6.1.4.1Message subscription configuration	of validity"	become active at the earliest as of the next business day.	Clarification	"Common Reference Data Propagation". The references to validity periods in the CRDM section are valid within CRDM with reference to the CRDM business date.
		6.1.4.2Message	Table 117	a message identifier for the used messages would be helpful	clamication	wind wronin endow when reference to the endow dualities dure.
45	264	subscription parameter types		(e.g. pacs.002, camt.054)	Accepted	
46	354	11.1.1.1.1Reference data objects	Figure 88	Shouldn't admi.007 be a conditional message instead of an optional message?	Clarification	admi.007 is returned only in case of rejection upon technical validation
	357	11.1.1.2.1Reference data	Figure 89	Shouldn't admi.007 be a conditional message instead of an		
47		query message coverage	"The ReceiptAcknowledgement message is sent by ESMIG"	optional message? Here the sender is ESMIG whereas tables 137,139 and figures	Clarification	admi.007 is returned only in case of rejection upon technical validation In case of invalid signature, Timeout and Oversized data, the admi.007 is sent out by ESMIG, as
	362	11.2.1.4.3ReceiptAcknowle dgement (admi.007.001.01)		88 and 89 state the the message is sent by CRDM. Please clarify.		correctly reported by chapter 11.2.1.4.3, while in case of faillure upon technical validation the admi.007 is set out by the CRDM Interface, as described in the tables 137,139 and figures 88 and
48			Eleure 07	the example is a comt 012 more age. There are not used in	Clarification	89.
	737	15.6Message type 2: single	Figure 97	the example is a semt.013 message. These are not used in RTGS. Please provide an example that would occur in RTGS.		
49		ISO 20022 message	general comment	It would be very helpful for the future implementation of the	Clarification	Example will be provided in UDFS V 1.1
50	737	15.6Message type 2: single ISO 20022 message		BAH if the deviations from today's BAH as used in T2S were to be described.	Clarification	The UDFS RTGS focusses on RTGS only and does not provide any comparison with T2S.
UC		orr message	For this reason, CRDM prevents two different parties to be defined as RTGS	Each RTGS DCA must be identified by a seperate 11-caracter	-initiaciUli	the research of the the second provide only comparison with 123.
51	48	3.1.1Setup of parties	Participant or ancillary system if	BIC. It should be cleary described how this belongs to "party", "participants" and "account holder".	Accepted	
	58		For each RTGS Account Holder CRDM manages the information about the direct debit(s) this RTGS DCA or sub-account holder hast authorised and the related	To clariy: no mandate is needed - correct?		
52	-00	3.2.2Functionalities	attributes		Clarification	Section redrafted
53	62	3.2.3Messaging	Table 9: Applicable parameter types for outgoing messages	After the TCCG meeting from Oct. the 9.th the table has to be updated with details	Clarification	Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary.
			The table below provides the overview of the main windows during the business day RTGS.	The table has to be named e.g. table xx. Also missing the timewindow for Liquidity Transfers. Or is the time-window only		
	72	4.44	······································	part of the CLM Document (table on page 70)?		Table is named, please see next page
54	77	4.20verview 4.4Dependencies to other	Data propagation from CRDM	The changes have to be distributed till 17:00? Today the till	Clarification	LTs are mentioned in 3rd line The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS,
55		services or components	in general	time or cut off time is later for distributing changes. To clarify: this functionality will be implemented for the go-live	Clarification	T2S and ECMS are under evaluation.
		5.4.2.3.4Liquidity transfer from DCA in different	n Beneron	or later?		
	188	settlement service to a DCAof the RTGS component				
56		5.5.1.3Status management	Table 93	To clarify: a payment can never be partially settled (part of	Clarification	The dependencies are currently under investigation and the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Only some types of liquidity transfers are subject to "partially settled" status. As this section
57	212	process		Warehoused, Earmarked, Queued, like the sentence in the BDD a sentence that the former co-	Clarification	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities.
	234		in general	management functionality is now be part of the access rights.		
58	234	6.1.20verview		Inlcuding a table, which access right is also a part of the co- management (including table 98 to 103).	Clarification	Co-management will not be defined using privileges but rather with specific data attributes at account level.
			Figure 10 - Settlement windows	Can you confirm that the only settlement windows that starts at 03:00 a.m. are for bank to bank payments and for customer		
	75			payments. All other settlements start from 02:30 a.m. (CBOs,		This time slot is under discussion with the market. Therefore the required information will be
59		4.3.2Settlement windows	RTGS standing orders are instructions of a settlement bank to transfer regularly	Ancillary Systems,). In order to create/modify these different kind of standing	Clarification	provided in UDFS v 1.1
			a fixed amount from its RTGS DCA to I the CLM main account	orders, it will be used only a specific message type, or different ones? For example, now we use a:		
			l another RTGS DCA l another service	ModifyStandingOrder (camt.024) -> to create/modify standing		
	303		I an ancillary system sub-account (ancillary system type C, interfaced model) or	orders to T2S DCA / sub-accounts		
			I an ancillary system technical account (ancillary system type D, real-time model).	ModifyStandingOrderMirror (camt.998) -> to create/modify		
60		10.2.4Execute RTGS standing order		standing order Technical account - procedure 6 real-time.		Please see updated process descriptions
60		standing order		General comment: Please ensure overall consistency between	Clarification	Prease see updated process descriptions
61	33	10verview of RTGS component		the information provided in the different parts of the UDFS. This is currently not the case.	Accepted	
	33		The A2A communication between credit institutions and the RTGS component are based on the ISO 20022 (international organisation for standardisation)	Using the term "credit institutions" is not entirely correct as also other entities are able to hold an RTGS DCA - provided		
62	33	10verview of RTGS component	compliant messages.	that the same access criteria as today will apply.	Accepted	
	33	10verview of RTGS	A party may open more than one RTGS DCA for a dedicated purpose, depending on its business needs (e.g. for ancillary system transactions, for the	Is there a difference between the term "party" and the term "RTGS account holder"?		Yes, there is. The party is defined as legal entity or organisation interacting with T2. The RTGS
63		component	payment business of a branch/entity). The business day management offers the schedule and calendar for all	This is a separate common component and should be in a	Clarification	account holder is a party holding one or more RTGS DCAs.
	33	10verview of RTGS	components and currencies. This is a separate common component and should be in a separate paragraph and not mixed with the DWH.	separate paragraph and not mixed with the DWH.		
64			DNs are uniquely linked to digital certificates, which RTGS Actors assign to their		Accepted	
65	36	2.2Authentication and authorisation process	individuals (interacting with RTGS in U2A mode) or applications (interacting with RTGS in A2A mode).	is used and sometimes party. Therefore, it needs to be explained what is meant with RTGS Actor.	Accepted	
66	41	2.6Routing	Reports are messages that intend to provide data in push mode from CLM/RTGS in store-n-forward mode	Based on the URD we understand that also "pull" is possible. Please confirm.	Clarification	The pull functionality for reports is reflected in query description via Account statement query, i.e. 5.5.3 Query management for RTGS.
ob		∠.onouting	Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical	As regards the reports this is not in line with the information	Ciarritation	a sa quary menegement for K103.
			address the message shall be sent to. RTGS will identify the channel (message- based or file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent.	provided during the last TCCG (see outcome "In terms of report subscription, the project teams confirmed that the		
				account holder can configure several subscriptions for the same report at the same moment of time that shall be routed		
	41			to different technical addresses.") Please update the section in line with the information		
				confirmed during the TCCG. In case this paragraph does not		
				refer to reports, please add some information how reports are handled.		The aim of multiple report receivers is achived via the report configuration. Please see "3.2.3 Messaeine" (Report configuration) : "Report configuration shall also allow a RTCS Actor to
67		2.6Routing			Clarification	Messaging" (Report configuration).: "Report configuration shall also allow a RTGS Actor to configure another RTGS Actor to receive the report either instead or in addition."
			Table 1	The table is incomplete/misleading (i) As T2S and ECMS is mentioned also TIPS needs to be added.		
				Moreover as ECMS is mentioned here for the first time, it might be useful to add what the abbreviation ECMS means		
				(ii) CRDM is not only part of T2 and T2S, so maybe it is easier to have only one line and say in the first row of this line "TARGET		
	45			Services" or something lilke this		
				(iii) Whe assume that this table will be enhanced in UDFS 2.0 by mentioning all other common components. Please confirm.		
68		2.6.1.1Inbound routing	T2 Actors that interact with RTGS are defined as different parties in CRDM.	This is information is confusing:	Clarification	see updated document
				<li>(i) As this is the RTGS UDFS, I do not understand why you refer to "T2" (ie including CLM and RTGS)</li>		
				(ii) According to the URD for common components you have a		
	48			party, a party type (eg RTGS account holder) and the related service. What exactly is meant here with "Actor"? How fit the		
				URD and the UDFS together? In case the term RTGS party shall be used, it would be good to		
				explain what is meant with this term.		
69		3.1Parties			Accepted	

			T-bl- 2 D-b. (DTCCbl-lb)	According to the information provided here, the party types		
	48		Table 2 Party (RTGS participant)	"indirect participant", "multi-addressee" and "addressable BIC" are not a dedicated party type in CRDM. How is it possible to identify these participation types in CRDM (egfor the RTGS		
70		3.1.1Setup of parties	Table 2 Party (RTGS participant)	directory)? Please explain. According to the latest version of the URD it is no longer RTGS participant but RTGS Account Holder (see SHRD.UR.BDD.020).	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
	48			Please note that according to the information provided in the TSWG, the CR 0002 is already reflected in the UDFS. In case CR 0002 will be reflected in version 2.0 only, please clarify this.		
71		3.1.1Setup of parties	Table 2 Party (RTGS participant)	Question for clarification: According to the information provided here, the setup of	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
72	48	3.1.1Setup of parties		parties in CRDM is only possible in U2A. So there will be different CRDM functionality for T2S (see table 4 in the T2S UDFS) and RTGS available. Correct?	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1
			RTGS imposes a constraint in the assignment of BICs related to its parties, due to the fact that the settle-ment process must be able to determine the account	According to our understanding the Static Data Module of T2S sis used for CRDM. In T2S a party is defined via Parent and Party		
73	48	3.1.1Setup of parties	to be debited and credited by a payment based on the BICs of the RTGS Account Holder.	BIC. Is this concept discarded with the T2/T2S consolidation?	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
13			Different RTGS Account Holders or ancillary systems must be assigned to a unique BIC.	Please note that it is perfectly fine that each RTGS DCA needs to be identified by a separate BIC11.	clarification	
	48		unque brc.	However, the sentence not clear: Do you mean:		
				"Every RTGS Account Holders or ancillary systems must be assigned to a unique BIC"?		
74		3.1.1Setup of parties	Therefore, in order to allow a given party to be defined as two different RTGS	In the current URD there is only RTGS Account Holder	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
			Account Holders or ancillary systems (e.g. by the same CB or by two different CBs), the same party must be defined in CRDM as two RTGS Participants which	mentioned. Please check.		
			will be identified by two different 11-character BICs.	Owing to the deviating terminology, the information provided in the sentence is not clear.		
				My understanding – based on the URD – is as follows: In CRDM, I set up one party. This party wants to use RTGS.		
				Therefore, the party type (eg) RTGS Account holder using the "service" RTGS is defined for this party. Then it is possible to		
				define one RTGS DCA thereunder. Please note that it is perfectly fine that each RTGS DCA needs		
	48			to be identified by a separate BIC11.		
				Can a party identified by an BIC11 in CRDM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder have more		
				than one DCA? Taking into account that it was agreed to identify each RTGS		
				DCA by a different BIC11 and use to the deviating terminology used, this is currently not clear.		
				Please provide some details how it is setup.		
75		3.1.1Setup of parties	RTGS Participants represent RTGS Actors that own accounts (RTGS DCA and/or	How does this fit to the agreement that "	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
			RTGS Failed pairs represent RTGS Accors that own accounts (RTGS bockand) or RTGS sub-accounts) in RTGS and are identified by a BIC11.	Each BIC11 can be assigned to only one RTGS DCA, but the participant can assign the same BIC11 to accounts in other		
	49			services " The information provided here refers to the account holder but		
				not to the account. Please refer also to our other comments and provide some information how it is ensured that I have a		
76		3.1.2Concept of party in RTGS		separate BIC11 per RTGS DCA.	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
			Only supervised credit institutions established in the EEA and EU CBs participating directly in RTGS are allowed to intermediate for credit institutions	Question for clarification: Today only direct participant using SWIFT are allowed to		
	51		in the EEA to have their liquidity settled without connecting directly to it.	register indirect participants etc Will in the future direct participants using the easy, cost efficient access also be able to		The technical setup does not require a restriction. Nonetheless it is a level 2 decision to decide
77		3.1.4Participation types	As soon as a RTGS Account Holder or an ancillary system is blocked at party	register indirect participants or not? Coming back to the question raised above, please explain how	Clarification	about this.
			level, all linked cash accounts across all settlement services/components are blocked too.	many RTGS DCAs (each identified by a different BIC11) are possible per RTGS Account holder.		
	52			Please explain how many RTGS DCAs (each RTGS DCA identified by a separate BIC11) can be linked to a party setup in		
	52	3.1 5Blocking/unblocking		identified by a separate BIC11) can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to		
78		3.1.5Blocking/unblocking party	In case there are several RTGS DCAs linked to one MCA in CLM, only one of the	Identified by a separate BIC11) can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1.	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
78	52		In case there are several ITGS DCAs linked to one MCA in CLM, only one of the ITGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5	Identified by a separate BIC11) can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1.	Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
		party	RTGS DCAs is the default one.	identified by a separate BC11) can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM.		
	54	party	RTGS DCAs is the default one.	Identified by a separate BICL11 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or severi? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHRU UR.BDO.090	Clarification	
79	54	party 3.2.1Account types	RTGS DCAs is the default one.	Identified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or event? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SKRD UR.BDO.900 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/ceiling) as used to the USP (BUM thegard to the MCA to	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79	54	party 3.2.1Account types	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub-	elentified by a separte BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHID. UN. BDD. 590 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDPS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for binling needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on ub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should aready be an argement with the ancling vystem with regard	Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79	54	party 3.2.1Account types	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub-	elentified by a separte BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHRD. UR. BDD. 909. According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDPS (eg with regard to the MCA to be dehited for binling needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the audicity system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account.	Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80	54	party 3.2.1Account types	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub-	dentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SMRU URE.DDO.000 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to theor/celling) as well as the UDFS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as the uDFS (eg) and agreement with the ancillary system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account.	Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79	54	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anci-lary systems.	Identified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SrikD URB DD.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDPS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on wha-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the sub-account. with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set	Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80	54	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anoi-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daly, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA to another account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA to CA on another settlement	dentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to sectors 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SRMD UR_BDO200 According to our understanding the URD (seg with regard to hoor/calling) are well as the UDS (seg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on buk account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should a theready be an agreement with the sub-account level result to the authorisation to debit the sub-account however, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate"	Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80 81	54	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2.Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancil-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daly, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA to	dentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDFS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debitif uncinonality is NOT needed on wha-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should a thereare the efficient AS settlement. There should to the authorization to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but ge also weekly. Mow is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that	Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80	54	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another account (a MCA in CLM, arTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement service/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. A balateral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-u's a different RTGS Account	elentified by a separte BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHRD. URB 8DD.909 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDP's (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for binling needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on ub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the aub-account; with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly, wore this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table ? (which is in line with the UDD) does not include a reparticular attribute? Owing to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see	Clarification Clarification Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80 81 82	54	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anci-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA to another account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA and DCA in another settlement tervic/component) over a period with or windbut a predime and date. Ether a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA.	dentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or severi? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SMOU URLDDO'S According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the ory/ceiling) a well as the UDS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on ub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the sub-account to the authorisation to debit mandate? According to be information provided here, it is possible to set us standing orders to only on a daily basis but eg also weekly, How is this reflected in the data attributes a it seems that diabe? / Nucline is in line with the UDB) does not include a respective data attribute?	Clarification Clarification Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80 81	54 54 58 58	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2.Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another account (a MCA in CLM, arTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement service/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. A balateral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-u's a different RTGS Account	elentified by a separte BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SRR0.UR.80D.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDP's (eg with regard to the MCA to be dehited for billing needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on buk-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the and Lilry system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the Information provided here, it is possible to set us standing orders not only on a dail bacis but ge allow weekly, how is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table 7 Whoh is in line with the URD) does not include a respective data attribute? Owing to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URI common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account hedre but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URI common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account hedre but to the RTGS DCA level (see	Clarification Clarification Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80 81 82	54 54 58 58 58	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anol-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA in the model of the standard of the standar	elentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SRR0.UR.80D.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDD's (eg with regard to the MCA to be dehited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on bub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the anti-Diray system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the Information provided here. It is possible to set us standing orders not only on a dail basis but eg allow weekly. How is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table 7 (Whoth is in lew with the URD) does not include a respective data attribute? Owing to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be rathe to the account hedre but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be rathe to the account hedre but the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be rathe to the scout hedre but the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be rathe to the account hedre but the the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be rathe to the scout here with the should be rathed to bask or (CBC	Clarification Clarification Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
79 80 81 82 83	54 54 58 58	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anol-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA in the model of the standard of the standar	elentified by a separte BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHR0.UR.80D.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDD's (eg with regard to the MCA to be dehited for billing in neets to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on bub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the anti-Bir ysstem with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here. It is possible to set up standing orders not only on a dail bus is but eg allow weekly, how is this reflected in the data attributes as it serves that table 7 (which is in line with the URD) does not include a respective data attribute? Owing to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be rake to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be respectived that a thributes? Please explain the subscription criteria for the camt.019 as well as the scenario in which the message is subject to subscription (is query response the sending is mandatory, see also TCCC presentation) Moreover, Jease ensure that the information provided in this	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under
79 80 81 82	54 54 58 58 58	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to another regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) as amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA insorber recount (a MCA is QLA) a RTGS DCA to DCA is another settlement serve(component) over a period with ow without a predicting and date. Effort DCA A bilateral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a	dentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHR0.UN.8DD.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDD's (eg with regard to the MCA to dedited for billing needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on ub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an argement with the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. How is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table 7 Which is in line with the URD) does not include a respective data attribute? Owing to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account builder but the HCGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be is the scenario in which the message is subject to subcryption (se query response the sending for madiory, see also TCCG presentation) Moreover, Jeesse ensure that the information provided in this table is line with the TCG presentation.	Clarification Clarification Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDPS V1.1
79 80 81 82 83	54 54 58 58 58	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anoi-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement service/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. DA libiteral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9	dentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHR0.UN.8DD.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDD's (eg with regard to the MCA to deviated for SHR0.MSD.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the MCA to deviated for Shling) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct bif functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an argement with the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg allow weekly. How is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table 7 Which is in line with the URD) does not include a respective data attribute? Owing to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the scout holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common to reported site subscription reservation) Moreover, please ensure that the information provided in this table is lin line with the TCCG presentation. Seneral comment.	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under
79 80 81 82 83	54 54 58 58 58	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anoi-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA nother account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement sevice/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. DAbletreal standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account	dentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SKR0.UR.8DD.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDD's (eg with regard to the MCA to dedited for billing needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct bill functionality is NOT needed on ub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the aub-account, level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the aub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the aub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should a betweer, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. How is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table 7 Which is in line with the URD) does not include a respective data attribute? Please explain the subscription criteria for the camt.D19 as well as the scenario in which the message is subject to subccription stable scenario in which the information provided in this table is line with the TCCD presentation. Please explain the subscription criteria for the camt.D19 as well as the to additor attributes as its additioned on RTGS DCA level (see also URO common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account holder by to the RTGS DCA level ( presentation) Moreover, please ensure that the information provided in this table is line with the TCCD presentation. Can aparty identified by an BIC11 in CRDM have more than on RTGS DCA and an RTGS Account holder	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under
79           80           81           82           83	54 54 58 58 58 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anoi-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA nother account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement sevice/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. DAbletreal standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account	elentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CRDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHR0.UN.8DD.090 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to floor/celling) as well as the UDD's (eg with regard to the MCA to deviated for SHR0.MSD.090 deviated for SHR0.MSD.090 deviated for SHR0.MSD.090 deviated for SHR0.MSD.8DD.090 deviated for SHR0.MSD.090 deviated for SHR0.MS	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under
79           80           81           82           83	54 54 58 58 58 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA to another account (a MCA) in CLA, RTGS DCA or a DCA in another settlement service/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe aspecific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. A bilateral standing order for limits is defined vis-a-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account to which the subscription applies.	dentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHD UR BDD CDD According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the order of the URD (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the diffect debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as the urb (efficient). As setup to the source to be used banger the efficient As setup the same to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" Day standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. According to the information provided here, it is possible to set us but for the activity of the URD (does not include a respective data attribute? Please explain the subscription criteria for the cant.D19 as well as the scenario in which the message is subject to subscription (as query response the sending is mandatory, see a abit CCC presentation) Moreover, please ensure that the information provided in this table is in line with the TCCC presentation. General comment. As already flagged, the difference, between party and RTGS account holder is not clear at all. Can a party definited by an BICL1 in CRDM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DA?	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under
80 80 81 82 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anoi-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA nother account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement sevice/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. DAbletreal standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account	dentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHD UR BDO-DO According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the order of the URD (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as the urbs is not correct. According to our understanding the direct debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as the aready be an agreement with the ancillary system with negard to the authorisation of debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" according to the information provided here; it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a shift basis but eg also weekly. According to the information provided here; it is possible to set us but for the sub-thorisation and the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" Devine to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA Isself. Please explain the subscription criteria for the camt.D19 as wells ace to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA Isself. Please explain the subscription criteria for the camt.D19 as wells account holder is not clear at all. Can aparty dentified by an BICLI in CRDM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DA? In case there is a 11 leation between RTGS Account Holder and RTGS DCA, the sentence needs to be updated. What about the RTGS directory? According to chapter 3.4 you hone action configuration for receiving the RTGS.	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary.
80 80 81 82 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to another secourd (a MCA in CMA, a RTGS DCA or DCA) is another settlement society of the secourd of the second of the second of the second of the second second of the second of the second second of the second of the seco	elentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to sector a 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CROM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SMU UR BDO-000 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to horo/reling) a vell as the UDFS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct abilit functionality is MCT medied on sub-account level as the uDFS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct abilit functionality is MCT medied on sub-account level as the updated for billing in editors the second term of the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. How to this reflected in the data attributes as it spossible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. How to the account holder the UDD) does not include a respecte data attributes as 1.2 no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see a bud DRC ommon components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see a level predied by an BICI in nCRM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DCA? In case there is a 1.1 relation between RTGS Account Holder in dTGS DCA hand can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DCA? What about the RTGS directory? According to chapter 3.4 with at CGG discussion the directory will be aligned with the CG discussion the directory will be aligned with the CGS discussion the directory will be legited with the CG discussion the dintertory will be legited with t	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary.
80 80 81 82 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to another secourd (a MCA in CMA, a RTGS DCA or DCA) is another settlement society of the secourd of the second of the second of the second of the second second of the second of the second second of the second of the seco	elentified by a separate BIC111 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to sectors 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHRD JUB.BDD.090 Active the setup in CRDM. This is thoused by a setup in CRDM is a setup in CRDM. This is not correct. According to but PRO feg with regard to According as well as the UDPS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for shift) is NOT needed on bub Account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should a learned the setup in CRDM setup in the setup in CRDM to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but gala weekly. How is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table 7 Which is in line with the UDP) does not include a respective data attribute? Owing to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.2.1 por reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.2.1 por reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see should be mandatory, see also TCCG presentation). General comment. As already flagged, the difference, between party and RTGS account holder for Idear at 31. Can a party dentified by an BLC11 in CRDM have more than on RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DCA? In case there is a 1.1 relation between RTGS Account Holder face and RTGS DCA, the sentence needs to be updated. What about the RTGS directory? Account Holder have more than one DCA?	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary.
80 80 81 82 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another account (a MCA in CLA, arTGS DCA or a DCA in another settlement service/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Eithe a specific anoth or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. A bilateral standing order for limits is defined vis-3-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account to which the subscription applies. RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS shall create at a specific business day event (EOD).	dentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provides some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHD URE DOC 200 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the debition to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the diffect debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as the uDS (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. According to be reflicient AS settlement. There should aready be an agreement with the ancillary system with negard to the authorisation of debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the fiftemation provided here; it is possible to set update is the information provided here; it is possible to set to URD common components 9.1.21 no reference should a made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.21 no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common understanding is instandary, see also TCGS moreover, please ensure that the information provided in this table is in line with the TCGS presentation. General comment. A already flagged, the difference, between party and RTGS account holder is not clear at all. Can aparty dentified by an BCI lin CRDM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder and RTGS DCA, the sentence needs to be updated. What about the RTGS directory? According to chapter 3.4 you also need a reproto configuration for receiving the RTGS directory. Where can the information be found leg that in line current structure (using and additional XML envelope)? Please ad a reference where this information is provided.	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary.
80 80 81 82 83 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to another secourd (a MCA in CMA, a RTGS DCA or DCA) is another settlement society of the secourd of the second of the second of the second of the second second of the second of the second second of the second of the seco	dentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provides some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided mee deviate from the URD SHD UR BDD CDD According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the debined for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the diffect debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as this would hanger the efficient As setupment. There should aready be an agreement with the ancillary system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" according to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but ge also weekly. According to the information provided here, it is possible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but ge also weekly. Please explain the subscription criteria for the cant.D19 as well as the scenario in which the message is subject to subscription (as query response the sending is mandatory, see a sub TCCC presentation) Moreover, please ensure that the information provided in this table is in line with the TCCC presentation. General comment. As already flagged, the difference, between party and RTCS account holder is not clear at all. Can aparty dentified by an SICL1 in CRDM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder and RTGS DCA, the sentence needs to be updated. What about the RTGS directory? According to chapter 3.4 you also need a represent to the information provided in the information provided on a Banking Group is wilesdanger. According to our understanding as CL the Banking Group	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS VI.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Most of the clarifications provided in the TCGG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
80 80 81 82 83 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62 62 62 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) as anount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another scrount (a MCA in CLA, a RTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement syster/component) over a period with ow table to a transferred from the RTGS DCA A bilateral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account holder. RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS shall create at a specific business day event (EOD).	elentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provides some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SHD URE DDO 200 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the debined for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the diffect debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as the used sharper the efficient AS settlement. There should aready be an agreement with the ancillary system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" according to the information provided here; it is possible to set up standing orier not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. According to the information provided here; it is possible to set up standing orier not only on a daily basis but ge also weekly. To but fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA Itself. Please explain the subscription criteria for the cant.D19 as well as to URD common components 9.1.21 no reference should a made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA Itself. Please explain the subscription criteria for the cant.D19 as wells account holder is not clear at all. Can aparty defined by an RTGS Account Holder Its alle is in line with the ITCG presentation. General comment. As already Tlagged, the difference, between party and RTGS account holder is not clear at all. Can aparty defined by an RTGS Account Holder Its and RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder Its and RTGS DCA, the sentence needs to be updated. What about the RTGS directory? According to chapter 3.4 you also need a represent to the information provided. What about the RTGS directory? According to chapter 3.4 you also need a represence where this information is provided. The information provided on a Banking Group is misleading- consequentity, the Banking Gr	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS VI.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Most of the clarifications provided in the TCGG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
80 80 81 82 83 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) as anount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another scrount (a MCA in CLA, a RTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement syster/component) over a period with ow table to a transferred from the RTGS DCA A bilateral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account holder. RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS shall create at a specific business day event (EOD).	elentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provide some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CROM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SMU UR BDO-000 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to how or the setup in CROM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SMU UR BDO-000 According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the MCC and the URD (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the direct held functionality is NCT meded on sub-account level as the used banger the difficient As stetuement. There should aready be an agreement with the ancillary system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" by standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. How to this reflected in the data attributes as it spossible to set up standing orders not only on a daily basis but eg also weekly. How to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see as the scenario in which the message is subject to subacrytion (as query response the sending is mandatory, see also TCCG presentation). General comment. As already Tlagged, the difference, between party and RTGS account holder is not clear at all. Can a party identified by an BICI in RCBM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DCA? In case there is a 1.1 relation between RTGS Account Holder in Can party identified by an BICI in RCBM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder Have more than one DCA? What bout the RTGS directory? According to chapter 3.4 you when the TCCG directory? According to chapter 3.4 you medit to be set up acro	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS VI.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Most of the clarifications provided in the TCGG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
80 81 82 83 83 84 84 85 85	54 54 58 58 58 62 62 62 62	2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) as anount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another scrount (a MCA in CLA, a RTGS DCA or DCA in another settlement syster/component) over a period with ow table to a transferred from the RTGS DCA A bilateral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account holder. RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS shall create at a specific business day event (EOD).	elentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provides some further details on this and add a link to sector a 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SMBD URDD 0.00 AMD of the SMBD 0.00 AMD of the SMBD 0.00 AMD of the SMBD 0.00 AMD 0.	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDPS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDPS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
80 80 81 82 83 83 83	54 54 58 58 58 62 62 62 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as anci-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to another account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA and Sub- account for regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA and DCA in another settlement sinvel/component) over a period with ow talvoat a predime and data. Ethic a specific amount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA Abiliteral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific actionation the has to define the message type and the cash account to which the subscription spiples. It is possible to set up and maintain a Banking Group and a Liquidity Transfer Group in RTGS. Table 10	dentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provides some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided here deviate from the URD SME DUBLOD DOT According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to Net DUBLOD DOT According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to the debifunctionality is OIT needed on ub-account level as the DUST (eg with regard to the URD (eg with regard to the debifunctionality is OIT needed on ub-account level as the would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should all debif functionality is OIT needed on ub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should all debif functionality is OIT needed on ub-account level as this would hamper the efficient AS settlement. There should a back part angreement with the analter' to mere, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debif mandate" According to the information provided here, it is possible to set us standing orders not only on a dially basis but ge also weekly. How is this reflected in the data attributes as it seems that table? (which is in lewith the URD) does not include a respective data attribute? Data but be account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2). In orderence should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see a level) and the subscription criteria for the cant.019 a set as the scenario in which the message is subject to subscription (is guery response the sending is mandatory, see also TCCC presentation). General comment. A caready tagged, the difference, between party and RTGS account holder is not dear at all. Can aparty identified by an BICI1 in CRDM have more than one RTGS DCA and can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DCA? In case there is a 1.1 relation between RTGS Account Holder in RTGS DCA hand can an RTGS Account Holder have more than one DCA? In	Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDFS VI.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Most of the clarifications provided in the TCGG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.
80 80 81 82 83 83 84 84 85 85 86 86	54 54 58 58 58 62 62 62 62	party 3.2.1Account types 3.2.1Account types 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.2Functionalities 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging 3.2.3Messaging	RTGS DCAs is the default one. Table 5 The direct debit functionality in RTGS can be used by RTGS DCA and sub- account holders as well as ancl-lary systems. A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to transfer regularly (e.g. dai), weekly an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA another account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA or a DCI another settlement service/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date. Ethe a specific anount or the whole balance could be transferred from the RTGS DCA. A balateral standing order for limits is defined vis-à-vis a different RTGS Account Holder. Table 9 In case the RTGS Account Holder wants to receive only messages related to a specific cash account he has to define the message type and the cash account to which the subscription applies. RTGS Actors can configure one standard report (statement of accounts) that RTGS shall create at a specific business day event (fc0). It is possible to set up and maintain a Banking Group and a Liquidity Transfer Group in RTGS.	dentified by a separate BIC11 can be linked to a party setup in CDM. One or several? Please provides some further details on this and add a link to section 3.2.1. Please add some information how this is setup in CRDM. The attributes provided mere deviate from the URD SMB URL BDD OD According to our understanding the URD (eg with regard to how of the URD or the URD (eg with regard to the MCA to be debited for billing) needs to be updated. This is not correct. According to our understanding the diffect debit functionality is NOT needed on sub-account level as this usual hanger the efficient AS settlement. There should already be an agreement with the ancillary system with regard to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" According to the information provided here. It is possible to set ups standing orders not only on a daily basis but ge also weekly, how is this reflect to the data attributes as it servent to the authorisation to debit the sub-account. However, according to our understanding this shall be different from the "normal direct debit mandate" Deving to the fact that a limit is defined on RTGS DCA level (see also URD common components 9.1.2) no reference should be made to the account holder but to the RTGS DCA level (see also URD common ensume that the ING how com LD19 as well as the scenario in which the message is subject to subcarciption lest query response the sending is mandatory, see also TCGC Morroour, plasse ensume that the INGS Account Holder have more than a the data attribute? Account holder is not clear at al. General comment. A already Tagged, the difference, between party and RTGS account holder is not clear at all. Can are two lest all a lanking Group in revealing the MTGS account holder is not clear at all. Can are the lanking to compare ITGS Account Holder have more than to TCG discussion the directory? According to thagter 3.4 you also need a reproto comparation to revealing the R	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDPS V1.1 Most of the clarifications provided in the TCCG are already reflected. However, it is currently under investigation for which aspects a CR is necessary. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. The section was redrafted to better explain the concept. Further details will be added in UDPS V1.1 The section was redrafted to better explain the concept.

			Pull mode: at any time during the service hours of CRDM, a RTGS Actor may	Is pull mode for the RTGS directory available for anyone (also	1	
90	66	3.4Shared reference data	download either the full version or the delta version of the RTGS directory.	without report configuration)?	Rejected	The comment is not entirely cleear. Please provide further details on what exactly is meant with "anyone"?
			Table 14	URD RTGS.UR.HVP.PAYT.010.020 refers to messages in general and there is no such check		
				mentioned for cash transfer orders. As mentioned above, the difference between message and		
	66			cash transfer order is not clear (ie isn't a cash transfer not also a message at the same time???).		
				In case the drafting here is kept, please let us know if you see a need for updating the URD.		
91		3.4Shared reference data	Table 14: 3 business days	Need for updating the UKD. Why is the default value for the duplicate check of cash	Clarification	Investigation ongoing
92	66	3.4Shared reference data		transfer orders different from the default value of the messages and files?	Accepted	
93	72	4.20verview	Table 17	Please add what happens between 19:00 and 19:30.	Clarification	This time slot is under discussion with the market. Therefore the required information will be provided in UDFS v 1.1
55	74			According to table 17 the SOD procedure is from 18:45-19:00. What about the time period 19:00-19:30?	clarificación	This time slot is under discussion with the market. Therefore the required information will be
94	74	4.3.1SoD.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Clarification	Inis time slot is under discussion with the market. I herefore the required information will be provided in UDFS v 1.1
			Settlement of ancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are possible. The standing or-ders from the RTGS DCAs to the ancillary system sub-	Why is this information mentioned in the chapter SOD? We assume that the standing order are settled at 19:30.		
	74		accounts are settled at the beginning of the an-cillary system settlement at 19:30 CET.	Based ion the information provided in table 17, this is a different phase.		
95		4.3.1SoD.		Also SOs to CLM are settled at 19:30.	Accepted	
			19:30 Start of settlement window of ancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders	Question for clarification:		
				As the SBTransferInitiation shall be possible by using pacs.009, we understand that pacs.009 to transfer liquidity from the		
	74			RTGS DCA to the technical account for procedure D are possible as of 19.30.		
				Can AS using "plain payments" also start sending these		
96		4.3.1SoD.		payments as of 19.30?	Clarification	yes
			During the maintenance window all settlement windows are closed and the access via A2A or U2A is not available.	Please provide some further details on what is meant with "not available".	E	
				For example today in T2S A2A messages are queued "During the maintenance window, Interface application		
	76			process starts the queuing of all requests received in A2A mode, after a technical validation (i.e. format validation) of the		
				incoming A2A mes-sages (or files) and sends out related system acknowledgement."		
97		4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode)		How will this be handled in RTGS?	Clarification	RTGS is not available during the maintenance window. The question of queueing/ not queueing of messages is outside of RTGS.
			Any changes are distributed to the other services/components once a day by 17:00. Reference data which needs to be effective on the following business	Why is this distribution already done at 17.00 and not later? We know that this timing is valid for TIPS and CRDM.		
	77		day, have to be entered before the cut-off.	What about CRDM and T2S as well as CRDM and RTGS? Why do they need to follow the 17:00 timing.		
		4.4Dependencies to other		In case there are different times depending on the service/component, please clarify which time applies.		The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS. CLM. TIPS.
98		services or components	is seen there is not require liquidity on an MCA to fully support a COO / a -		Clarification	T2S and ECMS are under evaluation.
	77	4.4Dependencies to other	In case there is not enough liquidity on an MCA to fully execute a CBO (e.g. overnight deposit, open market operation), CLM pull liquidity from the connected DCA in BTCS with an automated liquidity transfer	is "connected DCA" different from the "default DCA"? Please use consistent terms.		
99		services or components	connected DCA in RTGS with an automated liquidity transfer. If TillTime and RejectTime are both provided in the payment then only the	This is not in line with the information provided in one of the	Accepted	
			TillTime is considered. Therefore, it is strictly recommended to provide only one of the two possible "latest debit time indicators" in a payment.	BDD versions. Moreover, this is not in line with the information provided in		
				MyStandards		
	81			See eg pacs.008: "IF Till Time (TILL) and Reject Time (RJCT) are both provided,		
				THEN the payment is rejected immediatly.		
				Only one of these codes can be used."		
100		5.1.3Definition of execution time		Please update the information provided here in line with the information provided in MyStandards.	Accepted	
			Table 21: Tag in the statement message	What exactly is meant with "tag in statement message"? We assume that this refers to the fact, that the tag "BACP"		
				should also be mentioned in the statement of accounts (in line with the current TARGET2 functionality where the cade for		
	84			backup payments is mentioned in the MT 940/950). Unfortunately we were not able to find BACP in the usage		
				guideline for the camt.053. Please check.		
101		5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments			Accepted	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
102	91	5.2.10verview	Table 26: U2A mode initiation	This is not in line with the cost efficient access for U2A only.	Accepted	
			Table 27: CB on behalf of a participant (mandated payment) - pacs.010	According to our recollection we had a discussion whether it is necessary to have mandated payments for direct debits in the		
				future. Based on the information provided here, we understand that		
	92			mandated payments in case of direct debits are possible. However, the usage guideline of the pacs.010 does not include		
				a reference to MANP. Is this not necessary in the pacs.010? Please check.		
103		5.2.2Concept of payment submitters			Clarification	Functionality not provided
103	100	5.2.3.3Payments sent from	Figure 15	This behaviour seems ok. However, it is different from the presentation shown in the AS workshop.	ciamitation	- and a second sec
104	102	5.2.3.3Payments sent from an ancillary system		Therefore, please clarify what will be offered.	Clarification	Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
			In general CLM continues the technical validation even if a first error has been detected. In case the technical validation was not successful an admi.007 is sent			
			to the instructing party (meaning the CB) indicating which error occurred (all negative results in form of error codes are included).	in RTGS.		
	108		In case the CB instructed the CBO via U2A, the rejection notification is displayed			
		5.2.4Rejection of payments	directly on the screen. For further details please refer to the CLM user handbook.		Accepted	
105			A cancellation request can be sent to revoke the following types of payments:	Is it also possible to revoke a pacs.004?		
	118		CustomerCreditTransfer (pacs.008) [] 578] [ FinancialInstitutionCreditTransfer (GEN and COV) (pacs.009) [] 586]			
106	10	5.2.6Revocation of payments	I FinancialInstitutionDirectDebit (pacs.010) [] 604]		Accepted	
TÜP		payments	Figure 21	According to table 9, the camt.029 is subject to subscription.		
				According to the picture here, it is monadatory. Please add in table 9 some more details in which scenario the		
	118			camt.029 is mandatory and in which optional. Note: According to the presentation shown in the TCCG the		
107		5.2.6Revocation of payments		camt.029 is mandatory.	Accepted	3.2.3 Messaging updated
			Table 45	The table is not clear. According to my understanding the "top priority" is for		
				automated liquidity transfers from CLM due to pending CBOs. In case this understanding is correct, this should be clarified.		
	124			Moreover, it is not clear why you differentiate between the		
				line with number "2" and "3". Why is there a subcategory?		You are right with your understanding. "Top priority" is for automated LTs from CLM (due to pending CBOs). The term "automated LT" is unique for this business case only and explained in the discussed of the second sec
		5.2.7Processing of			ai 15 -	glossary. With regard to the sub category 2 and 3: Category 3 is never queued, i.e. effectively their
100		payments	1		Clarification	settlement order is behind category 2 business cases.
108		puyments	Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI.	We assume that it is also possible to manage this in A2A (in line	2	
108	128		Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI.	with the information provided in chapter 5.2.5). Therefore the sentence needs to be updated in order to clarify this is "also		
108	128	5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI.	with the information provided in chapter 5.2.5). Therefore the	Accepted	

			Table PA Availant statements in the second statements of the	Averable for dealfinitie	1	
			Table 59: Ancillary system technical account - Account holder: Ancillary System	Question for clarification: Based on the information provided here, the account holder is		
				always the AS and not the CB.		
	140			Is this correct? (As this is a deviation from the "old TARGET2" where the		
				account holder of the technical account for AS 6 real-time can		
				also be a CB, we just wanted to double-check). Please check with the information provided in table 62.		
110		5.3.10verview	Table 66: Start of cycle, Step 9	Why do you see the need to have cycles for "AS procedure 6	Accepted	
				real time"?		
				In the TARGET2 UDFS book one it is stated "It is not necessary		
	161			anymore to open a cycle when ASI 6 real-time procedure is used."		
	101			used."		
				Note: In case this is needed by AS using AS6 real-time today in		
		5.3.4.2Ancillary system		order to ensure that the future functionality is in line with their requirements this is of course fine for us.		
111		settlement procedure D		General comment:	Clarification	In AS settlement procedure D no cycles are used and the UDFS was updated.
				The information regarding privileges and queries seem to reflect the "TIPS world" and we are missing the RTGS specifics		
				reference data.		
				E.g. it is not clear what privilege will be used to instruct payments (e.g. pacs.008), to query minimum reserves (or is his		
				included in an existing query), to query the postings on the account (not as a camt.053 but intraday), to initiate backup		
	234			payments etc. What about the RTGS Directory?		
				Moreover, it seems that relevant AS reference data is missing.		
				How will you check which bank is a settlement bank. How do		
				you validate that the AS is using the right procedure? There seem to be no AS specific privileges at all		
112		6.1CRDM features			Clarification	List of privileges has been extended. Data for ancillary systems will be defined as part of party and account data.
			The following tables provide the exhaustive list of privileges covering all the	From our point of view it is not clear what user functions are		
			user functions available:	covered by what privilege. E.g. it is not clear what privilege will be used to instruct payments (e.g. pacs.008), to query		
				minimum reserves (or is his included in an existing query), to		
	235			query the postings on the account (not as a camt.053 but intraday), to initiate backup payments etc. What about the		
				RTGS Directory? We would highly appreciate more information		
		612120-1		to check if all the relevant functions are possible in U2A and A2A.	Charles 11	conjunction document
113		6.1.3.1.2Privilege	Table 100: Create Cash Account - Cash accounts within own system entity (for		Clarification	see updated document
	235		CB) or credit memorandum balances (CMBs) linked to cash ac-counts owned by	RTGS. Please check and in case our understanding is correct,		
114		6.1.3.1.2Privilege	own party (for pay-ment bank) Table 100: Create Liquidity Transfer Group - Liquidity Transfer Groups	this should be mentioned. According to our understanding the information provided here	Accepted	
			containing liquidity transfer orders on cash ac-counts within own system entity	is misleading for the following reasons:		
			(for CB) or owned by own party (for pay-ment bank)	<ol> <li>The set up of an LTG is done by the CB only.</li> <li>The reference for "own system" entity seems misleading.</li> </ol>		
	235			According to our understanding an LTG is set up per		
				service/component. The term "within own system entity" seems to imply that all cash accounts in all services are part of		
				one LTG – which would not be correct.		
115		6.1.3.1.2Privilege		Maybe it is possible to clarify this here.	Accepted	
				General comments (1) T2S specific information needs to be replaced by RTGS		
				related information		
				(2) It is not clear how the TCCG agreement that "a BIC is used as identifier for a DCA and not an account number in the RTGS		
				component." Is reflected in the various messages as there is		
				very often a reference to the account ID plus account owner BIC. Please clarify for the various messages whether there is a		
				difference between account owner BIC and the unique BIC11		
				per RTGS DCA or not. (3) The list of messages is not complete. It seems that only		
				some CRDM related messages are men-tioned and several		
				ones are still missing. For example acmt.019 and acmt.007 are mentioned in the previous sections of the UDFS but are not		
				listed here. In order to provide a comprehensive view of all		
	382			relevant messages, it is of key importance that all relevant messages are listed. Please check and provide a		1 - UDFS updated
				comprehensive list. (4) It seems that some business cases are not reflected in the		
				UDFS so far (eg with regard to AS related reference data,		2 - The concept of account holder and its various RTGS DCAs is clarified in section 3 of the UDFS. On this basis the respective message documentation will be updated accordingly.
				"linked accounts" for LTs,). Consequently, we kindly ask you to check whether the list of A2A queries is already exhaustive		
				or not. Moreover, please check the already provided messages		3 - UDFS updated and MyStandards aligned.
				as we assume that in some messages additional information needs to be re-ported (eg linked accounts). In case this		
				information is already provided, please send us a reference to		4 - The setup for the linked accounts for AS business is in definition status. Message setup will be aligned in UDFS v1.1.
				the respective chapters. (5) It seems that not for all messages the "multi-currency		
				environment" is adequately reflected. Please check.		5 - Multi Currency is reflected in all messages.
		141 kt of m		(6) Information related to TARGET2 should be updated with RTGS related information		
116		14List of messages	This building block is mandatory and non-repetitive. It provides information	This seems NOT in line with the information in the usage	Clarification	6 - UDFS updated.
			concerning the original transac-tions, to which the status report message refers. It may contain:	guideline.		
	569		rerers. It may contain:	As in case of an error ALL errors shall be sent in the pacs.002. Please check.		
117		14.5.1.2Schema			Accepted	Section has been re-worded.
	573	14.5.2.3The message in	Table 235: BICFI Document/PmtRtr/TxInf/InstdAgt/FinInstnld/BICFI - Party receiving the return	Do you refer to the party BIC as defined in CRDM or to the BIC11 of the RTGS DCA?		
118	5.5	business context	payment instruction		Clarification	Please see updated chapter
			Within RTGS, the FinancialInstitutionCreditTransfer message has the following usages:	Please explain the reason for this usage. As you mention sub-accounts it is only an option in Procedure		
			-	C and NOT in procedure D. Correct?		
			I liquidity transfer from RTGS DCA to sub-account	We assume this means that in addition to camt.050 I can also		
				send a pacs.009 to provide liquidity on the sub-account. What		
	586			is the reason for sending a pacs.009 instead of a camt.050?		
				Please note that in chapter 5.3.4.2 the pacs.009 is foreseen for		
				providing liquidity for AS procedure D. We kindly ask you to provide consistent information		
		14.5.4.10verview and scope		throughout the UDFS.		Yes, sub-account usage will only be available in Procedure C. The fund transfer to sub-accounts by a settlement bank with code SBTI is only available in pacs.009.
119		of the message			Accepted	This aligns with chapter 5.3.4.2.
			When performing a Cash Account create request to create a T2S DCA, T2S Dedicated Transit Account or T2S CB account, the Linked Account must refer to	General comment: Does this list include all business rules/error codes or only the		
	671		an existing and open External RTGS Account instance in CRDM.	relevant ones for RTGS?		
120		15.1Index of business rules and error codes		In RTGS we do not see the need to mention T2S related business rules.	Clarification	Further information will be delivered in the next UDFS version
120		und chiol codes		In the pacs.008 a RemittanceInformation field can be filled by	alarmedulun	A BEAUTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN
				the sender. But in the camt.054 message for debit or credit notification, this field does not get forwarded. Pls amend		
				accordingly. Hopefully, the pacs.008 will not be used as a debit		
	580			or credit confirmation, but if so, the Remittance Informations must also be available at the receiver of the message (which is		
		14.5.3.3The message in		not the case in the current version of the CLM).		Discussion ast HVPS* level will provide the correct reponse, which will be included in the next
121		business context			Clarification	appropriate version.
				In the pacs.009 a RemittanceInformation field can be filled by the sender. But in the camt.054 message for debit or credit		
				notification, this field does not get forwarded. Pls amend		
	586			accordingly. Hopefully, the pacs.009 will not be used as a debit or credit confirmation, but if so, the Remittance Informations		
				must also be available at the receiver of the message (which is		
		14.5.4.10verview and scope		not the case in the current version of the CLM).	en 10 -	Discussion ast HVPS+ level will provide the correct reponse, which will be included in the next
122		of the message			Clarification	appropriate version.

	1			have and have to the only an over the large and a second to a		
				Where and how is the role concept implemented regarding Ancillary Systems? What is the scope regarding functionality		
	234			and access rights compared to a "normal" bank, and where can this be found in the concept? In general, ASs must have the		
				same functional scope as normal banks, except their AS role.		
123		6.1CRDM features			Clarification	Data for ancillary systems will be defined as part of party and account data.
				Does that mean that there are no predefined roles available		
	250	C 1 2 24		only, but access rights, roles, and privileges can be defined freely within the own data scope, also by and for Ancillary		The lides is sheet and direct and also will be and we at 20 level and an analysis of a survey to be the /AC
124		6.1.3.2Access rights configuration		Systems?	Clarification	The idea is that predefined roles will be set up at CB level and propagated to all payment banks/AS parties. In other words, AS will not be able to define their own privileges/roles.
				We strongly support the idea of both debtor and creditor of an		
				AS payment receiving a camt.054 message as a confirmation. Pls confirm the concept as shown in the image.		
				Pis confirm the concept as shown in the image.		
	102			An interim account used by an AS for collecting payments		
	102			before their distribution (no technical account possible for pacs.008 or 009), must have a fiduciary character, to avoid		
				customer funds fall subject to insolvency procedures. This can		
		5.2.3.3Payments sent from		be extremely critical for CCPs!		
125		an ancillary system			Clarification	Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
				It is strongly recommended to handle at least parts of the		
				access rights maintenance via the NCBs or the ECB itself. Otherwise, as pointed out at a recent TCCG workshop,		
				malevolent market participants could harm the proper		
	235			processing of payments like Margin Calls, by simply cancelling		
				the right to debit their account at short hand. This could turn out to be a systemic risk. Here at least an operational process		
126		6.1.3Access rights		must be agreed.	Clarification	Access rights will be mostly administered by NCBs; Parties will be able to propagate the roles assigned by the NCBs to their Users.
				Pls describe the impact of pacs.009 by Ancillary Systems on		
1				pricing, if no procedure will apply anymore (but also with	1	
	586			procedures A-D involved). Currently, there has been a special pricing scheme agreed for ASs. We would like to understand		
		14.5.4.1Overview and scope		the impact of the future concept in that respect.	1	
127		of the message			Clarification	Pricing is not subject to the UDFS.
	201	14.2.1.2Thc		How can the period be defined, for which an account statement is gueried? E.g. full business day with opening and		
128	391	14.2.1.3The message in business context		statement is queried? E.g. full business day with opening and closing balances, or "since last query" etc.	Clarification	Camt.053 only for the entire Business day, no intraday acct statement.
				Pls provide a detailed overview with dependencies and		,
1	72			limitations concerning business day times in various	1	
129		4.20verview		components,. It is not clear how this will work, as they are different.	Clarification	We agree that such overview is helpful. However, the UDFS RTGS focus on the RTGS business day only and consequently these dependencies are not part of the RTGS UDFS.
129		-20verview		How will the interoperability between various NSPs be	Clamitation	and an active queries of encodencies are not part of the RTGS ODES.
	364			ensured? E.g. with two different customers co-operating with		
				different NSPs, also if there is a contingency situation.		
130		12Messages – introduction		It is urgently required re-designing the forms and registration	Clarification	The configuration for each NSP is independent at functional level, as is the case for T2S
				process of the current TARGET2 world, especially for		
	35			modification of existing accounts, as all entries need to be	1	
131		2Access to RTGS		repeated, which do NOT change, otherwise it will be seen as a deletion.	Rejected	Out of scope of UDFS. Please discuss in appropriate L2 forum.
151		2ACCESS TO RTGS	Addressing & routing of payments and cancellation requests - Status quo T2	When refering to the current system , term/name TARGET2	Rejected	out of scope of obrs. Please discuss in appropriate t2 forum.
	41			should be used (not T2) - this applies the entire document		
132		2.6Routing			Accepted	
			Addressing & routing of payments and cancellation requests	Questions: There will be separete DN for all components (RTGS, CLM, T2S etc)? And when sending a payment tranfer to		
				the RTGS component - receiver technical address is DN of the		
	41			RTGS. Is DN of the RTGS routing the payment to the ESMIG or		
				is something else needed to indicate that payment should go to the ESMIG?		There are two interfaces: actor to NSP and NSP to ESMIG. The questions are related to the interface
133		2.6Routing	Note: The following message flows and corresponding process descriptions are	Should it be mentioned here what are the steps to be added?	Clarification	between actor and NSP. This interface is not in the scope of the UDFS.
			based on the interaction between RTGS Account Holders. If the originator of	should it be mentioned here what are the steps to be added.		
			the payment is an indirect participant one step has to be added before the			
	94	5.2.3.1Payments sent from	current step 1. If the payment is in favour of an indirect participant one step has to be add-ed after the direct RTGS Account Holder has received the			
		a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another	payment message (i.e. after step 4).			
134		direct RTGS Account Holder			Rejected	This interaction is out of scope of the UDFS.
			Note: The following message flow and process description illustrates a payment messaging on the basis of pacs.009 send by a multi-addressee access	Should it be mentioned here how the authorising is done? Authorisation is part of the reference data?		
		5.2.3.2Payments sent from	participant. The multi-addressee access participant is author-ised by the RTGS	Autorisation is part of the reference data:		
	100	a multi addrosson accors	Account Holder A. In case of receiving payments in favour of the multi-			
			addressee access participant the flow is to be understood vice versa.			
135		Account Holder	Case 1: payment credit message with positive validation and settlement	If the AS wants to debit its own RTGS DCA and credit	Accepted	
			case 1. payment electric message with positive valuation and settlement	participants RTGS DCS (as a plain payment) - it goes like		
	102	5.2.3.3Payments sent from		descriped in the section 5.3.2.1, right? Should it be mentioned		
136		an ancillary system		here?	Clarification	A CR is needed.
	274	6.1.5.5Lifecycle of common	unlimited validity period/limited validity period	Validity period means both starting and ending date? Not only ending date?		
137		reference data objects			Clarification	Yes, a validity period is delimited by a start and end date.
			Table 125 - CRDM data segregation per service/component	MFI - All data is available in CLM or in RTGS?		
	277	6.1.5.6Common reference		Restriction type - not available in the CLM? Message subscription rule set and Message subscription rule -		
138		data propagation		only in T2S and TIPS?	Clarification	see updated document
	25		case the size of a message exceeds the limitations of message based	Where can I find specific information about the limitations?		
139	35	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	communication, file based communication needs to be employed.	Fach subserved antipage and the same state of the State State	Accepted	
			Each external actor sending store-n-forward traffic to CLM/RTGS must be able to receive store-n-forward traffic with the sender DN and NSP for mes-sage-	Each external actor sending store-n-forward traffic to CLM/RTGS must be able to receive store-n-forward traffic with	1	
	41		base and file-based network channel.	the sender DN and NSP for message-based and file-based	1	
140		2.6Routing		network channel.	Accepted	
141	76	4.3.3MWI (maintenance			Accepted	
L 141	1		4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode)	4.3.3MWI (maintenance period)		
		periode)		4.3.3MWI (maintenance period) sequence	Accepted	
		periode)	4.3.3MWI (maintenance periode) Section 5.2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it		
		periode)		sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the		
		periode)		sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it		
	94	periode)		sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank 8 in the flow only receive the instruction messae after the booking or not. Can bank 8 count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system or should bank 9 wait for the receipt of ant.054 and perform		
	94	periode)		sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of cam.054 and perform a reconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before		
	94			sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank 8 in the flow only receive the instruction messae after the booking or not. Can bank 8 count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system or should bank 9 wait for the receipt of ant.054 and perform		
147	94	periode) 5.2.3Flow of payment related messages		sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of cam.054 and perform a reconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before	Accepted	Figure 17 has been updated. Pacs.009 is sent after the booking (enforced). The camt.054 is not sent.
142		5.2.3Flow of payment related messages		sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank 8 in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank 8 count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting syfem, or should bank 8 walf for the receipt of cam.054 and spfem, areconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before reflecting e booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with	Accepted	sent.
142	94	5.2.3Flow of payment related messages	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and perform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures?	Accepted	
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank 8 in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank 8 count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting sytem, or should bank 8 wait for the received or ann.054 and sytem a reconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures?	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and perform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the URD issued in 2017 memitors 7 settlement procedures in section 2.1.5 and further details 2 methods the UDS's vol.3 mentions 4 settlement procedures with	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of amt.054 and peform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the URD issued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the URD. 3 have	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of amt.054 and perform a reconciliation between cartu.D54 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the URD issued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the URD. Shave disappeared, is this because they are not specific to AS ?	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence to reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of amt.054 and peform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the URD issued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the URD. 3 have	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and peform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UBD issued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have disappeared, is this because they are not specific to A5 ? in the UDS V o 4 agin 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in a case.	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and perform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the URD issued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the URD. 3 have tuDFs v.0.4 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases.	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and peform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UBD issued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have disappeared, is this because they are not specific to A5 ? in the UDS V o 4 agin 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in a case.	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or tereflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wall for the receipt of amt.054 and perform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the URD sissued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the URD. 3 have disappeared, is this because they are not specific to A53 in the UDS v 0.3 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in al cases. Procedure A = standard multitarel settlement Procedure C = settlement on dedicated liquidity account [interfaced]	Accepted	sent.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and perform a reconciliation between camt.D54 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UBD sude I a021 memitors 3 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have turbers 4 a bank to respective the excitption does not correspond in all cases. Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement (interfaced) Procedure B = simultaneous was the account	Accepted	sent.
		5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and peform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UBD issued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have disappeared, is this because they are not specific to A5 ? in the UDS V 0.4 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in al cases. Procedure A = standard multitarial settlement Procedure C = settlement on dedicated liquidity account (interfaced) Procedure B sems new as it works with a technical account Procedure B = sime they are not specific all cases.	Accepted	sent.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of camt.054 and perform a reconciliation between camt.D54 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UBD sude I a021 memitors 3 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have turbers 4 a bank to respective the excitption does not correspond in all cases. Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement (interfaced) Procedure B = simultaneous was the account	Accepted	sent.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence - is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of cam.054 and peform a reconciliation between cam.1054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedures they use and with details on the use of e.g. goptional procedures? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. goptional procedures? Is the UBS used a 1027 memitom 3 rettlement procedures in section 1.1.5 and further details 2 methods the UDS's v0.4 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure 8 = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure 8 = simultaneous the with the debia account (interfaced) Procedure 10 = sittlement on dedicated liquidity account (interlations) is the debia account owned by the AS. The procedure 's tetlement on dedicated liquidity scount (interlations) is the displaced of the description is so different that the link is not easily made).	Accepted	sent.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receives the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or tereflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of amt.054 and perform a reconciliation between camt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UAD Sussed in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have disappeared, is this because they are not specific to A53 in the UDS V 0.3 mentions 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure A = standard multitaeral settlement Procedure C = settlement on dedicated liquidity account (interfaced) Procedure B sems new as it works with a technical account procedure B settlement on dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description is so different that the link is not easily made).	Accepted	sent.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of amt.054 and peform a reconciliation between carnt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UBD sisued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have disappeared, is this because they are not specific to A52 in the UDS V 0.3 mentions 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure A = standard multitaeria settlement Procedure C = settlement on dedicated liquidity account (interfaced) Procedure B sems new as it works with a technical account Procedure B settlement on dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement on dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement on dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement no dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlement node liquidity account fuerfaced) For the settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlemen	Accepted	sent.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of cam.054 and peform a reconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is the URD such a 1027 mentions? Settiments procedures in section 1.1.5 and further details 2 methods the UDS's v0.4 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement owned by the AS. The procedure's with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated fluidity account (real-time) seems have as it works with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated Have ASimitationSistus pain 980, (p.GSZ), where in the description of the settlement model type field, 6 procedures are again methoned).	Accepted	eent. Comment to be finally answered by ECB L2.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of amt.054 and peform a reconciliation between carnt.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? the UBD sisued in 2017 mentions 7 settlement procedures with namings that correspond to the names used in the UBD. 3 have disappeared, is this because they are not specific to A52 in the UDS V 0.3 mentions 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure A = standard multitaeria settlement Procedure C = settlement on dedicated liquidity account (interfaced) Procedure B sems new as it works with a technical account Procedure B settlement on dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement on dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement on dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement no dedicated liquidity account (real-time) seems to have disappeared for the description of the settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlement node liquidity account fuerfaced) For the settlement node liquidity account (real-time) settlemen	Accepted	sent: Comment to be finally answered by ECB L2.
	140	5.2.3flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of cam.054 and peform a reconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is the URD such a 1027 mentions? Settiments procedures in section 1.1.5 and further details 2 methods the UDS's v0.4 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement owned by the AS. The procedure's with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated fluidity account (real-time) seems have as it works with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated Have ASimitationSistus pain 980, (p.GSZ), where in the description of the settlement model type field, 6 procedures are again methoned).	Accepted	sent: Comment to be finally answered by ECB L2.
	140	5.2.3Flow of payment related messages 5.3Settlement of ancillary systems	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of cam.054 and peform a reconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is the URD such a 1027 mentions? Settiments procedures in section 1.1.5 and further details 2 methods the UDS's v0.4 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement owned by the AS. The procedure's with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated fluidity account (real-time) seems have as it works with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated Have ASimitationSistus pain 980, (p.GSZ), where in the description of the settlement model type field, 6 procedures are again methoned).	Clarification Clarification	sent: Comment to be finally answered by ECB L2. In line with the level 2 decision RTGS offers 4 procedures for AS settlement. All other business cases need to be covered by the standard payment functionality. However there are also some specifities (eg. codeword AST in pacs.009).
	140	5.2.3Flow of payment related messages 5.35ettlement of ancillary systems	Section 5 2.3 Flow of payment related messages	sequence is the sequence of steps as mentioned really enforced or is it more a logical flow, ie will bank B in the flow only receive the instruction message after the booking or not. Can bank B count on this sequence or reflect the booking in its accounting system, or should bank B wait for the receipt of cam.054 and peform a reconciliation between cam.054 and the instruction before reflecting te booking ? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is there a list of AS with the procedure they use and with details on the use of e.g. optional procedures? Is the URD such a 1027 mentions? Settiments procedures in section 1.1.5 and further details 2 methods the UDS's v0.4 again 4 settlement procedures are mentioned, but with new names. The description does not correspond in all cases. Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement Procedure B = simultaneous multilateral settlement owned by the AS. The procedure's with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated fluidity account (real-time) seems have as it works with a technical account owned by the AS. The procedure's tetlement on dedicated Have ASimitationSistus pain 980, (p.GSZ), where in the description of the settlement model type field, 6 procedures are again methoned).	Accepted	sent: Comment to be finally answered by ECB L2.

		1		the description mentions procedure 6 accounts, whereas AS	1	
				the description mentions procedure 6 accounts, whereas AS procedures are now named A, B, C or D.		
				step 1 in table 132 only mentions 1 event that triggers the start		
	303			of the flow, ie the start of the AS processing window. But I assume that for standing instrutions that will move cash from		
				an RTGS DCA to another RTGS DCA or the the CLM MCA, other triggers could start the process as well.		
145		10.2.4Execute RTGS standing order		triggers could start the process as well.	Clarification	see updated document
145		standing order		For AS using procedure D it is mentioned that it is also possible	clarification	
	169	5.3.50mmmins of an illing		to use pacs.009 when the AS instructs liquidity transfers. Is it also possible that the AS receives camt.054 to be informed of		
	109	5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using		credits on the technical account instead of an		
146		payments		ASTransferNotice? I understand that the documentation is meant to be stand-	Clarification	No.
				alone, but for the aspects relating to ESMIG, connectivity and		
	35			set-up of user access rights, it would be easier for banks already having a connectivity for e.g. T2S or TIPS to see what is		
				different or new for RTGS compared to the ESMIG set-up of T2S or TIPS.		
147		2Access to RTGS 9.1Role of CBs in the RTGS	"Each CB remain fully responsible for the business relations with its national	Would RTGS Account Holders be more appropriate? Or is there	Rejected	The RTGS UDFS has to be independent from other service/component descriptions.
148	288	component	RTGS Account Holder."	a specific reason to use the singular here?	Accepted	
149	37	2.3.1.1User	"triggering the available y user functions of " The final build and generation of the general ledger files made available to the	Does the "y" have any significance? Could you precise if this means the CLM shall send four	Accepted	
150	289	9.3.1RTGS general ledgers production	CBs takes place inside de CLM Component.	different GL files (one per service: CLM, RTGS, T2S and TIPS) or will there be a kind of consolidated GL?	Clarification	Those information will be provided in UDFS v 1.1
150		production	Ancillary Systems chapters generic comment	the chapters are a bit confusion due to:	Clarification	
				<ul> <li>the use of different classifications of AS between the URD of 2017, v0.3 of the UDFS and the current version v0.4. I</li> </ul>		
				understand that the document reflects ongoing analysis, but		
				some clarification, be it in a footnote, would help. - the lack of a list of AS and their classification.		
				<ul> <li>the mixture of the specific AS-RTGS interaction with the interactions of RTGS account holders.</li> </ul>		In line with URD CR002 the naming of the various AS procedures has been updated.
151					Clarification	A list of AS is not subject to UDFS. Comment to be finally answered by ECB L2.
			"If TillTime and RejectTime are both provided in the payment then only the TillTime is considered"	This statement is inconsistent with the RTGS pacs guidelines from ISO 20022 which states that " IF TIII Time (TILL) and Reject		
	81		The second considered	Time (RJCT) are both provided, THEN the payment is rejected	1	
152		5.1.3Definition of execution time		immediately. Only one of these codes can be used"	Accepted	
1.32	_		Figures 11 & 13	We would appreciate a clarification on the criteria held to set	pieu	
	94	5.2.3.1Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS		the message type sent to the receiver of a payment (while in CLM the receiver of the pacs.009/010 gets a camt.054, in RTGS		
		Account Holder to another		the receiver of the pacs.008/009/010 gets a pacs.008/009/010]		In RTGS there is payment business and in CLM there is CB business which requires a different
153		direct RTGS Account Holder	Step4. Description: Creation and forwarding of camt.054 (credit) to RTGS	The camt.054 notification is inconsistent with the one	Clarification	handling.
	102		Account Holder B (optional)	indicated in the section 5.3.5, which refers to a pacs.009 as the credit notification.		
154		an ancillary system	Technical validation	To our understanding, CLM should be replaced by RTGS.	Clarification	Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
	108	5.2 (Rejection of pourse-t-	The following technical validations are inter alia performed in CLM interface In general CLM continues the technical validation			
155		J.2.4Rejection of payments			Accepted	
			Process Description (figure 22 and 23) Step 4. RTGS component sends a negative camt.029 via ESMIG to the RTGS	According to the feedback on 'Message Subscription for Notifications' provided on the 6th TCCG, the camt.029 should		
	118	5.2.6Revocation of	Account Holder A.	not be negative but an optional notification indicating that		
156		payments	Process Description (figure 23)	successful forwarding of a camt.056. From our point of view it could more precise to say that	Accepted	
			Step 5. RTGS Account Holder B cannot process the requested revocation.	"Account Holder B decides not to accept the resquest of		
				revocation" instead of indicating that the RTGS Account Holder B cannot process it.		
	118			Additionally, please take into account the outcome of the 'Terminology' written procedure (rejection, revocation,		
		5.2.6Revocation of		cancellation and recall) in case these terms are accepted and		
157		5.2.6Revocation of payments	Table 45 Effective rottlement order	must be incorporated into UDFS.	Accepted	
157			Table 45 - Effective settlement order	must be incorporated into UDFS. To our understanding, the 'Business case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the 'Settlement priority'	Accepted	
157	124	payments	Table 45 - Effective settlement order	must be incorporated into UDFS. To our understanding, the 'Business case' of H payment and N	Accepted	
157	124			must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the 'Business case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the 'Settlement priority' High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page.	Accepted	
	124	payments 5.2.7Processing of		must be incorporated into UDFS. To our understanding, the 'Business case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the 'Settlement priority' High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just		
		payments 5.2.7Processing of	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTION: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component)	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detor of an AS payment, should not be able to		
	124	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) ALITHORESD PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in cee of anallary system payments)	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the 'Busines case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the 'Settlement priority' High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as defort of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "till") is not granted to		
158		payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of	Table 37 – Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTHORISED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the 'Busines case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the 'Settlement priority' High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as debtor of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debt time indicators. The equivalent	Accepted	
		payments 5.2.7Processing of payments	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTION: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTH/DRESED PARTN: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the 'Busines case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the 'Settlement priority' High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detor of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent participants newadays and this would mean a business management change for ASs.		
158		payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of	Table 37 – Options for changing the parameters of payments and authoritations ACTION: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component] AUTHORIZED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and twomai respectively. Additionally, the name of the table should be located just additionally, the name of the table should be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as defort of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent participants nowadays and this would mean a business management change for ASs.	Accepted	
158 159	113	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive	Table 37 – Options for changing the parameters of payments and authoritations ACTION: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTHORIZED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 – Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTION: Change of set execution time	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normai respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just additionally, the name of the table should be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as deforto of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent participants nowadays and this would mean a business management change for Ass. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as	Accepted Accepted	see undsted dorument
158	113	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authoritations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTHORISED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47)	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and toomai respectively. Date should be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detroit of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (i.e. settlement time "till") is not granted to participant, as detebit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (i.e. settlement time "till") is not granted to participant, as detebit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of alle/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when is the sender of a Ile/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when is the Stated in page 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments),	Accepted	see updated document
158	113	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) ALTH-ORESED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment of vip apyment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTION: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and twomai respectively. The show should be located just dedinosity, the name of the table should be located just we miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detroit on a AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "full") is not granted to participants and elebit time indicators. The equivalent participants nowadays and this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of alle/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS tasted in ogn 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie:	Accepted Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160	113	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.SAmendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive gueue management	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTOW: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) ALTH-ORESID PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment of variable apyment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTOW: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in UZA via the GUI.	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the 'Busines case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the 'Settlement priority' High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just undernearth this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as deforto of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "till") is not granted to participants nowadays and this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a lapment) and the CB on behalf of the AS/participant. As stated in pag 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of AMC of the Mark apply in principile: "Interventions must be made via the business interface of the	Accepted Accepted	see updated document
158 159	113	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations AcTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESC DARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOWT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in UZA via the GUI.	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normal respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detor of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Lie, settlement time "till") is not granted to participants as detected the indicators. The equivalent privilege (Lie, settlement time" till") is not granted to participants and ealed the minimum of the AS (as sender of a failer/message). The RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS/participant. As stated in pag 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments Can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTGS component in U2A and XAP"	Accepted Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160	113	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations AcTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment MTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Below table 47] Amendments are possible in UZA via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Businets case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detroit of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit tim holicitors. The equivalent participant, as detable time indicates abusiness management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debit time indicates abudher (parts) to the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the sender of a He/message), the HTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principes." "Interventions must be made via the business instraface of the HTGS component in UZA and A3A".	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160	113 128 128 140	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.SAmendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive gueue management	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations AcTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORISCD PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Businets case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detored in a XS payment, should no the able to private the sasttlement time "UII") is not gravely and private the sasttlement time "UII") is not gravely and participant, as detection and AS payment, should no the able to private the sasttlement time "UII") is not gravely and participant is novel the time indicators about be the AS (as sender of a IIE/message), the HTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principies." "Interventions must be made with the business interface of the RTGS component in UZA and A2A".	Accepted Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160 161	113 128 128	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.10verview	Table 37 – Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTION: Change of set execution time (if defined leffore sending to the RTGS component) ALTHOREGE PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in ceed analitary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 – Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTION: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT TYPE. Account Holder sending the ACCOUNT TYPE. Account types and their ownership	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normai respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as defort of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time "till") is not granted to participants as detected this would mean a business management change for ASS. In line with our previous comment, the authoritied party to honge the latest debit time indicators. The opluvileent (payment) to share the latest debit mein indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settleth time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a life/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS/participant. As stated in pag 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTGS component in U2A and XAA" The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160	113 128 128 140	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	Table 37 – Options for changing the parameters of payments and authoritations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTHORISED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of anciliary system payments) CG on behalf Table 47 – Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Pigure 24 – Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS OLA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 – Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT THE Ancillary system Enformal account ACCOUNT THE Ancillary system	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normai respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as defort of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time "till") is not granted to participants and ealer this would mean a business management change for ASS. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to honge the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a like/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS/participant. As stated in pag 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), anendments can also be made in A2. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTGS component in U2A and A2A" the principies constructed to AS is currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is lipping to change as a consequence of T2-T2S consolidation?	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160 161	113 128 128 140	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.10verview	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations AcTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORISCD PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: Including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Businets case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionality the name of the table should be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detored in a XS payment, should no the able to privilege (i.e. settlement time "UII") is not granited at privilege (i.e. settlement time "UII") is not granited by privilege (i.e. settlement time the XS settlement time sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the KS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made with the business interface of the RTGS component in UZA and A2A". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a consequence of TA25 consolidation?	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160 161 162 163	113 128 128 140	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.4.1Ancillary system	Table 37 – Options for changing the parameters of payments and authoritations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTHORISED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of anciliary system payments) CG on behalf Table 47 – Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Pigure 24 – Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS OLA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 – Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT THE Ancillary system Enformal account ACCOUNT THE Ancillary system	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Businets case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detored in a KS payment, should no be able to change the latest domest time with one. The equivalent participant, as details instead of under following page. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest details time would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest details time HTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a party and this would mean a business management scan also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie." "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie." "Interventions must be made win the business interface of the RTGS component in UZA and A2A". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a The image representing step 2 (secution of a 30 when tarting a procedure) seems confusiant as this located under the the priority associated users the induced and the outer of a technical account related to procedure D. Jest going to change as a The image representing step 2 (secution of a 30 when tarting a procedure) seems confusiant as the sociated under the	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	
158 159 160 161	113 128 128 140	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview	Table 37 – Options for changing the parameters of payments and authoritations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTHORISED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of anciliary system payments) CG on behalf Table 47 – Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Pigure 24 – Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS OLA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 – Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT THE Ancillary system Enformal account ACCOUNT THE Ancillary system	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normai respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as defore of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time "till") is not granted to participants and eled time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time" till") is not granted to participants and eled time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a liE/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS/participant. Actated in page 113 (B.2.5. Amendment of payment), amendments can alko be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principae. "Therewritions must be made us the business interface of the RTGS component in U2A and A2A" The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be owner of a technical actionum related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a consequence of T2-T2S consolidation? The image representing step 2 (execution of a S0 when starting a procedure) sems confusing as it is located under the starting a procedure) sems confusing as it is located under the starting a procedure) sems confusing as it is located under the starting a procedure) sems confusing as it is located under the starting a procedure) sems confusing as it is located under the starting a procedure) sems confusing as it is located under the	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	see updated document
158 159 160 161 162 163	113 128 128 140	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.5.1Overview 5.3.5.9Processing of ancillary	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AUTHORISCI DARY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in UZA via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT TYP (Aufling ystem technical account ACCOUNT TYP (Aufling ystem technical account ACCOUNT TYP (Aufling ystem technical account ACCOUNT TYP (Aufling ystem) Figure 27 - Tow settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C)	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normai respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detorior an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time "full") is not granted to participant, as detoeld this would mean a business management change for ASS. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to hunge the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a like/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AStated in page 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payment), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in prioricipe: "Interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTGS component in U2A and A2A". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a consequence of T2-T2S consolidation? The image representing step 2 (execution of a S0 when starting a procedure) seems confusing as it is located under the Ats approved be located finder debit). Indicated up advaled line (pacc.cox) and canc.U55 debit).	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	
158 159 160 161 162 163	113 128 128 140 140 153	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) ALTHORISC DRATY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in UZA via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLDER: Ancillary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normai respectively. Additionally, the near of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detorior of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "UII") is not granted to participant, as detoet this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of alle/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "interventions actuated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. Currently in TAMGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a consequence of T2 +T2S consolitation? The image representing step 2 (execution of a 50 when starting a procedure) seems confusing as it is located under that As Tag. From upon (of Viewi I could) be clearer if number 2 Is indicated by a dated line (pasc.could account folder debit). Please table into account this comment for other figures too.	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	
158 159 160 161 162 163 164	113 128 128 140 140 153	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.2Coexising of ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.Sprocessing of ancillary system	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations AcTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESED PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Can obehalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system Figure 27 - Flow settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detored in a XS payment, should not be able to privlage (i.e. settlement time "till") is not granted to privlage to a start bettime indicators should be the AS (as sender of a IIE/message), the HTGS Account Holder (when It is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behald of the AS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in AAA. "The following rules apply in prioricipat. The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be trices component in UA2 and AAA". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be taccount related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure J. Sit going to change as account related to procedure J. Sit going to change as Meximal approcedure) seems confusion? The image representing step 2 (secution of a So Went stating a procedure) seems confusion? We would appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the Account hick ormer for other figures to. Account hick comment for other for user figures to. Account hick comment for other for user figures to.	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected	
158 159 160 161 162 163 164	113 128 128 140 140 153	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.2Coexising of ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.Sprocessing of ancillary system	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESE DRATY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Can obeald Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. After 4 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bask ATGS ACA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account figure 27 - Flow settlement on delicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table should be located just undernash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a table to change the latest debt time indicators. The equivalent privilage is, sattlement time "III") is not granted to participants nonwaday, and this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debt time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a fle/message), the fTGS Account Holder (when It is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the sender as payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in pag 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in AAA. "The following rules apply in principies." "Interventions must be made with the business interface of the RTGS component in UA2 and AAA". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be reglace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure 0. Is it going to change as account related to appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the Account hick oornement for other fluer (supers 12 Indicated under the Account hick oornement for other fluer (supers 12 Metoment as participate) services of the GHS DAC tag. We would appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the clocate holder the order for other fluer stoo. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral asttelment and simultaneous multilateral settlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B,	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected	
158 159 160 161 162 163 164	113 128 128 140 140 153	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.2Coexising of ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.Sprocessing of ancillary system	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESED PART: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Son obehalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and Normai respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detorior an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent participants and table time indicators. The equivalent participants and table this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to frame the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a like/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS statied in page 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in prioricipe: "Interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTGS component in U2A and A2A". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. Currently in TAGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical actionum related to procedure. B is it going to change as a consequence of T2+T2S Consolitation? He indicated by a databel line (pascicous calculated here Hard appreciated line (pascicous calculated here). He indicated by a databel line (pascicous calculated here). Please table into account this comment for other figures too. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral a settiment and amultilateral a	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected	
158 159 160 161 162 163 164	113 128 128 140 140 153 169	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) ALTHORISO PARTY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in UZA via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLDER: Ancilary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009 Table 71 - Process Description STE J. DESCRIPTION: If the "settlement Period ("till") time is indicated and the settlement of either standard or simultaneous multiteral actived when the altoret time.	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table should be located just undernash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a table to change the latest debt time indicators. The equivalent privilage is, sattlement time "III") is not granted to participants nonwaday, and this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debt time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a fle/message), the fTGS Account Holder (when It is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the sender as payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in pag 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in AAA. "The following rules apply in principies." "Interventions must be made with the business interface of the RTGS component in UA2 and AAA". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be reglace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure 0. Is it going to change as account related to appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the Account hick oornement for other fluer (supers 12 Indicated under the Account hick oornement for other fluer (supers 12 Metoment as participate) services of the GHS DAC tag. We would appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the clocate holder the order for other fluer stoo. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral asttelment and simultaneous multilateral settlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B,	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected	
158 159 160 161 162 163 164	113 128 128 140 140 153 169	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.2Coexising of ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.Sprocessing of ancillary system	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESED PART: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Son obehalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table should be located just undernash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a table to change the latest debt time indicators. The equivalent privilage is, sattlement time "III") is not granted to participants nonwaday, and this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debt time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a fle/message), the fTGS Account Holder (when It is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the sender as payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in pag 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in AAA. "The following rules apply in principies." "Interventions must be made with the business interface of the RTGS component in UA2 and AAA". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be reglace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure 0. Is it going to change as account related to appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the Account hick oornement for other fluer (supers 12 Indicated under the Account hick oornement for other fluer (supers 12 Metoment as participate) services of the GHS DAC tag. We would appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the clocate holder the order for other fluer stoo. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral asttelment and simultaneous multilateral settlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B,	Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected	
158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165	113 128 128 140 140 153 169	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments 5.3.6Optional connected	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESE DRATE: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Can obehalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive gueue management ACTON: Change of set execution time ITGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Pigure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement basis. RTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancolary system settlement entities (account constellation for an ancillary system settlement basis. RTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancolary system settlement entities account account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancolary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009	mast be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment showing be associated with the "Settlement priority" payment showing be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a table to change the latest debt time indicators. The equivalent privilage (i.e. sattlement time "III") is not granted to participants nonwaday, and this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debt time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a flie/mesage), the TISS Account Holder (when It is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behald of the sender as a grapt of the table is not discussed to the AS (as amendments can also be made in AAA. "The following rules apply in principies." "Interventions must be made with the business interface of the RTSS component in UA2 and AAA". The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be regiace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure A and and the AS day. The image representing step 2 (secucition of 30 when starting a procedure) seems confusions as it is located under the AS tage. From our point of view It could be clarer f number 2 is indicated under the Account thic comment for other fluer stoo. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral asttelment and simultaneous multilateral stellement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respectively.	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Rejected Accepted	Figure will be kept.
159 160 161 163 164 165	113 128 128 140 140 153 169	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments 5.3.6Optional connected mechanisms	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESE DRATY: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (So in behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive gueue management ACTON: Change of set execution time ITGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bask RTGS ACC for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER. Ancillary system technical account account system standard or simulaneous multilateral settlement fails. In case of simulaneous multilateral settlement Period ("till") time is indicated and the satisfement of enformed and beit transactions covered by needed liquidity are tableed when the allotted time is socceeded the settlement fails. In case of simulaneous multilateral settlement period ("till") time is indicated and the satisfement account and the standard or simulaneous multilateral settlement fails. In case of simulaneous multilateral settlement period ("till") time is indicated and the satisfement account and the standard or simulaneous multilateral settlement is period and beit transactions covered by needed liquidity are failer 20 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 30 - Account shore is an equeration to the start of the start of the set of the start of the set of the set of the start of the set of the set of the start of the set of the set of the start of the set of the start of the set of the start of the searcole the semante. More of the set of the start of the start o	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority High and Normal respectively. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detroit on a AS payment, should no beade to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time "CHI") is not granted to participant, as deteld time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time "CHI") is not granted to participant, as deteld time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le, settlement time "CHI") is not granted to participant, as deteld time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a Hig/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules account related to procedure D. Ist igoing to change as account related to procedure D. Ist igoing to change as tarting a procedure) events contained as According the participation of AS (as comment for a symetrized as the party associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. The inforty associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be indicated by a dashed line (pasc.02) and cant.054 debit). Please take into account this comment for other figures too. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multitareal astendenter and simultaneous multitatral settlement and simultaneous multitatral	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Rejected Accepted	Figure will be kept.
158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments 5.3.6Optional connected mechanisms 5.4.3.12Liquidity reservation and	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESD PART: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment MTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Baba RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Data ACCOUNT HOLE: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLE: Ancillary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009 Table 71 - Process Description TTEP J. DESCRIPTION: If the "settlement Period ("till") time is indicated and the settlement of either standard or simultaneous multilateral actiliants is not yet account of either standard or simultaneous multilateral settlement is performed and debit transactions to stand multilateral actilement is performed and debit transactions covered by insedded layed ther table 30 - Acodity one-time liquidity servation with himmediate effect Table 30 - Create one-time liquidity servation with himmediate effect Table 30 - Monty one-time liquidity servation with himmediate effect	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Busines case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority High and Normal respectively. Memory and the state of the should be located just denoming the number of the should be located just denoming the number of the should be located just denoming the should be associated just participant, as detroit of an AS payment, should not be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le settlent time "CHI") is not granted to participant, as detecting in the would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to hange the latest debit time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a Hig/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in gap 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules pay in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions of the context is the ocated under the A5 tags. From our point of view it could be charer if number 2 is indicated by a dashed line (pasc.002 and cant.055 debt). Please take into account this comment for other figures too. According t	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected Rejected	Figure will be kept.
159 160 161 163 164 165	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.5Optional connected mechanisms 5.4.3.1.2Liquidity	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORISCD PART: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Table 47 - Control control options in cluding HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account figure 29 - Instruction using pass.009 Table 71 - Process Description STEP 1. DESCRIPTION: If and a far testimenter pain their send fails in case of simultaneous multitateral stetlement fails. In case of simultaneous multitateral stetlement fails. In case of simultaneous multitateral stetlement fails. In case of achieved when the allotted time is exceeded the stetlement fails. In case of achieved when the allotted time is exceeded the stetlement fails. In case of achieved when the allotted time is exceeded the utilitateral stetlement fails. In case of achieved when the allotted time is exceeded the utilitateral actiencent of priore and debit transactions towned by meeded liquidity are executed. Table 79 - Create one- time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 39. Modify one chine liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 30. Modify one time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 30. Addity one time liquidity reservation with intervation the ADMID active the subliable liquidity on the RTGS DCA is not sufficient, the	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Businets case" of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" high and tormai respectively. Jobs should be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as in authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detored in the source that the settlement private the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privaleg (Le. settlement time "UIP) is not granted to participant, as detebit time indicators. The equivalent privaleg the settled time indicators is hould be to be able to change the latest debit time indicators. The equivalent privaleg (Le. settled time indicators is should be the AS (as sender of alle/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions quark by a cash be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Ist going to change as consequence of T-12X consolidation? The image representing teg 2 (secution of 35 Owhen stating a procedure) seems confinations would be indicated under the 'Account holder of RTGS DCA' tag. We would appreciate if fortional notifications would be indicated under the place d by procedures, A and B, respectively. To our understanding, the word business should be eliminated. the correct sentence woul	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected Rejected	Figure will be kept.
158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172	payments  5.2.7Processing of payments  5.2.5Amendment of payments  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.3.1Overview  5.3.1Overview  5.3.1Overview  5.3.1Overview  5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments  5.3.6Optional connected mechanisms  5.4.3.2Liquidity reservation and management process  5.4.2 Dedication of	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorizations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) ALTHORESED PART: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment MTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Account Holder Action payments including HU reservations for AS Table 97 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLER. Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLDER: Including pays and their ownership Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009 Table 71. Process Description Table 72. Create one-time liquidity arctecions to stand ratio. In a set jainutaneous multisteral attelement. Prior to the start of the guarantee fund mechanism a transcendent on debit transactions covered by needed liquidity are executed Table 70. Create one-time liquidity revervation with Immediate effect Table 80. Acodity one time liquidity revervation with Immediate effect Table 80. Acodity one time liquidity accounts (and and and min.007 is sent. Page 208) In case the available liquidity on the RTGS DCA is not sufficient, the following hull apply.	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment shall be associated with the "Settlement priority" payment be associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a business of the table should be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the latest debt into indicated bits into disclosed to the should be located privilege (i.e. settlement time "III") is not granted to participants nowakay and this would mean a business management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debt time indicators should be the AS (as sender of a fle/message), the TISS Account Holder (when It is the sender cal payment) and the CB on behald of the statistic in page 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in AAA. "The following rules apply in principae. "Interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTS component in UAS and AAP." The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a consequence of T2-T2S consolidation? The image representing step 2 (secucition of 300 When stating a procedure) seems confusing as it is located under the AS tage. From our point of view It could be clearer if number 2 is indicated under the Account this comment for other fluques to 1. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral settlement and simultaneous multilateral settlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respectively.	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected Rejected	Figure will be kept.
158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172 193	payments  5.2.7Processing of payments  5.2.5Amendment of payments  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.3.10verview  5.3.10verview  5.3.10verview  5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments  5.4.5.20ptional connected mechanisms  5.4.3.12Liquidity reservation and management process  5.4.3.12Liquidity reservation and management process  5.4.3.12Liquidity	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESE DRATE: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (So no behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive gueue management ACTON: Change of set execution time ITGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (SACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ACTON: Change of set execution time ITGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement base ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system for to the start of the paramete Multi active et al eliterate atterment prior to the start of the parameter Multi active active and and the transactions covered by needed liquidity are accured when the alitted time is anceded the statement fails. In case of simultaneous multilateral astettment fails. In case of simultaneous multilateral astettment fails. In case of simultaneous multilateral astettment for the start of the earies and the accured on the simulater astettment fails. The set of the simulateral asteclement to performed and debt transactions covered by needed liquidity are accured to head the simulaterations covered by needed lingulation	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N payment bit associated with the "Settlement priority" payment bit associated with the "Settlement priority" Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneash this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as its today. Moreover, to our understanding a bit today. Woreover, to our understanding a participants nonselectible time indicators. The equivalent privilage (i.e. settlement time "III") is not granted to participants nonselectible time indicators should be the AG (as sender of a flie/message), the TGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the sender of a flie/message). The TGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in pag 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in AAA. "The following rules apply in principies." "Interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTGS component in UAA and AAP." The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be reglace by U. Currently in TARGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure A and day. We would appreciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the Account hick oormer for other fluer stool. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral astellement and simultaneous multilateral settlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respectively. To our understanding, the word business should be eliminated. The correct sentence would be then in case of a negative technical wild and made and adminitaneous multilateral settlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respectively.	Accepted Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Rejected Rejected Clarification	Figure will be kept.  Figure will be kept.  Both terms are used as correct from business perspective.  The understanding, that admi.007 is only sent for technical validation, is correct.  In case the CB acts on behalf of an AS, partial execution is possible. In case the CB acts on behalf of
158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172 193	payments  5.2.7Processing of payments  5.2.5Amendment of payments  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.3.1Overview  5.3.1Overview  5.3.1Overview  5.3.1Overview  5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments  5.3.6Optional connected mechanisms  5.4.3.2Liquidity reservation and management process  5.4.2 Dedication of	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORISCD PART: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (CB on behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ARTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ARTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ARTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ARTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009 Table 71 - Process Description STEP 1. GECGRIPTION: If the "stendenet Freiding ("ILI") time is indicated and the settlement procedure () Table 79 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 70 - C	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Businets case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and tormai respectively. Jobs should be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detected in the indicators. The equivalent privales (Les as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detected time indicators. The equivalent privales (Les asteed to the indicators is should be the AS (as sender of a Hie/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules ago the table of the AS (Les CH) and AAT." The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. The image representing step 2 ( execution of a So Went stating a procedure) seems confusions would be indicated under the 'Account holder of RTGS DCA' tag. We would appenciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the 'Account holder of RTGS DCA' tag. We would appenciate if optional notifications would be indicated under the adviscutor of AS procedures, standard multilateral settlem	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Rejected Rejected	Figure will be kept. Figure will be kept. Both terms are used as correct from business perspective. The understanding, that admi.007 is only sent for technical validation, is correct.
158 160 161 162 163 164 165 166	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172 193	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.6Optional connected mechanism 5.4.3.12Liquidity reservation and management process 5.4.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system settlement	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORESE DRATE: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (So no behalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive gueue management ACTON: Change of set execution time ITGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (SACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ACTON: Change of set execution time ITGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Below table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement base ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary system for to the start of the paramete Multi active et al eliterate atterment prior to the start of the parameter Multi active active and and the transactions covered by needed liquidity are accured when the alitted time is anceded the statement fails. In case of simultaneous multilateral astettment fails. In case of simultaneous multilateral astettment fails. In case of simultaneous multilateral astettment for the start of the earies and the accured on the simulater astettment fails. The set of the simulateral asteclement to performed and debt transactions covered by needed liquidity are accured to head the simulaterations covered by needed lingulation	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and tormai respectively. Jobs should be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detected in the indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "UII") is not granted to participant, as detected the indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "UII") is not granted to participant, as detected the indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "UII") is not granted to participant, as detected the indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le. settlement time "UII") is not granted to participant, as detected the indicators should be the AS (as sender of alle/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when it is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. The following rules account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as account related to option of view it could be clearer I number 2 is indicated under the 'Account holder of RTGS DCA' tag. We would appreciate If optional notifications would be indicated by a dashed line (pace:002 and cant DSA debit). Please take into account this comment, for other figures too. According to the new name of AS procedure	Accepted Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Rejected Rejected Clarification	Figure will be kept.  Figure will be kept.  Both terms are used as correct from business perspective.  The understanding, that admi.007 is only sent for technical validation, is correct.  In case the CB acts on behalf of an AS, partial execution is possible. In case the CB acts on behalf of
158 160 161 162 163 164 165 166	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172 193 207	payments  5.2.7Processing of payments  5.2.5Amendment of payments  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management  5.3.10verview  5.3.10verview  5.3.10verview  5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments  5.4.5.20ptional connected mechanisms  5.4.3.12Liquidity reservation and management process  5.4.3.12Liquidity reservation and management process  5.4.3.12Liquidity	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations AcTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS component) AITHORISCD PART: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment or debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Con obehalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: NTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Editor Count of the constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: NTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Editor table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: NTGS Account Holder sending the payment (Editor table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement bank RTGS ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account ACCOUNT HOLER: Ancillary ystem technical account active 27 - Flow settlement no dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using pacs.009 Table 71 - Process Description STEP 1. DSCRIPTION: If the "steffenement Period ("NII") time is indicated and the settlement is period and delit transactions covered by needed liquidity are active dwhen the allotted time is acceeded the settlement fails. In case of simultaneous multilateral settlement fails. In case of simulta	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case' of H payment and N payment should be associated with the "Settlement priority" High and tormai respectively. Date should be located just underneath this table instead of in the following page. We miss AS as an authorised party to change the execution time as it is today. Moreover, to our understanding a participant, as detected in the indicators. The equivalent privilege (Le settlet time indicators. Solud be the AS (s sender of alle/message), the RTGS Account Holder (when Is is the sender of a payment) and the CB on behalf of the AS stated in page 113 (S.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules paply in principie: "Interventions must be made in A2A. "The following rules apply in principie: "Interventions associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be replace by U. The image representing step 2 (secution of a So Went strating a procedure) seems confraines as it is located under the AS tag. From our point of view I could be clearer I number 2 is indicated under the /Account holder of RTGS DCA' tag. We would apprecisite if optional nortifications would be indicated by a dashed line (pace.002 and cant.055 debit). Please take into account this comment for other figures too. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilatoral settilement and simultaneous multilatoral settileme	Accepted Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Rejected Rejected Clarification	Figure will be kept.  Figure will be kept.  Both terms are used as correct from business perspective.  The understanding, that admi.007 is only sent for technical validation, is correct.  In case the CB acts on behalf of an AS, partial execution is possible. In case the CB acts on behalf of
158 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	113 128 140 140 153 169 172 193 207 212	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.3.10verview 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement processing of ancillary ystem transactions using payments 5.4.3.1.2.Liquidity reservation and management process 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system settlement 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system settlement 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system settlement 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS and the set of the set of the sending the payment of debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Can obehalf Table 47 - Canage of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (REGN account constellation for an ancillary system settlement MTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT THOLDER: Ancillary system STGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT THOL Callery system technical account ACCOUNT THOL Callery system School account ACCOUNT THOL Callery School account account ACCOUNT THOL Callery School	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding, the "Business case" of H payment and N to symmet should be pacetalised with the "settlement priority" High and Normi respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneas this table instead of in the following page. We miss As as an authonised party to change the execution participant, as debitor of an AS payment, should no be participant, as debitor of an AS payment, should no be participant, as debitor of an AS payment, should no be participant, as debitor of an AS payment, should no be participant, as debitor of an AS payment, should no be participant in outpervised time indicators should be the AG as management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latter debit time indicators should be the AG as some and all IP/message), the HGS Account Holdier (when It is AS/participant. As stated in page 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made via the business interface of the MTSS component in UD2 and AZA* The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be territy in TANGET2, a CB might be the owner of a technical account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a consequence of T2-125 Consoliations volid be change as a more preventing table 2 (secution of a 30 when stating a procedure) seems confung as it is located under the AS tag. From our point of view it could be change a a more payment of the associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be indicated under the Account this comment for other figures too. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral astellement and alimitaneous multilateral stetlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respectively. To our understanding, the word business should be eliminated. The correct sentence would be then in case of a negative tethnical business validation an adminutencess multilateral stetlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respect	Accepted Clarification Accepted Clarification Accepted Rejected Clarification Clarification	Figure will be kept.  Figure will be kept.  Both terms are used as correct from business perspective.  The understanding, that admi.007 is only sent for technical validation, is correct.  In case the CB acts on behalf of an AS, partial execution is possible. In case the CB acts on behalf of
158 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 166	113 128 128 140 140 153 169 172 193 207	payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.5Amendment of payments 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.3.10verview 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement processing of ancillary ystem transactions using payments 5.4.3.1.2.Liquidity reservation and management process 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system settlement 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system settlement 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system settlement 5.4.3.3.Dedication of liquidity for ancillary system	Table 37 - Options for changing the parameters of payments and authorisations ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS ACTON: Change of set execution time (if defined before sending to the RTGS and the set of the set of the sending the payment of debtor of payment (only in case of ancillary system payments) (Can obehalf Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment Table 47 - Control options for comprehensive queue management ACTON: Change of set execution time RTGS ACCOUNT HOLDER: RTGS Account Holder sending the payment (REGN table 47) Amendments are possible in U2A via the GUI. Figure 24 - Generic account constellation for an ancillary system settlement MTGS DCA for payments including HU reservations for AS Table 59 - Account types and their ownership ACCOUNT HOLDER: Ancillary system Settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using paces.009 Table 71 - Process Description STF2 JL DSCRIPTION: If the "settlement Period ("NIT") time is indicated and the exelationent is performed and debit transactions to standard settlements and the settlement procedure C) Figure 29 - Instruction using paces.009 Table 71 - Process Description STF2 JL DSCRIPTION: It is an elimination of the fitter is the guarantee fund mechanism at random and issue and the instructions covered by needed liquidity are executed Table 79 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 29 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 29 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 29 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 29 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 29 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 29 - Create one-time liquidity reservation with immediate effect Table 29 - Create	must be incorporated into UDPS. To our understanding. The "Business case" of H payment and N to point should be possible with the "Settlement priority" High and Normi respectively. Additionally, the name of the table shoul be located just underneas this table instead of in the following page. We miss As as an automised party to change the execution participant, as debtor of an AS payment, should nee as belt to honge the latest debt time indicators. The equivalent privilege (i.e. settlement time. 'NIT') is not granted to participant, as debtor of an AS payment, should be the AG as management change for ASs. In line with our previous comment, the authorised party to change the latest debt time indicators should be the AG as sense and a IIP message), the HTGS Account Holder (when It is same and a IIP message), the HTGS Account Holder (when It is As fated in pag 113 (5.2.5. Amendment of payments), amendments can also be made uia the business interface of the MTGS component in UD2 and A2A* The priority associated to AS is U. Therefore, HU should be terring a procedure jesters of HGS DAC lang. The image representing step 2 ( secution of a 3 to When starting a procedure) seems confung at it is load under the AS tag from our point of view it could be the AG as account related to procedure D. Is it going to change as a consequence of T2-125 Consolitations vould be indicated under the Account his comment of HGS DAC lang. We would appreciste if optional notifications would be indicated under the Account his comment for other figures too. According to the new name of AS procedures, standard multilateral astellement and alimitaneous multilateral stetlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respectively. To our understanding, the word business should be eliminated. The correct sentence would be then in case of a negative tethnical business validation an adminuteneous multilateral stetlement, should be replaced by procedure A and B, respectively.	Accepted Clarification Accepted Clarification Accepted Rejected Clarification Clarification	Figure will be kept.  Figure will be kept.  Both terms are used as correct from business perspective.  The understanding, that admi.007 is only sent for technical validation, is correct.  In case the CB acts on behalf of an AS, partial execution is possible. In case the CB acts on behalf of

			Table page 42-43 DEDUCTION OF TECHNICAL ADDRESS: Payments and cancellation requests are	From our point of view it could be clearer explained as follow: Payments and cancellation requests are sent to the technical		
	41		sent to the technical address which is derived from the addressed business parties. The receiving business party is identified by to BIC (business identifier	address which is derived from the addressed business parties (identified in the attribute <to> BIC located in the BAH of the</to>		
172		2.6Routing	code) in the BAH.	message/file).	Accepted	
			General comment on the payment function	The information period is not available in the payment		
				function, while it is foreseen in the URD page 52. This can be seen as a regression compared to existing ASI 2 and 3, because		
				settlement banks will no longer have the possibility to have a		
				time buffer in order to bring liquidity on their account in case the balance wouldn't be sufficient to settle the AS amount		
				announced during the information period		
	169			<ul> <li>The loss of the information period option would oblige AS to find other ways to submit this information to settlement banks</li> </ul>		
				before the settlement occurs : there is a risk of fragmented		
				non-harmonised approach among AS and the need to develop specific communication channels in order to be ensure to		
		5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using		reach all the participants		
173		payments			Clarification	Level 2 discussion ongoing
		5.3.5Processing of ancillary	Thus ancillary systems using payments might credit also RTGS DCAs not being assigned to as a settlement bank of this ancillary system	We see it as a regression compared to the existing functionity, that the AS could send a credit to a bank which is not		
	170	system transactions using	assigned to as a section of the anemaly system	participating in this AS which is definitely less secure and does		
174		payments		not correspondent to the AS needs.	Clarification	Level 2 discussion ongoing
			Table 68 : In case of settlement failure the pacs.002 is treated as mandatory, in case of successful execution the pacs.002 is optional.	As sender, the AS will be notified by a pacs.UU2 in case of settlement failure, but the settlement bank will not be notified		
				which is a regression compared to the present service. In case of resending the AS will be obliged to resend the payment		
	172	5.3.5Processing of ancillary		order with an other reference in order to avoid the payment to		
		system transactions using		be considered as a duplicate entry.		
175		payments	Table 68 : The sender of the pacs.009 is notified via pacs.002 on the settlement	The AS will be notified for each settlement transaction while	Clarification	Level 2 discussion ongoing
			status as soon as a final status was reached. In case of settlement failure the	the present ASI 3 allows to have one overall summary of all		
			pacs.002 is treated as mandatory, in case of successful execution the pacs.002 is optional	settlements sent by the AS (which it definitely prefers to have also for the future), which means that the AS will have to		
	172			process multiple pacs.002 while it processes only one single file		
		5.3.5Processing of ancillary		currently. This can also be a source of additional costs too, according to the pricing conditions applied to messages.		
176		system transactions using payments			Clarification	Level 2 discussion ongoing
			General comment	In case the AS chooses to send multiple files containing for		
	144			each of them one debit and one credit, could you please specify if there is a volume constraint concerning the number		
		5.3.2Ancillary system		of settlements that can be sent via this procedure ?		The RTGS non functional requirements apply
177		settlement procedure A	The annillany system needs to be authorized in reference data to conditionary 000	In the current procedure, only the defined BICs for AC	Clarification	(see URD RTGS.UR.NFR.ALL.080)
			The ancillary system needs to be authorised in reference data to send pacs.009 for the given RTGS DCA by the account owner.	settlement can debited or credited by this AS. In future the		
	100			account holder manages the rights himself - therefore it needs to be ensured somewhat that a situation of erroneously		
	169	5.3.5Processing of ancillary		withdrawal of AS rights, which could have a serious impact on		
		system transactions using		the AS operations, can be definitely avoided.	a. 15	
178		payments	Time indicators : Although the effect on settlement is very similar to	The use of time indicators and information period/settlement	Clarification	Level 2 discussion ongoing
	170	5.3.5Processing of ancillary	Information Period and Settlement Period ('till') op-tion, there are also	period is not identical : in one case, it is a duration, while in the		
179	110	system transactions using payments	differences	other case it is an scheduled time. Preference for the duration of payments 009.	Clarification	Level 2 discussion ongoing
1/9		payments	General comment	In the current ASI 3 procedure, in case the AS can't send the AS	Clarification	Lever 2 discussion ongoing
				file to Target2, the NCB can apply a contigency procedure in order to process the file on behalf of the AS. With regards to		
	291			the future standard payment functionnality, it will be very		
				important to clarify which back-up solution will be applied (AS will send multiple pacs.009 files to NCB?)		
180		9.6Contingency - specific functions for CBs		win send multiple pars.005 mes to web:)	Clarification	This aspect will have to be taken on board in the respective change request.
			An authorised system user who has sent a payment message has the ability to			and a provide a second s
			initiate the revocation of a payment using a PaymentCancellationRequest (camt.056).	a payment without notifying the settlement bank thereon. Since this information is considered important for the		
	118		(carrieso).	settlement bank such a notification should be considered at		
		5.2.6Revocation of		least optional for the settlement bank.		
101						Net serviced by UDD
181		payments	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be	Rejected	Not required by URD.
	231	payments	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be defintely useful to have more than one		RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information
	231		General comment General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be defintely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries.	Rejected Clarification	
182	231 384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS		Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be		RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDPS v2.0.
182		payments	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be		RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information
182		payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope		Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 It would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2.	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182		payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the C2 (for orivided enough that the setup of the toperational risk.	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182		payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intraday credit from the ECG (provided enough sets are available in the P0 a30), we want to make sure that	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182		payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the C2 (for orivided enough that the setup of the toperational risk.	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182		payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the CE (florovided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for intraday credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account.	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182		payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is eacher of operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intraduct relational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing cost point the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intraduct caces to the Central Bank liquidity there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182		payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried nore the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the 12 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be accounded enough subsects are avoided in the Poi 33(b) we want to make sure that there will be an automatic cacess to the Central Bank liquidity for lineary credit for any debit on the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remained flat Overright, we need an automatic carsefer from the CLM.	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We undestand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the C2 (floroxided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Cartral Bank liquidity for intrady credit from any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if Eures debits the T2 account twy early in Paris hours, with a 72 account that would have remained flat	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the C2 (around de enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Call root advance for example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remained flat Overnight, we need an automatic transfer from the CLM account into 72, uring either the remaining position on the account, or using the C8 Credit line.	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We undestand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the esitting setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the C2 (growided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Call root and subject for example, if Eure debits the T2 account twe yearly in Paris hours, with a T2 account that vould have remained flat Overnight, we need an automatic transfer from the CLM account in 72, urgin either the remaining position on the account or, using the C8 Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for 24 anound above the actual T2 position at this	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the eccount a sative for oper (i.e. or ectivation) in order to limit the operations. It would be schestion in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by impact yreal from the C16 (provided enough that the back and the transfer on the covered instantly by impact yreal from the C16 (provided enough that the back and the transfer on the C16 account. For earning II, errors debits on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For earning II, errors debits the T2 account were early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining for foreingity, wene and a automatic transfer from the C1M account, or using the C8 Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit on payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account or any amount above the actual T2 position at the given time, provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time. provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time. Provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time. Provotes an automatic transfer from the C1M account for any amount above the actual T2 position at thi	Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date.
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We undestand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the C2 (growided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Call root and subject for example, if Eures debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if Eures down the T2 account twe yearly in Paris for example, if Eures down the T2 account twe yearly in Paris account that 72, using either the remaining position on the account or vising the C8 Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for 24 anound above the actual T2 position at this	Clarification	The Sinformation management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message	General comment	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the eccount a sative for operations. It would be schedule to a status of the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by instancy credit from the C16 (provided enough tasts with be an abandmark schedule to the GTA account for instantly be an advantic schedule to the GTA account for earning L free data to the GTA account were early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining flat forwing the advance data to the count were early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining flat count for T2, using either the remaining position on the account, or using the CB Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit on payment on the T2 account for any advance an automatic transfer from the CLM secount for any anount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provotes an automatic transfer from the CLM account or any amount above the actual T2 position at the secount for any atomatic transfer from the CLM account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above	Clarification Clarification	The Sinformation management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. exemt.035/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date.
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope	General comment General comment The credit line – if available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – if available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the celling threshold the amount will be pushed to the	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 7 it would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by Intrady credit from the CEG (provided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if Eure debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that vould have remained flat Overnight, we need an automatic transfer from the CLM account in or using the C8 Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account into the T2 account to vould to payment on the T2 account into the T2 account to vould the payment the transfer form the CLM account into the T2 account to vould be actual T2 position on the account or avaing the C8 Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for any nound above the actual T2 position on the isodom for any nound above the actual T2 position on the isodom time the T2 account to vour this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct	Clarification	The Sinformation management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account
182	179	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried none therecound satch in for specific in one activation in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by instancy credit from the C16 (provided enough has a setup to a start of the transfer of the transfer of the account, where any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For earning I, furses debits on the T2 RTGS DCA account for earning I. Furses debits on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For early in PAris hours, with a T2 account thet would have remaining flat for inition T2, using either the remaining position on the account, or using the C8 Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic ransfer from the C1M account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the CDA T2 account into the C1M account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment.	Clarification Clarification	IT is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending () urgent payment or SA transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account tidder such an inter-service liquidity transfer will be executed during the settlement windows for CTs (for more details on the business day, please refer to UDFS chapter 4).
182	384	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message	General comment General comment The credit line – if available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – if available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the celling threshold the amount will be pushed to the	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be extension to endy the term of the second results of the transmitter of the second is active for operations. It would be transmitter to the second is active for operations in the transmitter of the second is the second results of account, where any debit on the T2 account, and be covered instantly by intrasting secund second the C2 account, where any debit on the T2 account were avery in Paris hours, whith T2 account that would have remained flat Overright, we need that any debit on the T2 account were avery in Paris hours, with T2 account that would have remained flat Overright, we need that any debit on the C1M account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the C1M account into T2 account that will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the CLM account for any account that the CLM account of any account the CLM T2 account for any can be completed to a start on the transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account the CLM account for any can be completed to a start on the transfer from the CLM account for any can be that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCAT2 account into the CLM account into the T2 account that the CLM account. And the CLM account for any can be and the CLM account the CLM account were a sch receipt into the T2 account into the CLM account on a pair heaping in the DCAT2 account into the CLM account on a pair heaping in the DCAT2 account into the CLM account on a pair heaping in the CLM account. And the account for any cash receipt in the T2 DCA account. And the account for any cash receipt in the T2 DCA account. And theaping account a	Clarification Clarification	The Sinformation management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.035/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Holder such an inter-service Biodity transfers in the second during the settlement windows for account of the second sec
182	179	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3Arseach of	General comment The credit line – If available - Is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - Is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the celling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited.	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those data in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the estignt system of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account, and be covered instartly by intrady credit from the CE (B) provided enough assets are available in the Pool 30), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidly for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. for example, if £ures debits the T2 account very early in Paris account into T2, ung either the remained flat Overnight, we need an automatic transfer from the CLM account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2, ung either the remained flat covernight, we need that any debit on payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DA T2 account. Cur purpose is to pool our liquidby into the CLM account, and to gene Doc T2 account ration claus.	Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account the design of the busies day, please refer to UDFS classer 4).
182	179	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited. then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be extension to endy the term of the second results of the transmitter of the second is active for operations. It would be transmitter to the second is active for operations in the transmitter of the second is the second results of account, where any debit on the T2 account, and be covered instantly by intrasting secund second the C2 account, where any debit on the T2 account were avery in Paris hours, whith T2 account that would have remained flat Overright, we need that any debit on the T2 account were avery in Paris hours, with T2 account that would have remained flat Overright, we need that any debit on the C1M account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the C1M account into T2 account that will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the CLM account for any account that the CLM account of any account the CLM T2 account for any can be completed to a start on the transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account the CLM account for any can be completed to a start on the transfer from the CLM account for any can be that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCAT2 account into the CLM account into the T2 account that the CLM account. And the CLM account for any can be and the CLM account the CLM account were a sch receipt into the T2 account into the CLM account on a pair heaping in the DCAT2 account into the CLM account on a pair heaping in the DCAT2 account into the CLM account on a pair heaping in the CLM account. And the account for any cash receipt in the T2 DCA account. And the account for any cash receipt in the T2 DCA account. And theaping account a	Clarification Clarification	IT GS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Hidde such an inter-service liquidity transfer libe executed during the settlement windows for LT. (for more details on the business day, Jeaser Fefer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.VPU.LTGT.060.010 was deteded.
182	210	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold -	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited the ATGS DCA will be debited. then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers.	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 71 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be particular to be accound is active for operations. It would be activation in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered metals are subject to avoid a scheduler of the transfer on the transfer of the total poweright, we need that any debit on the T2 account of the transfer from the CLM account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at the gene time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account into the T2 account the transfer from the CLM account or any cancel relater flates to purpose is to pool uniquidity into the CLM account, and to keep the DCA T2 account into the CLM account, and to keep the DCA T2 account the transfer flates to by ou confirm that various certificates and be assigned to a single user?	Clarification Clarification Clarification	IT GS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Hidder such an inter-service liquidity transfer will be executed during the settlement windows for CIs (for more details on the business day, please ret to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling in ot checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.WP.ULIT G60.010 was deteed.
182	210	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer	General comment  The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM  In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited. then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into detail, chapter Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some basc notions (see, user certificate, DK certific	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those data is an advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a engression from the estigiting setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account, can be covered instartly by intrady credit from the C2 (B) provided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank Higuidity for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if £ures debits the T2 account very early in Paris account to 72 using either the remained flat Overnight, we need an automatic transfer from the CLM account to ray amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account to the T2 account tak two did bair payment. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment the CLM account to the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfer from the DCAT2 account. Cur purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidity into the CLM account, Cur purpose is pool our liquidit	Clarification Clarification Clarification	IT GS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Hidde such an inter-service liquidity transfer libe executed during the settlement windows for LT. (for more details on the business day, Jeaser Fefer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.VPU.LTGT.060.010 was deteded.
182	384 179 210 37	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer	General comment  The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM  In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited.  Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into defail, chapter Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some bacilotics applications The back-office applications	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the estigiting setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account, can be covered instartly by intrady credit from the C2 (B) provided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank Higuidly for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if £ures debits the T2 account very early in Paris account to T2 uping either the remained flat Overnight, we need an automatic transfer from the CLM account to ray amount above the accual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account to the T2 account tak two did bair payment. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the CLM account to the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfer from the DCAT2 account. Dury upone is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, our purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, four purpose	Clarification Clarification Clarification	IT GS information management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Hidde such an inter-service liquidity transfer libe executed during the settlement windows for LT. (for more details on the business day, Jeaser Fefer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.VPU.LTGT.060.010 was deteded.
182 183 184 184	384 179 210 37	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debitedthen it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into defail, bapter Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some basic notions (e.g. user, certificate, DN, technical sender) related to access right smagement in the XIMET Services, commo components and back-Office applications EAch party can define for sech account and message type exactly one technical	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be meried note the account is active for operations. It would be necessar to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of his fore- existination in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 activation in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 activation in order and version to the CS1 (provided enough sets are available in the Pol 33), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for Intraday credit from the ECS1 (provided enough hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining for the cample, IF dures debits the T2 ATGS DCA account. For emple, IF dures debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining forsition on the account or any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account or any cash receipt into the T2 DCA account. And to keep the DCA T2 account rather flat. Do you confirm that various certificates an be assigned to a single user? Could you carry what various certificates and be assigned to a single user? Could you carry what you are referring to as "back-offic applications" which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. If configured by the respective RTGS Account if is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. If configured by the respective RTGS Account if is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers. Set URD CR002 where RTGS.UR-RTMP LQT.060.010 was dialeted. The breach of calling is not checked for lequidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR-RTMP LQT.060.010 was dialeted. The breach of calling is checked for actived payments and AS transfers. ECMM it is technical possible to assign multiple DMs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.
182 183 184 184	384 179 210 37	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate	General comment  The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM  In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited.  Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into defail, chapter Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some bacilotics applications The back-office applications	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried ? It would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a engression from the estigiting setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account, can be covered instartly by intrady credit from the C2 (B) provided enough assets are available in the Pool 3G), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank Higuidly for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if furse debits the T2 account very early in Paris account to T2 uping either the remained flat Overnight, we need an automatic transfer from the CLM account to ray amount above the accual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account to the T2 account tak two did bair payment. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for any anound above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account to the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCAT2 account. Our purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, our purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is opool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose is to pool our liquidity into the CLM account, for purpose	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDPS v2.0. acmt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. It configured by the respective RTGS Account Hidder such an inter-avrice liquidity marker will be exceeded during the settlement windows for CIT. (for more details on the business day, please refer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.MLHV LUT 406.010 was deleted. In CRDM It is technical possible to assign multiple DNs.
182 183 184 184	384 179 210 37 37	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited. Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into defail, chapter Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some basic notions (e.g. user, certificate, DN, technical sender) relate to access rights management in the TANGT Services, common components and back-office applications Each party can define for each account and message type eachty one technical darderss the message halle seen to. RTG shertlifes the cancel (message- based or file-based) depending on the size of the me-sage to be sent.	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to variour queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. leftor activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by intrady credit from the C2 (B provided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, we need that any debit or payment on the 12 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any cance so mutual transfer from the CLM account in the T2 account that would be possible to instruct automatic transfer from the CLM 27 account, and to keep the DLM 72 account returned frage for applications? "Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. If configured by the respective RTGS Account if is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. If configured by the respective RTGS Account if is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers. Set URD CR002 where RTGS.UR-RTMP LQT.060.010 was dialeted. The breach of calling is not checked for lequidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR-RTMP LQT.060.010 was dialeted. The breach of calling is checked for actived payments and AS transfers. ECMM it is technical possible to assign multiple DMs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.
182 183 183 184 185 186 187	384 179 210 37 37	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate	General comment  The credit line – if available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM  In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTOS DCA will be debited.  Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into defail, chapter Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some basic notions (e.g. user certificate, DK, tendinals and applications to aback-office applications Each party can define for each account and message type eacity one technical address the message halle eace in C. MCK is dentifies the channel (message	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be meried note the account is active for operations. It would be necessar to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of his fore- existination in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 activation in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 activation in order and version to the CS1 (provided enough sets are available in the Pol 33), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for Intraday credit from the ECS1 (provided enough hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining for the cample, IF dures debits the T2 ATGS DCA account. For emple, IF dures debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining forsition on the account or any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account or any cash receipt into the T2 DCA account. And to keep the DCA T2 account rather flat. Do you confirm that various certificates an be assigned to a single user? Could you carry what various certificates and be assigned to a single user? Could you carry what you are referring to as "back-offic applications" which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective BTGS Account Midder such an inter-write liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment to rast transfer orders and (iii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective BTGS Account Midder such an inter-write liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where MTGS URI-WL LICT 066.010 was deleted. The breach of calling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where MTGS URI-WL LICT 066.010 was deleted. The treach of calling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers. In CRDM it is technical possible to asign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (inclusive) accounded of depending on
182 183 183 183 183 184 186 186 187 188 188 189 189	384 179 210 37 37 43	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of 1600/Ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 2.6Routing	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM in case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debitedthen it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into defail, bapter Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some basic notions (e.g. user, certificate, DN, technical sender) related to access right smagement in the TMCS Services, commo components and back-drifice applications Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message- based or Ilie-based of besed one) and each of them is complexed one in the sent of the message to be sent. Charters item : Outbound communication in Store-n-forward message-based.	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to variour queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. leftor activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by intrady credit from the C2 (B provided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. For example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, if Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris for example, we need that any debit or payment on the 12 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any cance so mutual transfer from the CLM account in the T2 account that would be possible to instruct automatic transfer from the CLM 27 account, and to keep the DLM 72 account returned frage for applications? "Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective BTGS Account Midder such an inter-write liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment to rast transfer orders and (iii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective BTGS Account Midder such an inter-write liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where MTGS URI-WL LICT 066.010 was deleted. The breach of calling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where MTGS URI-WL LICT 066.010 was deleted. The treach of calling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers. In CRDM it is technical possible to asign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (inclusive) accounded of depending on
182	384 179 210 37 37 43	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In Case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited. Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity provides Theorem to detail, daget Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] Moresmits some basic rolitons (e.g. user, certificate, DN, technical sender) related to access right management in the TARGET Services, common components and back office applications Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message halle sent in LRTGS identifies the channel (message- based or file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent. Cuarries intem - Outbound communication in Store-n-forward message-based, mail-time file-based Indirect participants : have no own RTGS DCA and/or RTGS sub-account	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to variour queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by intrady credit from the C2 (B) provided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 ATCSD CA account. For example, if E area debits the T2 account stype arely in PATS borours, with 3 T2 account that would have remained flat Cocourt into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any amount above that actual T2 position at this account for any cannot that would have count under the CLM account into the T2 account that well be possible to instruct automatic transfer from the CLM 2 account, and to keep the DA T2 account refute full. Could you calrify what you are referring to as "back-office applications" "Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. If configured by the respective REG Account Midder such an inter-work equivalent for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.HVP.LIQT.066.010 was deleted. The breach of calling is not checked for lequidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.HVP.LIQT.066.010 was deleted. The breach of calling is not checked for settied payments and AS transfers. In CRDM it is technical possible to asign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the change (incluses) be configured as the message base of file-based) depending on genesation
182	384 179 210 37 37 43	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit of the celling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited. Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers Carlo mot detail, Daget Authentication and authoristic concepts [] 37] presents some basic colors (e.g. user, certificate, DN, technical sender) related and back-office applications Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message table be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message- based or file-based) Carleris inten : Outbound communication in Store-n-forward message-based, mailtime file-based Indirect participants : have no own RTGS DCA and/or RTGS sub-account Flaxb.mode: T2 sends after the EOD processing the full version or the deflat version of the RTGS decreation and and the CAR of Stores with an applications	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 12 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to variour queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by intrady credit from the C2 (B provided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for intrady credit for any debit on the T2 ATCSD CA account. For example, if Eures debits the T2 account were parily in Paris counts, with a T2 account that would have remained flat Corengin, we need that any debit on payment on the Cacount into T2, using eithering the maining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2 account that would bave remained flat Gound rof any amount above the actual T2 position at this account for any caller the the maining position on the account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfer from the CLM 2 account, and to keep the DA T2 account reture france flat. Do you confirm that various certificates can be assigned to a high user? Could you confirm that various ereflicates can be assigned to a high user? Could you confirm that various ereflicates and basic-office aparameter ? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. It configured by the respective RTGS Account thedes such an inter-service liquid) runnafer will be executed during the settiment windows for It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. It configured by the respective RTGS Account Hiddes such an inter-service liquid) runnafer will be executed during the settiment windows for It. (for more details on the business day, please refer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See UBD CR002 where RTGS.MLHV LUT (AGOLW was detect). In CRDM It is technical possible to assign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message-based of file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent and the system limitation.
182 183 183 183 183 184 186 186 187 188 188 189 189	384 179 210 37 37 43 51	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of 1600/Ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 2.6Routing	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM in case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 14 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be generid once the account is active for operation. It would be necessar to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 cativation in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 existing the interventional risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 exists are available in the Poi 33(b) we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for Intraday credit from the ECB (provided enough sets are available in the Poi 33(b) excount very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining for the cample, if. Eures debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account the early and parison on the account or using the CB credit lime. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account or using heces print the T2 DCA account. And to keep the DCA T2 account into the CLM account, and to keep the DCA T2 account rather full. Do you confirm that various certificates an be assigned to a single user? Could you caring that various certificates and be assigned to a single user? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :and/or RTGS sub-account Could you caring that part is and account of T2 sends the RTGS directory ?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. If configured by the respective REG Account Midder such an inter-work equivalent for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.HVP.LIQT.066.010 was deleted. The breach of calling is not checked for lequidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.UR.HVP.LIQT.066.010 was deleted. The breach of calling is not checked for settied payments and AS transfers. In CRDM it is technical possible to asign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the change (incluses) be configured as the message base of file-based) depending on genesation
182	384 179 210 37 37 43 51	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM in case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debitedthen it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into default, Apater Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some basic notions (e.g. user, certificate, DN, technical sender) related to access right smagement in the RMGE Sevices, commo components and back-office applications Each party can defice of pendigs on the user of the message based fiels-based of life-based Relevant CSS dectory to all RTGS Actors with an appropriate report Configuration Favor Monopening day, an ad hoc event triggers the propagation of all RTGS	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be pleases any to verify those datas in advance (i.e. be troo advance) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account where serif sets are available in the P0 30, source were any in Paris hours, where any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. The reample, if Eurors debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining fait for example, if Eurors debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining fait downight, we need that any debit on payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the LDM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account, and to rang and receipt into the T2 CAs account. Guidy our calify that various certificates and be assigned to a single user? Could you calify that various the effect applications are operated by the 4G 8 ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :::and/or RTGS sub-account Guid you calify which the component of T2 sends the RTGS directory ? On p77 (Data Propagation from CEM), you specify that CRM.	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	RTGS information management only provides information on the current business day. Informatio on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0. armt.025/acmt.026 can be used also to guery/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Hidder such an inter-avrice liquidity transfer will be executed during the settlement windows for CIT. (for more details on the business day, please refer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See URD CR002 where RTGS.WLHV LCIT.060.00 wis detectal. In CRDM It is technical possible to assign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message-based of file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent and the system limitation.
182	384 179 210 37 37 43 51	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types 3.45hared reference data	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit of the celling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited. Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity provides. Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity provides. Each party can define for each account and message type eacity one technical address the message halle sent to RTGS benuficies the channel (message based or file based) depending on the size of the mes-age to be sent. Clueries item : Outbound communication in Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file-based Indirect participants : have no own RTGS DCA and/or RTGS sub-account I hush mode: T2 sends after the EOD processing the full version or the deflat version of the RTGS directory to all RTGS Actess with an appropriate report Configuration Each party can be fue to EOD processing the full version or the deflat version of the RTGS directory to all RTGS Actess with an appropriate report Configuration Each party can be message to be sent. Clueries item : Outbound communication in Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file-based Indirect participants : have no own RTGS DCA and/or RTGS sub-account I hush mode: T2 sends after the EOD processing the full version or the deflat version of the RTGS directory to all RTGS reference dual from CIBM to RTGS. The event takes place at the ond of day place of CIBM busines day, so to ensure smooth and complete reference	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 14 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to variour queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational rak. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the 12 account, where any debit on the 12 account can be covered instantly by intradiv credit from the CE (grovided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that rever will be an automatic access to the CE (grovided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that rever will be an automatic access to the CE (grovided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that rever will be an automatic access to the CE (grovided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ). We want to make sure that not access that a Data Count setup arely in Priss hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining position on the account, or using the CB Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the 12 account for any amount above the actual 12 position at this account into the T2 account the CLM account. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct subornalit cransfer from the CLM 22 account, and the CLM account into the T2 account finto the CLM account, and to keep the DA T2 account referring to as "back-office applications"? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CE ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? About clarify what you are referring to as "back-office applications"? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CE ? About easize of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? About clarify what you are referring to as "back-office applications"? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CE	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. It configured by the respective RTGS Account thedes such an inter-service liquid) runnafer will be executed during the settiment windows for It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. It configured by the respective RTGS Account Hiddes such an inter-service liquid) runnafer will be executed during the settiment windows for It. (for more details on the business day, please refer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See UBD CR002 where RTGS.MLHV LUT (AGOLW was detect). In CRDM It is technical possible to assign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message-based of file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent and the system limitation.
182	384 179 210 37 43 43 51 66	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM in case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debitedthen it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers More into default, Apater Authentication and authorisation concepts [] 37] presents some basic notions (e.g. user, certificate, DN, technical sender) related to access right smagement in the RMGE Sevices, commo components and back-office applications Each party can defice of pendigs on the user of the message based fiels-based of life-based Relevant CSS dectory to all RTGS Actors with an appropriate report Configuration Favor Monopening day, an ad hoc event triggers the propagation of all RTGS	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be pleases any to verify those datas in advance (i.e. be troo advance) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account where serif sets are available in the P0 30, source were any in Paris hours, where any debit on the T2 RTGS DCA account. The reample, if Eurors debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining fait for example, if Eurors debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining fait downight, we need that any debit on payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the LDM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account, and to rang and receipt into the T2 CAs account. Guidy our calify that various certificates and be assigned to a single user? Could you calify that various the effect applications are operated by the 4G 8 ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :::and/or RTGS sub-account Guid you calify which the component of T2 sends the RTGS directory ? On p77 (Data Propagation from CEM), you specify that CRM.	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment order. It configured by the respective RTGS Account thedes such an inter-service liquid) runnafer will be executed during the settiment windows for It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. It configured by the respective RTGS Account Hiddes such an inter-service liquid) runnafer will be executed during the settiment windows for It. (for more details on the business day, please refer to UDFS chapter 4). The breach of celling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See UBD CR002 where RTGS.MLHV LUT (AGOLW was detect). In CRDM It is technical possible to assign multiple DNs. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. Each party can define for each account and message type exactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message-based of file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent and the system limitation.
	384 179 210 37 43 43 51 66 66	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.0verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types 3.4Shared reference data 3.Sinteraction between RTGS and CRDM	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM in case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be gueried once the account is active for operation. It would be necessar to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a angression from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a angression from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a angression from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a angression from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a angression from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a angression from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a angression from the CS1 (provided encogh sets are available in the P0 of 310; use want to make sure that there with be an automatic access to the Central Bank liquidity for intraday credit from the CS1 (provided encogh secture) and the analytic and the secture of the tore account, or using ether the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any can be can avort and transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? About the changes to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :and/or RTGS sub-account Could you cariff what you are referring to as "back-office applications? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ? About the size of the message	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	The Sinformation management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0.  aemt.035/aemt.026 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date.  It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Holder such an iter-service liquidity transfer with executed during the settlement windows for CTs (for more details on the business day, please refer to UDFS chapter 4).  The breach of ceiling is not checked for liquidity transfers. See UBO CR002 where RTGS URAPY LIQT OGG.010 was deteted. The breach of ceiling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the ensage to be sent and the system limitation.  ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation.  ECMS the message to be sent and the system limitation.  ETME details on the business day will be provided in UDFS V1.1
	384 179 210 37 43 43 51 66	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types 3.4Shared reference data 3.5Interaction between	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the celling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debitedthen it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debitedthen it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers and back-office applications Each party can define for each accumt and message type eactly one technical address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message- based or file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent Charling Entripiants : have no own RTGS bCA and/or RTGS sub-account Fluch mode: T2 sends after the EGD processing the full version or the delta configuration Every CRDM opening day, an ad hoce event triggers the propagation of all RTGS reference data from CRDM to RTGS. The event take place at the end of day plase of CRDM bunces ady, so to ensure smooth and completer reference data progagation before RTGS receives the notification that an eve buncess day The business day management process is constantly monitor the scheduler list	Culdy you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those data in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the T2 account, where any debit on the T2 account can be covered instantly by intradiv credit from the CE (aprovided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that rew will be an automatic access to the CE (aprovided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that rew will be an automatic access to the CE (aprovided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that for arrandary credit for any debit on the T2 ACCOUNT were will on Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remained flat Opernight, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account or any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account those the CLM account and the various certificates can be assigned to a single user? Cuuld you carify what you are referring to as "back-office applications"? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ?2 Above the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :and/or RTGS sub-account Could you carify which you are referring to as "back-office applications"? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB?? Above the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter? Real-time message seems to be more ap	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted	The Sinformation management only provides information on the current business day. Information on DWH and backward information will be provided in UDFS v2.0.  aemt.035/aemt.036 can be used also to query/report active but not valid cash accounts: accounts with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date.  It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders, if configured by the respective RTGS Account Holder such an itre-service liquidity transfer in Case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders, if configured by the respective RTGS Account Holder such an itre-service liquidity transfers. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Holder such an itre-service liquidity transfers. See UBD CR002 where RTGS.URP / LQT 060.010 was deleted. The breach of ceiling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.  ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation.  ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation.
182  183  183  184  184  185  188  189  189  190  191  192  193	384 179 210 37 43 43 51 66 66	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types 3.4.5hared reference data 3.5Interaction between RTGS and CRDM 4.2Overview	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the celling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debitedthen it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers whore ind detail, Japet Authentication and authoristation concepts [] 37] presents some basic notions (e.g. user, certificate, DV, technical sender) related address the message thal basen to RTGS bCA mill be each claid address the message thal basen to RTGS bCA mill be each claid address the message thal basen to RTGS bCA and/or RTGS sub-account Castering the abade Indirect participants: Taxe noon RTGS DCA and/or RTGS sub-account FAuth materia. The EGD processing the full version or the defat aresion of the RTGS face-tory call RTGS Actors with an appropriate report configuration Every CDM opening day, an ad hoc event triggers the propagation of all RTGS reference data from CHDM to RTGS. The event takes place at the end of day phase of CRDM business day, so to explicit to the mess day to be set the only days of CRDM business days of the event triggers the propagation of all RTGS reference data from CHDM to RTGS. The event takes place at the end of day phase of CRDM business days on the method full to restor the tax non the start ing the start the Store with an angel terefore.	Culd you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify thos datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the 12 account, where any debit on the 12 account can be covered instantly by intradiv credit from the CE (provided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the CE (provided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the CE (provided enough assets are available in the Pool SQ), we want to make sure that hours, with a T2 account that would have remained flat Overright, we need that any debit on payment on the 12 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that It will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account, and the various certificates can be assigned to a single user? Could you cariff what you are referring to as "back-office applications"? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ?? Above the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :and/or RTGS sub-account Could you clarify which component of T2 sends the RTGS directory ? On p77 (Data Propagation from CMDM), you specify that CRDM will distribute the changes to the othe services/compone	Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Holder such an tite-service liquidity transfers. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Holder such an tite-service liquidity transfers. See UBO CR002 where RTGS URP VLIQT GG0.010 was deteed. The breach of ceiling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system
	384 179 210 37 37 43 43 51 66 71 73	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.0verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.3Breach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types 3.4Shared reference data 3.Sinteraction between RTGS and CRDM	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We undextand that account reference data can only be gueried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a regression from the existing setup of the 12 account, where any debt on the 12 account can be account or subsets are available in the Poi 33(b) account can be account or there will be an automatic cacess to the Central Bank liquidity for intraday credit from the CEG (provided enough subsets are available in the Poi 33(b) account very early in Paris hours, whin a T2 account that would have remained flat Overright, we need an automatic carcins from the CLM account into 72, using either the remaining position on the account, or any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic carcins from the CLM account into T2 account to the CH T2 account the the account or any debt or high the D2 CA account. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the 12 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debt/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account into the T2 account to the CLM account, and to keep the DCA T2 account rather flat. Do you confirm that urarious certificates can be assigned to a single user? Could you clarify what you are referring to as "back-office applications? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? About the size of the message is which actor will define there the data with 3100 CET. C	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Midde such an iter-service liquidity runsfers. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Midde such an iter-service liquidity runsfers. See UBD CR002 where RTGS URP VLIQT GG0.010 was deleted. The breach of ceiling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the
182  183  183  184  184  185  188  189  189  190  191  192  193	384 179 210 37 37 43 43 51 66 71 73 73	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types 3.4.5hared reference data 3.5Interaction between RTGS and CRDM 4.2Overview	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In case of a breach of the ceiling threshold the amount will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited	Could you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 11 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be generid once the account is active for operation. It would be necessar to verify those datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk. To avoid a argenesion from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a argenesion from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a argenesion from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a argenesion from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a argenesion from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a argenesion from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a argenesion from the existing setup of the T2. To avoid a argenesion from the ECS (provided encogh sets: are available in the P0 313), we want to make sure that there with a T2 account that would have remaining for for erample. If Eurors debits the T2 account very early in Paris hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining position on the account, or using the C3 Credit line. And at any time, we need that any debit or payment on the T2 account for any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account for any cash receipt into the T2 DCA account. And to have DCA T2 account and the full account, and to keep the DCA T2 account rather fill. Do you confirm that various certificates can be assigned to a dring user? Could you clarify what you are referring to as "back-office applications? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ? About the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter ? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :and/or RTGS sub-account Could	Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Midde such an iter-service liquidity runsfers. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Midde such an iter-service liquidity runsfers. See UBD CR002 where RTGS URP VLIQT GG0.010 was deleted. The breach of ceiling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the
182  183  183  184  184  185  188  189  189  190  191  192  193	384 179 210 37 37 43 43 51 66 71 73	payments 5.5.3.20verview for RTGS 14.1.1.10verview and scope of the message 5.4.1Available liquidity 5.4.3.4.38reach of floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.3.1.2Certificate 2.6Routing 3.1.4Participation types 3.4.5hared reference data 3.5Interaction between RTGS and CRDM 4.2Overview	General comment The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In CLM The credit line – If available - is man-aged on the MCA in CLM In CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be pushed to the MCA in CLM where it will be credited and the RTGS DCA will be debited. Then it has to assign one certificate to each of its individuals and applications for each of these connectivity providers Compared to the CLM of the comment of the connectivity providers and back-office applications Cacess rights management in the ARGET Services, common components address the message shall be sent to. RTGS identifies the channel (message- based or file-based) depending on the size of the message to be sent. Charline file-based Indirect practications and ARGET Services, common components Configurations Cauces rights and the CEO processing the full version of the detta warsion of the RTGS idencity to all RTGS identities the chance of day pased of RIM based directory to all RTGS identities the propagation of all RTGS reference data from CIMM to RTGS. The event takes place at the end of day plase of CRIM bankes day, so not and incos mater the propagation of all RTGS reference data from CIMM to RTGS. The event takes place at the end of day plase of CRIM bankes day, so not any normal message the propagation of all RTGS reference data from CIMM to RTGS. The event take place at the end of day plase of CRIM bankes day, so not any more smooth and complete reference data propagation before RTGS receives the notification that a new business day reference data from CIMM to RTGS. The event take place at the end of day plase of CRIM bankes day. So not smooth and complete reference data propagation before RTGS receives the notification that a new business day reference data from CIMM to RTGS. The event take place at the end of day plase of CRIM bankes day. So not smooth and completer reference data	Culd you please specify how many days backwards can be queried 14 would be definitely useful to have more than one day backwards subject to various queries. We understand that account reference data can only be queried once the account is active for operations. It would be necessary to verify thos datas in advance (i.e. before activation) in order to limit the operational risk travel and arguments from the existing setup of the 12 account, where any debit on the 12 account can be covered initiarity by intradiv credit from the CE (aprovided enough assets are available in the Pool 30), we want to make sure that there will be an automatic access to the CE (aprovided enough assets are available in the Pool 30), we want to make sure that for any any debit on the 12 AFCS DCA account. For earniph, if work debits that 72 account very early in Priss hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining for thor any anount above the account very early in Priss hours, with a T2 account that would have remaining for thor any anount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into T2, using either the remaining position on the account or any amount above the actual T2 position at this given time, provides an automatic transfer from the CLM account into the T2 account to cover this debit/payment. We want to make sure that it will be possible to instruct automatic transfers from the DCA T2 account into the CLM account, and the arise sure finate friat. Could you carify what you are referring to as "back-office applications"? Which back-office applications are operated by the 4CB ? Above the size of the message : Which actor will define this parameter? Real-time message seems to be more appropriate We suggest to delete the last part :and/or RTGS sub-account Could you clarify which component of T2 sends the RTGS directory ? On p77 (Data Propagation from CRDM), you specify that CRDM will distribute the changes to the other serveces/compo	Clarification	It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment with future opening date or accounts with expired closing date. It is possible to set up so-called rule-based liquidity transfers in case of pending (i) urgent payment or AS transfer orders and (ii) high payment orders. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Midde such an iter-service liquidity runsfers. If configured by the respective RTGS Account Midde such an iter-service liquidity runsfers. See UBD CR002 where RTGS URP VLIQT GG0.010 was deleted. The breach of ceiling is checked for settled payments and AS transfers. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseens of far. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the message to be sent and the system limitation. ECMS is the

			1			
196	94	5.2.3.1Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder	Case 2: payment return message with positive validation and settlement The following payment flow illustrates the payment messaging on basis of a pacs.004 and with regard to the RTGS component. The pacs.004 is used to return an already settled pac.008 or pacs.009.	Suggestion : The pacs.004 is used to return an already settled pacS.008 or pacs.009.	Accontrol	
195		direct KIGS Account Holder	The following payment flow illustrates a pacs.009 – mandated payment	Could we use mandated payments on behalf of ASsubmiting	Accepted	
197	106	5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder	(codeword: MANP)	pacs.009 messages ?	Clarification	No.
			Process description step 4 : Booking confirmation pacs.002 to CB A generated by the RTGS component (optional)	Do we have, in the pacs.002, any information allowing us to determine that it was a mandated payment ?		
198	107	5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder	ay the kirds component (optional)	Gelefmine that it was a manualed payment r	Clarification	No.
199	140	5.3.10verview	Figure 24	The reference to Highly Urgent reservation should be updated	Accepted	
155		5.5.10verview	Table 60 - Process flow for standard multilateral settlement, step 4 : The	a credit notification (camt.054) should be sent to the	Accepted	
200	147	5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure A	ancillary system is notified about the settlement failure with an ASInitiationStatus message, the ancillary system settlement banks receive a GU broadcast informing about the failed settlement Table 61 - Process flow for standard multilateral settlement, step 3 : . If this	previously debited ancillary system settlement banks, if subscribed a debit / credit notification (camt.054) should be sent to the	Accepted	
	147	5.3.3Ancillary system settlement procedure B	check is successfully passed, all debit and credit Ancillary system transfers are booked simultaneously	debited / credited ancillary system settlement banks, if subscribed		
201			Table 61 - Process flow for standard multilateral settlement, step 3 : . If this	Could you clarify if this privilege could be granted to the AS and / or the CB of the AS ?	Accepted	open
202	147	5.3.3Ancillary system settlement procedure B	check is successfully passed, all debit and credit Ancillary system transfers are booked simultaneously Table 61 - Process flow for standard multilateral settlement, step 6 : After all	a debit / credit notification (camt.054) should be sent to the	Clarification	Further information will be delivered in the next UDFS version
	150		ancillary system transfers have been settled the ancillary system (or the relevant CB on its behalf) receives a notification (ASinitiationStatus), confirming	debited / credited ancillary system settlement banks, if subscribed		
203		5.3.3Ancillary system settlement procedure B	the settlement of the ancillary system batch message.		clarification	Step 6 refers to AS only and AS does not receive camt.054. The notification you are referring to are added to step 3.
203			Notification to settlement banks : The credited settlement bank receives the	Not consistent with p103. Could you clarify if the credited	clarification	adued to step 5.
204	169	5.3.5Processing of ancillary system transactions using payments	pacs.009 as created by the ancillary system	settlement bank will receive a camt.054 or a pacs.009 ?	clarification	Figure 15 is updated.
	266	6.1.5.1Common reference	Cash account area	Authorised account user : It was agreed, in TIPS, that only CB can create / update / delete this object (cf. guideline T2)		At functional level this is possible also for Payment Banks. This requirement was raised at
205		data objects 6.1.5.1Common reference	Cash account area	Liquidity Transfer Group : CB instead of payment bank	Clarification	operational level and covered by not assigning the related privileges to the Payment Banks.
206	266	data objects	Cash account area		Accepted	
	266	6.1.5.1Common reference		Direct debit mandate : Could you clarify if a payment bank could delete a direct debit mandate configured by its NCB ?		
207	200	data objects 6.1.5.1Common reference	Cash account area	Standing order for reservation : missing information on	Clarification	see updated document
208	266	data objects	Table 122 - Management of reference data objects in A2A mode	responsible actor DMT function column : A2A function instead	Accepted	
			- management of reference www.owjects.iii.nz/e.iiioue	Liquidity transfer order : Could you clarify why we can't create		
	268			an LTO using an A2A function ?		
		6.1.5.2Reference data		Limit : Could you clarify why we can't create alimit using an A2A function ?		It is possible to create a Lto in A2A mode using the Modify message (table amended). Limit cannot
209		maintenance types	back-office application	Could you clarify this concept of back office application in the	Clarification	be created as the related message is not foreseen.
210	269	6.1.5.3Validity of common reference data objects		TARGET Service context ?	Clarification	ECMS is the only Eurosystem back-office application foreseen so far.
	274	6.1.5.5Lifecycle of common	As far as TIPS is concerned, this implies that the object is valid until TIPS receives from the RTGS system the message notifying the first business day	This rule shall not be specific to TIPS		So far it is, since TIPS is the only service that does not foresee its own business day management,
211		reference data objects	greater than the final date of the validity period. Table 125 - CRDM data segregation per service/component	- MFI : Could you clarify why the cross is in the RTGS column ?	Clarification	but rather receives the business date from the RTGS system(s).
				MELL COULD YOU CLAINTY WHY THE CROSS IS IN THE KIGS COLUMN ?     Message subscription rule set : Missing crosses for CLM &     RTGS		
	277			- Message subscription rule : Missing crosses for CLM & RTGS		
	211			<ul> <li>Restriction type : Could you clarify why it is not foreseen in CLM ?</li> </ul>		
212		6.1.5.6Common reference data propagation			Clarification	see updated document
		note brokegenen	General comment	In order to retrieve all the cash accounts opened in BDF books, do you confirm we can send an acmt.025 message with a single	clamication	Every combination of search criteria of acmt.025 allows the search of account in the requestor data
	386	14.1.1.3The message in		earch criteria (the currency) ? If not, how can we fulfil this need		scope. Also the search for account owner or closing/opening date could be used, specifying appropriate
213		business context	Table 239 - FinancialInstutionCreditTransfer(GEN and COV) (pacs.009) – usage	? To be updated. This example is not available in myStandards	Clarification	values.
	588	14.5.4.3The message in	case Settlement of an interbank payment : pacs.009.001.07_RTGS_FinancialInstitutionCreditTransferIBPayment_Example.			
214 215	30	business context Introduction	xml Data Warehouse (DHW)	DWH	accepted Accepted	UDFS updated.
	33	10verview of RTGS	Service-specific reference data objects (or functions) is set up and managed (or implemented) in the re-spective service.	Service-specific reference data objects (or functions) are set up and managed (or implemented) in the re-spective service.		
216	_	component	The contingency service is used in events where business continuity is	Is this ECONS?	Accepted	
1	33	10verview of RTGS	impossible or systemically im-portant payments and/ or the settlement of annillary systems need to be processed during the failover process		Cladification	ECONSII is meant. However there are still ongoing L2 discussions. Therefore more detailed
217	37	2.3.1.1User	triggering the available y user functions of TARGET Services			information will be provided with ODFS V 1.1 "V" is a typo.
219	37	2.3.1.1User	for which the user is grantee. <cn=smith,ou=serv-ops,o=bnkacct,o=nsp-1></cn=smith,ou=serv-ops,o=bnkacct,o=nsp-1>	which are granted to the user. Please specify exactly, also in writing, in which format CRDM	Accepted	
	37			and all services accepts the DN (in capital letters, commas, blanks,). In the TIPS and T2S project this formatting issue		
220		2.3.1.3DN		consumed a lot of time. Is there also a different format for U2A and A2A usage?	Clarification	At functional level CRDM accepts any string.
	38	2.3.1.4Technical sender	an A2A or an U2A request	an A2A or a U2A request> this happens several times in the		
221		2.3.1.4 recnnical sender	In case of successful authentication of the technical sender, the TARGET	whole document This text belongs to the next chapter (2.3.3) and is duplicated	Accepted	
	38		Services, common components or back-office applications gets the certificate DN of the technical sender. The TARGET Services, specif-ic/common	at the end of ch. 2.3.2.1		
	30	2.3.2.1Authentication of the	components or back-office applications may use this certificate DN later on, during the authorisa-tion process (see chapter Authorisation of the technical			
222		technical sender	sender [} 39]).		Accepted	
223				why is this chanter Routing part of chantor 2 "Accord to PTCC"?		
	41	2.6Routing	Carbon your 73	why is this chapter Routing part of chapter 2 "Access to RTGS"? It fits better in chapter 5	Rejected	We would like to keep it in section 2 as it is a general topic.
		2.6Routing	Status quo T2 Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former T2" and written in past	Rejected	We would like to keep it in section 2 as it is a general topic.
224	41	2.6Routing 2.6Routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the "Addressee" BIC provided in the T2 directory.	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this	Rejected Clarification	We would like to keep it in section 2 as it is a general topic.
224			Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the "Addressee" BIC provided in the T2 directory. CLM/RTGS Business Data Ex-changes: Queries	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former T2" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business		
224			Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-ddresser <sup>®</sup> IB (provided in the T2 darkctork, CLM/RTGS Business Data Er-changes: Clueries Inbound communication: Real-time message-based, real-time file-based Outbound communication: Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file-	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be allure in the description of queries.		
	41	2.6Routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uess the 'c-opy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the 'Addressee" BICs provided in the T2 directory. CLM/RTCS Business Data E-changes: Cueries inbound communication: Rel-time message-based, real-time file- based in case of timeout and oversize store-n-forward message-based, store-n-	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication	Clarification	
225	41	2.6Routing 2.6Routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uess the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT badear are BICs of participants, in particular the "Addressee" BIC provided in the 12 directory. CLIM/RTGS business Data & C-kanges: Queries Indownd communication: Bat-lime message-based, real-time file-based Outbound communication: Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file- based	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and output communication there seems to be a failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward.	Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted.
	41 41 41	2.6Routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uess the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-faddressee". BIC provided in the 12 directory. CLM/RTGS Business Data Exchanges: Queries inbound communication: Near-Line message-based, real-time file-based Outbound communication: Store-inforward message-based, real-time file- based in case of timeout and oversize store-inforward message-based, store-in- forward file-based	It it is better in chapter 5 as the UDPS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former T2" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be a failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward.	Clarification	
225 226 227	41 41 41 41	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-didressee" BIC provided in the 12 directory. CMMTGS Buinses Data Ex-changes: Councies Indound communication: Basel-Inter message-based, real-time file- based Outbound communication: Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file- based Status que T2 For future RTGS the perpetuation of the current T2 directory was agreed between 4CB and their community.	It its better in chapter 5 as the UDPS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former T2" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data acchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be failure in the description of gueries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store -n forward. Do not describe the past system in an technical of business quedication of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Routing Directory?)	Clarification Accepted Clarification Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted.
225	41 41 41	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-faddressee" BIC provided in the 12 directory. CMMTGS Buinses blats fs-changes: Courses inbound communication: Rel-line message-based, real-time file- based Outbound communication: Store-n-forward message-based, store-n- forward file-based Status quo T2 For future RTGS the perpetuation of the current T2 directory was agreed between 4CB and their community. ESMIG is in charge to manage table 1	It its beter in chapter 5 as the UDPS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be allure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward. Do not describe the past system in an technical of business specification of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Routing Directory) ESMIG is in charge of managing why is there no entry for TBS2	Clarification Accepted Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted. Reference to status quo is deleted. The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory. "ESMIC manages" is used "ESMIC manages" is used
225 226 227 228	41 41 41 41 45	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the VT header are BICs of participants, in particular the YAddressee" BIC provided in the 12 directory. CMMRTOS functions blats is-changes: Councies indound communication: Beal-time message-based, real-time file- based Outbound communication: Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file- based in case of timeout and oversize store-n-forward message-based, store-n- forward file-based Status quite Data to the current T2 directory was agreed between 4C8 and their community. ESMIG is in change to manage table 1 Rigure 6 inbound and figure 7 outbound routing	It its beter in chapter 5 as the UDPS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward. Do not describe the past system in an technical of business specification of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Routing Directory) for TBS2 SEMIG is in charge of managing why is there no entry for TBS2 idon't understandwhat is the difference in these figures??	Clarification Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted. Reference to status quo is deleted. The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory. "ESMIG manages" is used updated document The red arrows in each diagram represent the relevant communications (inbound in the first one, outbound in the second)
225 226 227 228 229 230	41 41 41 41 45 45	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6.11inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uses the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-faddressee" BIC provided in the 12 directory. CMMTGS Buinses blats fs-changes: Courses inbound communication: Rel-line message-based, real-time file- based Outbound communication: Store-n-forward message-based, store-n- forward file-based Status quo T2 For future RTGS the perpetuation of the current T2 directory was agreed between 4CB and their community. ESMIG is in charge to manage table 1	It its beter in chapter 5 as the UDPS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward. Do not describe the past system in an technical of business specification of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Routing Directory) for TBS2 I don't understand - what is the difference in these figures?? Why is there an eSIMG for Service A and another ESMIG for service 8 show? Shouldr't the a single SMIG routing to two	Clarification Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted.  Reference to status quo is deleted.  The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory.  "ESMG manages" is used see updated document The red arrows in each diagram represent the relevant communications (inbound in the first one, outboand in the second) Based on user requirement SHD UR.ESMIG.ALL.000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't hinder the processing of other service. The only way to ensure this is to have multiple instances of
225 226 227 228 229	41 41 41 41 45 45 45 45	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6.1.Linbound routing 2.6.1.Linbound routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uess the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the VT header are BICs of participants, in particular the YAddressee" BIC provided in the 12 directory. CMMRTOS functions blats is-changes: Councies indound communication: Real-time message-based, real-time file- based Outbound communication: Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file- based Status que that oversize store-n-forward message-based, store-n- forward file-based Status que T2 For future RTGS the perpetuation of the current T2 directory was agreed between 4C8 and their community. ESMIG is in charge to manage table 1 Rigure 6 Furthermore, ESMIG passes to the business interface of the relevant Furthermore, ESMIG passes to the business interface of the relevant	It its better in chapter 5 as the UDPS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward. Do not describe the past system in an technical of business specification of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Routing Directory) for 1935 I don't understand - what is the difference in these figures?? Why is there an earth of the directory? (BTGS Component Routing Directory) for 1935 I don't understand - what is the difference in these figures?? Why is there an earthought is the difference in these figures??	Clarification Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted.  Reference to status quo is deleted.  Reference to status quo is deleted.  The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory,  "EMIG manages" is used see updated document The red arrows in acch diagram represent the relevant communications (inbound in the first one, outbound in the second) Based on user requirement SHRD UR-SMIG.ALL.000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't these on user outbourdences. The only way to ensure this is to have multiple instances of thes same software in order to manage this is gergation. Based on user requirement SHRD UR-SMIG.ALL.000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't Based on user requirement SHRD UR-SMIG.ALL.000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't
225 226 227 228 229 230	41 41 41 41 45 45 45	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6.11inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing	Routing of payments is based on Bit. T2 uess the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-didressee". BIC provided in the 12 directory. CLM/RTGS Business Data Ex-changes: Queries inbound communication: Store-inforward message-based, real-time file- based in case of timeout and oversize store-n-forward message-based, real-time file- based Status quo T2 For future RTGS the perpetuation of the current T2 directory was agreed between CG3 and their community. ESMIG is in charge to manage Table 1 figure 6 History Communication in the store of the current Figure 6 History CG and Status CG and Figure 5 History CG and Figure 7 outbound routing Figure 6 History CG and Figure 2 History CG and Figure 5 History CG and Figure 7 outbound routing Figure 6 History CG and Figure 2 History CG and Figure 2 History CG and Figure 7 History CG and Figure 7 History CG and Figure 7 History CG and Figure 6 History CG and Figure 7 History CG and Figure 6 History CG and Figure 7 History CG and Figure 7 His	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be a failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-inforward. Do not describe the past system in an technical of business specification of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Routing Directory?) ESMIG is in charge of managing why is there no entry for TID57 don't undestand - what is the difference in these figures?? Why is there an ESMIG for Service A and another ESMIG for service 8 shown? Shouldn't the a single ESMIG routing to two different services?	Clarification Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted. Reference to status quo is deleted. The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory. "ESMIG manages" is used see updated document The ref arrows in each diagram represent the relevant communications (inbound in the first one, outbound in the second) Based on user requirement SHRD UR.ESMIG.ALL000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't hinder the processing of other services. The only way to ensure this is to have multiple instances of the same software in order to manage this segregation.
225 226 227 228 229 230 231	41 41 41 41 45 45 45 45	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uess the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-didressee". BIC provided in the 12 directory. CLM/RTGS Business Data Ex-changes: Queries inhound communication: Store-inforward message-based, real-time file- based based of timeout and oversize store-n-forward message-based, real-time file- based Status quo T2 For future RTGS the perpetuation of the current T2 directory was agreed between 4CB and their community. ESMIG is in charge to manage table 1 figure 6 inbound and figure 7 outbound routing Figure 6 Furthermore, ESMIG passes to the business interface of the relevant service/component the DN of the sender (as result of authentication process)	It fits better in chapter 5 as the UDFS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former T2" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be a failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward. On out describe the past system in an technical of business specification of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Routing Directory?) ESMIG is in charge of managing why is there no entry for TIPS? (don't understand - what is the difference in these figures?? Why is there an ESMIG for Service A and another ESMIG for service 8 shown? Shouldn't the a single ESMIG for suring to two different services?	Clarification Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted. Reference to status quo is deleted. The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory. "ESMIG manages" is used see updated document The ref arrows in each diagram represent the relevant communications (inbound in the first one, outbound in the second) Based on user requirement SHRD UR_ESMIG.ALL000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't hinder the processing of other services. The only way to ensure this is to have multiple instances of the same software line of reder to manage this segregation. Based on user requirement SHRD UR_ESMIG.ALL000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't hinder the processing of other service. The only way to ensure this is to have multiple instances of the same software line of the manage the segregation. Based on user requirement SHRD UR_ESMIG.ALL000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't hinder the processing of other service. The only way to ensure this is to have multiple instances of the same software line of the manage the sergine the services houldn't hinder the processing of other service.
225 226 227 228 229 230 231 231	41 41 41 41 41 41 45 45 45 45	2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6Routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 2.6.1.1inbound routing 3.1.2Concept of party in	Routing of payments is based on BIC. T2 uess the Y-copy mode, i.e. the sending and receiving party in the MT header are BICs of participants, in particular the Y-didressee". BIC provided in the 12 directory. CLM/RTGS Business Data Ex-changes: Queries inhound communication: Store-inforward message-based, real-time file- based based of timeout and oversize store-n-forward message-based, real-time file- based Status quo T2 For future RTGS the perpetuation of the current T2 directory was agreed between 4CB and their community. ESMIG is in charge to manage table 1 figure 6 inbound and figure 7 outbound routing Figure 6 Furthermore, ESMIG passes to the business interface of the relevant service/component the DN of the sender (as result of authentication process)	It its better in chapter 5 as the UDPS will be published for the "future RTGS" this chapter should be named "former 12" and written in past tense Concerning the table describing the mapping of the business data exchanges for inbound and outbound communication there seems to be failure in the description of queries. Outbound communication should be real-time message-based and just in case of timeout store-n-forward. Do not describe the past system in an technical od business specification of a new system. What will be the name of the directory? (RTGS Component Mound gorecord). USMIG is in charge of managing why is there on early for TBS? I don't understand - what is the difference in these figures?? Why is there an ESMIG for Service A and another ESMIG for service 8 shows? Should'n to be a single ESMIG should be a box over the services (which are named components) like NSP, (same for chapter 2-6.1.2. outbound round)	Clarification Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Reference to status quo is deleted. Reference to status quo is deleted. The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory. TSMIG manager <sup>5</sup> used see updated document The RTGS component provides an RTGS directory. TSMIG manager <sup>5</sup> used Steed ouser requirement SHRD URLESMIG ALL000.290, traffic in different services shouldn't hinder the processing of other services. The only way to ensure this is to have multiple instances of the same software in order to manage this segregation.

	49		Ancillary systems can be given the right to submit instructions via the RTGS DCA of a RTGS Account Holder on its behalf or via a RTGS sub-account dedicated to			
235	49	3.1.2Concept of party in RTGS	the ancillary system. In principle they shall not own a RTGS DCA.		Accepted	
	49	3.1.2Concept of party in	(used for ancillary system settlement procedures A, B, C and)	and?		
236	45	RTGS	A standing liquidity transfer order is an instruction of a RTGS Account Holder to	Standing liquidity transfer order to sub-accounts missing	Accepted	
			transfer regularly (e.g. daily, weekly) an amount of liquidity from a RTGS DCA to			
	58		another account (a MCA in CLM, a RTGS DCA or a DCA in another settlement service/component) over a period with or without a predefined end date.			
237		3.2.2Functionalities	This does not to pacs.002 as this message is always returned to the technical	This does not apply to	Accepted	
238	62	3.2.3 Messaging	sending address of the underlying message (if subscribed).		Accepted	
	64	3.2.4Blocking/unblocking	All cash transfers pending in the queue after the blocking became effective require confirmation by the CB before they will can settle on the RTGS DCA.	before they will settle on the RTGS DCA.		
239		account			Accepted	
240	66	3.4Shared reference data	Reactivate dalue date Duplicate check	Reactivate value date please specify what will be checked by the duplicate check -	Accepted	
241	66	3.4Shared reference data		from the current text we assume it is only the reference of a file or individual message.	Clarification	These checks are not specified in this chapter. Further details on duplicate checks will be provided in UDFS V1.1.
	72		to manage the processes of the different MIS	what does MIS stand for?		"MIS" stands for "Market Infrastructure Service", however MIS has been replaced by TARGET
242	72	4.20verview	For example: the business day in TIPS is changed shortly after 18:00. From	However, CLM is changing	Clarification	Servies as the more appropriate term
	72		thereof all instant payments settle with BD+1. However, CLM is change the business day around 18:45 once it has finished with the CLM EoD procedures.			
243		4.20verview			Clarification	The example has been deleted as it refers to TIPS and not to RTGS
	76	4.3.3MWI (maintenance	title of this chapter: MWI	please name this chapter maintenance window, as this abbreviation (MWI) is never mentionend again in the whole		
244	-	periode)		document	Accepted	
	77	4.4Dependencies to other	In case there is not enough liquidity on an MCA to fully execute a CBO (e.g. overnight deposit, open market operation), CLM pull liquidity from the	does CLM pull from all connected DCAs or from the default connected DCA?		
245		services or components 4.4Dependencies to other	connected DCA in RTGS with an automated liquidity transfer.	from RTGS to CLM	Clarification	CLM pulls from the linked DCA. There is a 1:1 relation between MCA and DCA
246	77	services or components	Generate and forward general ledger file von RTGS to CLM		Accepted	
247	77	<ol> <li>4.4Dependencies to other services or components</li> </ol>	Generate and forward general ledger file von RTGS to CLM	Should be "Generate and forward general ledger file from RTGS to CLM"	Accepted	
	80	5.1.2Comparison of	table 19	please put an explanation text before the table		
248		different payment types	I validation of the indicated settlement date;	Does it make sense that the content check (BICs for example) is	Accepted	
			I content check (e.g. valid BICs) on the indicated settlement day.	done at each SoD in the warehoused period until the indicated settlement date? I think this check only makes sense on the		
	83		period including the settle-ment day.	actual settlement date. What would happen if a certain BIC is		
249		5.1.4Warehouse functionality		not valid until the settlement date is reached?	Clarification	It is confirmed that both validations are executed at each SoD in order to provide the rejection as early as possible.
		y		This step is completely out of the TARGETservices. It is up to		
			pacs.009 on behalf	the CB and their community how the NCB instructs CONPAY for one of their banks.		
	106	5.2.3.4Payments sent from		The contingency scenario in this case is, that the RTGS account holder is technically (temporary) unable to send messages.		
		a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated		Therefore no pacs can be sent to the NCB. He could fill out a		
250		payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder		fax form or instruct the NCB via a phone call.	Accepted	
	111		pacs.002 for negative result	is the pacs.002 for a negative business validation always		
251		5.2.4.2Business validations 5.2.5Amendment of	Interventions must be made via the business interface of the RTGS component	mandatory? If yes, please write this specifically in the text must be made in U2A OR A2A.	Rejected	Pacs.002 is mandatory for negative business validation result. Please see process description step 3
252	113	payments 5.2.5Amendment of	In U2A and A2A In case of intervention at payment level, processes are started to resolve the	what do you mean by that?	Accepted	
253	113	payments	queues.		Accepted	
254	113	5.2.5Amendment of payments	A detailed description of the process and the effect of the re-ordering can be found in chapter Comprehensive queue management."	please insert the link to this chapter	Accepted	
<u> </u>						
3.00	118	5.2.6Revocation of	The revo-cation can be initiated at any time during the day trade settlement	please write cutoff time	Accorted	
255		5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.6Revocation of	The revo-cation can be initiated at any time during the day trade settlement phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44	please write cutoff time in the process description, step 4 does not make sense	Accepted	
255 256	118	payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense	Accepted Accepted	
		payments 5.2.6Revocation of	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81).	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark?		
256	118	payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.6Revocation of	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS JU/81). Rejection during EOD processing if queued payments cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we asume that pac.oB are rejected with cut off customer	Accepted	
256	118	payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (80P RTGS 10/81). Rejection during EOD processing	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BOP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we	Accepted	
256	118 118	payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS J0/31). Rejection during EOD processing If queued payment cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing.	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we same that pace.08 are rejected whick to tol f customer payments and pace.009 with cut off bank payments.	Accepted	
256 257	118 118	payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Bejection during EOD processing if queued payments cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits,	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we asume that pac.oB are rejected with cut off customer	Accepted	
256 257 258 259	118 118 126	payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS J0/31). Rejection during EOD processing If queued payment cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing.	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we same that pace.08 are rejected whick to tol f customer payments and pace.009 with cut off bank payments.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	
256 257 258 259 260	118 118 126 128 128	payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during EoD processing if queued payment cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? Which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we assume that pack.ot08 jerct during EOD processing? As we payments and pack.009 with cut off bank payments. Table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	
256 257 258 259	118 118 126 128	payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.6Revocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during EoD processing if queued payment cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 49	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we assume that pacs.08 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	
256 257 258 259 260	118 118 126 128 128	payments 5.2 GReyocation of payments 5.2.0 Revocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2 Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lsettlement of	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during EoD processing fil queued payments cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 49 table 51	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we asume that pack.008 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pack.009 with cut off bank payments. Table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 30 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 31 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain circumstances it can happen that an urgent payment.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	
256 257 258 259 260	118 118 126 128 128 128	payments 52.68evocation of payments 52.67.125ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.20mprehensive queue management 5.2.7.20mprehensive queue management	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during EoD processing if queued payments cannot be setted during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we asume that pack-008 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pacs-009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain circumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTGS component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	In principle the same behaviour as today applies in RTGS. In case further information is needed, please provide more details on your concern.
256 257 258 259 260 261	118 118 126 128 128 128 128 132	payments 52.68evocation of payments 52.68evocation of payments 52.7.125ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 52.7.20mprehensive queue management 52.7.3.Dettlement of queued urgent/high payments 52.7.3.Settlement of queued urgent/high payments 52.7.3.Settlement of	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during ED processing if queued by the of of the dy due to back of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an cillary	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are registed during 600 processing? As we asume that pacs.008 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so,	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	
256 257 258 259 260 261 262 262	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132	payments 52.68evocation of payments 52.67evocation of payments 52.7.125ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 52.7.20mprehensive queue management 52.7.3.Dettlement of queue ungent/high payments 52.7.3.Settlement of queue ungent/high queue ungen	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during for processing if queued by the of of the dy due to lack of fluidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are explected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG Scomponer? If so, please spectly in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted	
256 257 258 259 260 261	118 118 126 128 128 128 128 132	payments 5.2 GReyocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lottlement of queue durgent/high payments 5.2.7.3.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3.3Algorithm:	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 Acaxellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (80-P RTGS 10/81). Rejection during EoD processing if queued payments cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub-	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are explected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG Scomponent? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification	
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132	payments 5.2 GReyocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lisettlement of queue durgent/high payments 5.2.7.3.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.3.1.70000000000000000000000000000000000	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (80P RTGS 10/81). Rejection during ED processing fl queued py the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EOD processing. Table 50 Table 50 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day . Figure 24. Sub-cast dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is shat an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EoD processing? As we asume that pack.008 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant tables 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant tables specify in the relevant algorithms. Formal issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted	
256 257 258 259 260 261 262 262	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132 138 140	payments 52.68evocation of payments 52.67evocation of payments 52.7.125ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 52.7.20mprehensive queue management 52.7.3.Dettlement of queue ungent/high payments 52.7.3.Settlement of queue ungent/high queue ungen	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initianor of the initial payment (BoR RTGS 10/81). Bigetion during 5D processing if queued payments cannot be settled during optimization procedures and are till queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Itable 50 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- caccount. The algorithm runs only once during a boalines day Table 55, sub-account. Used to set aside liquidity for seclusive settlement of a genefic anding system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS COA Step 3a: The CB of the analiary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system	in the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EoD processing? As we asume that pack.008 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 11 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 11 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 11 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 10 in the future RTGS component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the AS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 GReyocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lisettlement of queue durgent/high payments 5.2.7.3.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.3.1.70000000000000000000000000000000000	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during Exponencesing if queued by the of orked synd to lack of fluidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 49 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary spatem payment instructions are put back in the queue of the sign sub- account. The algorizont. Used for set and using a business day. Figure 22: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 59. Table 59. Continuously resolving of the queue	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are explected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG Scomponent? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped_" mean? Linked?	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132 138 140	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 3.2 Omprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lsettlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.2.7.3 Lsettlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.2.7.3 Lsettlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.2.7.3 Lsettlement of s.2.7.3 Lsettlement of s.3.1 Overview	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initianor of the initial payment (BoR RTGS 10/81). Bigetion during 5D processing if queued payments cannot be settled during optimization procedures and are till queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Itable 50 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- caccount. The algorithm runs only once during a boalines day Table 55, sub-account. Used to set aside liquidity for seclusive settlement of a genefic anding system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS COA Step 3a: The CB of the analiary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are explected during 600 processing? As we asume that pace.008 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pace.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. To the table and is in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped_" mean? Linked? Why is the SA not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status setted? A participant can also revoke gueed	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation:
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 GReyocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lisettlement of queue durgent/high payments 5.2.7.3.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.2.7.3Algorithm: 5.3.1.70000000000000000000000000000000000	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initianor of the initial payment (BoR RTGS 10/81). Bigetion during 5D processing if queued payments cannot be settled during optimization procedures and are till queued by the end of the day due to lack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- caccount. The algorithm runs only once during a boalines day Table 55, sub-account. Used to set aside liquidity for seclusive settlement of a genefic anding system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS COA Step 3a: The CB of the analiary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are explected during 600 processing? As we asume that pace.008 are rejected with cut off customer payments and pace.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. To the table and is in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped_" mean? Linked? Why is the SA not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status setted? A participant can also revoke gueed	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264 265	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2.7.1 ZSettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management S.2.7.2 Comprehensive queue management of payments 5.2.7.3 LSettlement of payments 5.2.7.3 LSettlement of s.2.7.3 LSettlement of s.2.7.3 LSettlement of S.3.1 Overview 5.3.1 Overview 5.3.1 Overview	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initianor of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Bigetion during 5D processing if queued by the of the dark due to back of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during polinearies of the dark of the table 50 table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the gueue of the single sub- count. The algorithm runs only once during a business day. Figure 24: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 50, Sub-account to ded to ast adde liquidity for secularse estiment of a pecific ancillary system and redist to be mapped to the MIS SC AC Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we asume that paccide and the sense of the sense of the sense payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the AS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a prevocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264 265	118 118 126 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management S.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management s.2.7.3.1Settlement of queue quent/high payments 5.2.7.3.1Settlement of s.2.7.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.5ettlement of ancillary systems 5.3.5ettlement on	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/31). Rejection during Exporcessing if queued by the of orked sydue to clack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 49 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorizont. Used to set allow during a business day Figure 24: Sub-account dedicated to one proceeding sub- table 53 Table 53. Sub-account dedicated to one proceeding is estimated of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS CAC Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. To the table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. To that happen also in the future RTS component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped_" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke fles, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a prevocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264 265	118 118 126 128 128 128 132 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 Comparison of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2 7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2 7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2 7.3 Justiment of queue management gueue management 5.2 7.3 Justiment of queue management 5.2 7.3 Justiment of s.2 7.3 Justiment of s.2 7.3 Justiment of s.2 7.3 Justiment of s.2 7.3 Justiment of s.3 JOverview 5.3 JOverview 5.3 JOverview	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to processing if queued by the of orked system to clack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 49 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algoritum turns only once during a business day Figure 24: Sub-account declarate to one procedure a time sub- table 53 Table 53. The CB of the ancillary system sallowed to revoke the ancillary system payment and needs to be mapped to the RTGS CAA Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we asume that paccide and the sense of the sense of the sense payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain criciumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end off the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the AS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the curren TARGET2 behaviour a procession of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an
256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 266	118 118 126 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Logenteensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lettlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.2.7.3 Lettlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.1 Overview 5.3.1 Overview 5.3.1 Overview 5.3.4 Settlement of accounts" 5.3.4 Settlement of dedicated liquidity accounts 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to processing if queued by the of orked system to clack of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 49 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algoritum turns only once during a business day Figure 24: Sub-account declarate to one procedure a time sub- table 53 Table 53. The CB of the ancillary system sallowed to revoke the ancillary system payment and needs to be mapped to the RTGS CAA Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected during EOD processing? As we asume that paccide and the sense of the sense of the sense payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain criciumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end off the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the AS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
256 257 258 259 260 261 261 262 263 264 265	118 118 126 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2.7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management S.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management s.2.7.3.1Settlement of queue durgent/high payments 5.2.7.3.1Settlement of s.2.7.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.1Overview 5.3.4Settlement of addicated liquidy accounts (anciliary system settlement on	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to processing if queued by the only the dot of the day due to lack of liquidity or instificient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Table 50 Table 49 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an -cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day. Figure 24 Sub-account dedicates to one procedure of interfaced AS Table 53. Sub-account due during a business day. Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system back (in message as long as on final.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments. Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "settlement with dedicated liquidity"	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the curren TARGET2 behaviour a procession of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an
2556 2557 258 259 260 261 262 262 263 264 265 266	118 118 126 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 GReyocation of payments 5.2 GReyocation of payments 5.2 Greyocation of payments 5.2.7 1.2 Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Listetimemt of payments 5.2.7.3 Listetimemt of s.2.7.3 Listetimemt of s.2.7.3 Listetimemt of s.2.7.3 Listetimemt of s.2.7.3 Listetimemt of s.3.1 Overview 5.3.1 Overview 5.3.1 Overview 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidry accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3.4 Settlement on	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/81). Rejection during ED processing if queued by the of of the dy due to back of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EOD processing. table 50 table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorizont. Used for all curing a business day . Figure 24: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure a linefraced AS Trable 59. Stable 50. Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- mode (i.e. more than one) ei-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system settlement procedure D.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments. Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "settlement with dedicated liquidity"	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
2556 2557 258 259 260 261 262 262 263 264 265 266	118 118 126 128 128 132 132 133 140 140	payments 5.2 68evocation of payments 5.2 67evocation of payments 5.2 67evocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2 7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2 7.3 Logeneens queue management 5.2 7.3 Logeneens queue management s.2 7.3 Logeneens gueue management 5.2 7.3 Logeneens s.2 7.3 Logenthems * 0 payments 5.3 Lovenview 5.3 Lovenview 5.3 Lovenview 5.3 Lovenview 5.3 Lovenview 5.3 Lovenview 5.3 Adsettlement on decicated liquidity sacounts (andillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3 Lovenview bit methods 5.3 Lovenview bit methods 5.3 Lovenview bit methods (andillary system settlement procedure D)	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to processing if queued by the only the dot of the day due to lack of liquidity or instificient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Table 50 Table 49 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an -cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day. Figure 24 Sub-account dedicates to one procedure of interfaced AS Table 53. Sub-account due during a business day. Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system back (in message as long as on final.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments. Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "settlement with dedicated liquidity"	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
2556 2557 258 259 260 261 262 262 263 264 265 266	118 118 126 128 128 132 138 140 140 140	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2 7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2 7.3 Jettlement of queue management 5.2 7.3 Jettlement of queue management 5.2 7.3 Jettlement of queue management 5.2 7.3 Jettlement of queue management 5.2 7.3 Journers 5.3 Journer	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A carcellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to processing if queued by the only the dot of the day due to lack of liquidity or instificient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Table 50 Table 49 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an -cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day. Figure 24 Sub-account dedicates to one procedure of interfaced AS Table 53. Sub-account due during a business day. Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system back (in message as long as on final.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments. Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "settlement with dedicated liquidity"	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
2556 2557 258 259 260 261 262 262 263 264 265 266	118 118 126 128 128 132 138 140 140 140	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2 7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2 7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2 7.3 Jettlement of queue management 5.2 7.3 Jettlement of queue management 5.2 7.3 Jettlement of queue management 5.2 7.3 Jadgorthm: "Optimisation on sub- scioust" 5.3 IOverview 5.3 IOverview 5.3 Absettlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure 2 and ancillary paystem settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure 2 and ancillary payses method and ancillary procedure 2 and ancillary	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to Drocessing if queued by the only the dubited during optimization procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited back of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Table 50 Table 49 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day. Figure 24: Sub-account Used to sen algored to the NTGS DCA. Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system back message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- med (i.e. more than one) ei-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmark? (BoP ATGS 10/81), is that that be an exactly identical, this is redundant (Tady, under certain roleumtances it can happen that an upper teyment: firster than alphy ungen teyment: (Can that happen also in the fulure NTGS component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. (Formal issue: There are two dots on the end of the sentence. (Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C (What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? (Change to "Settlement on declicated liquidity accounts is" or "settlement with declicated liquidity" (What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked?	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 265 266 266	118 118 126 128 128 132 138 140 140 140	payments 5.2 68eyocation of payments 5.2 67eyocation of payments 5.2 67eyocation of payments 5.2.7 1.25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3 Lostlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.2.7.3 Lostlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.45ettlement of ancillary system settlement procedure D ancillary system settlement procedure D ancillary system settlement on dedicidated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C and ancillary system settlement procedure C and ancillary system settlement	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to Drocessing if queued by the only the dot back of liquidity or instificient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Table 50 Table 49 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an -cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a basiness day Figure 24. Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 53. The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- med (i.e. more than one) ei-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? which payments are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 are rejected with EGD processing? As we asume that pacs.008 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future RTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke files, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments. Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "settlement with dedicated liquidity"	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 265 266 266	118           118           126           128           128           128           132           133           140           140           151	payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2 GRevocation of payments 5.2 The second second second payments in the entry disposition 5.2 The second second second gueue management gueue management gueue management gueue management gueue management gueue management gueue management gueue management gueue management s.2.7.3 Listeliment of gueued urgent/high payments 5.2.7.3 Listeliment of s.2.7.3 Listeliment of gueue durgent/high payments 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.45ettlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C and ancillary system settlement procedure D)	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/31). Rejection during top Drocessing if queued payments cannot be settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the onl of the dy due to lack of liquidity or instificient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Table 50 Table 49 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day Figure 24. Sub-account dedicated to one procedure is infered AS Table 50. Stop account and an eads to be mapped to the RTGS DCA. Stop Ja: The CB of the ancillary system balowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- mode (i.e. more than one) eit-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 62: Sub-account: Used to set aside liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 62: Sub-account: Used to set aside liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 62: Sub-account: Used to set aside liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? that pace.008 ser rejected with cut off customer payments and pace.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future BTG component? If so, please spectly in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke flex, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments.  Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "Settlement with dedicated liquidity" What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked?	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 265 266 266	118 118 126 128 128 132 138 140 140 140	payments 5.2 68%-costion of payments 5.2 68%-costion of payments 5.2 68%-costion of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2 7.2 Comprehensive queue management 5.2 7.3 Logener bensive queue management 5.2 7.3 Logener bensive gueue management 5.2 7.3 Logenthers ° Optimisation on sub- saccounts" 5.3 Loverview 5.3 Loverview 5.3 Loverview 5.3 Loverview 5.3 A5ettlement of ancillary system settlement procedure D ancillary system settlement procedure D and ancillary system settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C and ancillary system settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C and ancillary system settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure C and ancillary	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by Rejection during to processing if queued by the only the settled during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited burg optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited burg optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited burg optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited burg optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited burg optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited burg optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited burg optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only optimisation processing. Table 63 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day Figure 24: Sub-account: Used to set askie liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA Stetlement on dedicated burg procedure 6 inferenced AS Table 62: Sub-account: Used to set askie liquidity for exclusive settlement procedure C or for ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 62: Sub-account: Used to set aside liquidity for exclusive settlement procedure C or for ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? that pace.008 ser rejected with cut off customer payments and pace.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future BTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke flex, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments.  Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "Settlement with dedicated liquidity" What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked?	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
256 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 265 266 266	118           118           126           128           128           128           132           133           140           140           151	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1.2Settlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3.2Comprehensive queue management 5.2.7.3.3Lettlement of queue management 5.2.7.3.3Lettlement of queue management 5.2.7.3.3Lettlement of queue management 5.2.7.3.3Lettlement of accounts" 5.3.1Overview 5.3.4Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3.ASettlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D)	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to Drocessing if queued by the only the dot back of liquidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. Table 50 Table 49 Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an -cillary opticen payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day Figure 24. Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5. Sub-account dudicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5. Sub-account dudicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5. Sub-account dudicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5. Sub-account dudicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5. Sub-account dudicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- mode (i.e. more than one) ei-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- mode (i.e. more than one) ei-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmax? that pace.008 ser rejected with cut off customer payments and pace.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 49 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payment is faster then a highly urgent payment. Can that happen also in the future BTG component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. Format issue: there are two dots on the end of the sentence. Update name of procedure: 6 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? Why is the XS not allowed to revoke flex, which are not yet in the status settled? A participant can also revoke queued payments.  Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is" or "Settlement with dedicated liquidity" What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked?	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
2556 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 265 265 265 265	118           118           126           128           128           128           132           133           140           140           151	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 20comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2.3 Adgorthm: 5.2.7 3.3 Adgorthm: 5.2.7 3.3 Adgorthm: 'Optimisation on sub- sactourts' 5.3 I.Overview 5.3.4 Settlement of adedicated liquidity accounts' 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D)	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by Rejection during to processing If queued by the only the ATCS 10/31). Rejection during to Drocessing If queued by the only the dubited buring optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited buring optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited buring optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited buring optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited buring optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited buring optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited buring buring the form of the still stable 50 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day Figure 24: Sub-account: Used to set aside liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA. Step 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- mode (ue, more than one) ei-the for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 62: Sub-account: Used to set aside liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 62: Sub-account: Used to set aside liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system settlement procedure D. In addition there is an optional proce-dure (only to be used in ancillary system settlement procedure C, which the ancillary system (an open and close as often as needed during the operational hours for ancillary system forecesing, after the mandatory	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It takes that that an biP ATGS component? If so, please specify in the relevant algorithms. (BoP ATGS 10/81). It takes to be mapped, mean? Unked? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It takes to be mapped, mean? Unked? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It takes to be mapped, mean? Unked? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It takes to be mapped, mean? Unked? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It that the closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It that the closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It that the closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It takes the active text and the closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It is that an undeleted text and automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It is closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It is closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It is closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It is closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It is closed automatically at 18.00? (BoP ATGS 10/81). It is closed automatically at 18.00? (Bo	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: Also in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.
2556 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 265 265 265 265	118           118           126           128           128           132           138           140           140           151           151	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 20comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2.3 Adgorthm: 5.2.7 3.3 Adgorthm: 5.2.7 3.3 Adgorthm: 'Optimisation on sub- sactourts' 5.3 I.Overview 5.3.4 Settlement of adedicated liquidity accounts' 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D)	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. Table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 1/011). Rejection during to Drocessing if queued by the only the dubited during optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited bury optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited bury optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited bury optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited bury optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited bury optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited bury optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only the dubited bury optimisation procedures and are still queued by the only optimisation processing. Table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue in case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an cillary spacetion payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algorithm runs only once during a business day . Figure 24: Sub-account: Used to set audie liquidity for exclusive estimenent of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA. Stet Bar. The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch- mode (i.e. more than one) ei-the for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA. In addition there is an optional proce-dure (only to be used in ancillary system settlement procedure C, which the ancillary system settlement procedure C after the mandatory procedure was closed beforehand by the ancillary system after the mandatory procedure was closed beforehand by the ancillary system or the CB on behalf.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is that an undeleted textmark? (BoP RTGS 10/81). Is the closed atomark and the an ot yet in the status settle? A participant can also revoke queued payments. (Change to "Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts is or `Settlement with dedicated liquidity or `Settlement with dedicated liquidity or `Settlement at the decised atomark and the an optional procedure. Will be closed automatically at 18:00? (Brocedure 6 Interfaced now offers the possibility to increase the liquidity on a sub-account during an open cycle via MT202. (Brocedure 6 Interfaced now offers the possibility to increase the liquidity on a sub-account during an open cycle via MT202. (Brocedure 6 Interfaced now offers the possibility to increase the liquidity on a sub-account during an open cycle via MT202	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a revocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment revocation: As ion line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.  As the sentence was misleading, it is deleted.  yes  Once the cycle is started, the liquidity on the sub-accounts is blocked as long as the cycle is open.
2556 257 258 259 260 261 262 263 264 265 265 265 265 265	118           118           126           128           128           128           132           133           140           140           151	payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 6Revocation of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 20comprehensive queue management 5.2.7 2.3 Adgorthm: 5.2.7 3.3 Adgorthm: 5.2.7 3.3 Adgorthm: 'Optimisation on sub- sactourts' 5.3 I.Overview 5.3.4 Settlement of adedicated liquidity accounts' 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3.4 Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D)	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/41). Rejection during to processing if queued by the only of the settled during optimization procedures and are still queued by the only of the dubited participant, but only by these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 50 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary spacem payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algoritum turns only once during a business day. Figure 22 sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Trable 53. Settlement on dedicated liquidity for acclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA. Site 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity for acclusive settlement of a operfic ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 52: Sub-account: Used to sat table liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system settlement procedure D. Table 54: Sub-account: Used to sat table liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmax? which payments are explicited with cut off outformer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 31 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table the are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table the are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table the are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table the are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table the are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table the are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical. Today, under certain cricumstances it can happen that an urgent payments.  Procedure 6 Stellement on dedicated liquidity accounts is* or 'settlement with dedicated liquidity at 18.00 ?  Procedure 6 interfaced now offers the possibility to increase the liquidity on a sub-account during an open cycle via MT202.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file resocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a resocation of a file by the AS is not possible. Regarding your question on payment resocation: Also In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.  As the sentence was misleading, it is deleted.  yes Once the cycle is started, the liquidity on the sub-accounts is blocked as long as the cycle is open. Any immediate liquidity transfer order on the sub-account will be stored and executed only once
256 257 258 260 261 262 263 264 265 266 266	118           118           126           128           128           132           138           140           140           151           151	payments 5.2 60%-costion of payments 5.2 60%-costion of payments 5.2 60%-costion of payments 5.2 7.1 25ettlement of payments in the entry disposition 5.2.7 20mprehensive queue management queue management queue management queue management queue management queue management s.2.7.3 LSettlement of queue urgent/high payments 5.2.7.3 LSettlement of accounts" 5.3.10verview 5.3.10verview 5.3.45ettlement of ancillary systems 5.3.45ettlement of decidated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3.45ettlement on decidated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D) 5.3.45ettlement on decidated liquidity accounts (ancillary system settlement procedure D)	phase until the COT for the respective payment type. table 44 A cancellation request cannot be sent by the debited participant, but only by the initiator of the initial payment (BoP RTGS 10/31). Rejection during to processing if queued by the of or the dy due to lack of fluidity or insufficient limits, these payments are rejected during the EoD processing. table 50 table 49 table 51 Continuously resolving of the queue In case of uncovered ancillary system payment instructions, these an-cillary system payment instructions are put back in the queue of the single sub- account. The algoritum turns only once during a business day. Figure 24: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Trable 5: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5: Sub-account dedicated to one procedure 6 interfaced AS Table 5: Sub-account. Used to st atable liquidity for exclusive settlement of a specific ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA. Siteg 3a: The CB of the ancillary system is allowed to revoke the ancillary system batch message as long as not final. Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a fanctionality to provide liquidity in batch- mode (i.e. more than one) ei-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system and needs to be mapped to the RTGS DCA.	In the process description, step 4 does not make sense (BoP ATGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmax? (BoP ATGS 10/81), is that an undeleted textmax? which payments are explected with cut off customer payments and pacs.009 with cut off bank payments. table 40 and table 50 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 39 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 31 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 40 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant table 51 and table 41 are exactly identical, this is redundant the exact set in procedure 5 interfaced now procedure C What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? What does "needs to be mapped" mean? Linked? If the Ancillary System using procedure C does not close an optional procedure, will it be closed automatically at 18:00 ? Procedure 6 interfaced now offers the possibility to increase the liquidity on a sub-account in procedure C will stimp to the cycle	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Accepted	please provide more details on your concern.  Regarding your question on file revocation: In line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS los in line with the current TARGET2 behaviour a participant cannot revoke a payment sent by an AS.  As the sentence was misleading, it is deleted.  Yes  Once the cycle is started, the liquidity on the sub-accounts is blocked as long as the cycle is open.

			Optional procedure: Any optional procedure requires the ancillary system (or	If the Ancillary System using procedure C does not close an	1	1
1 H			Uptional procedure: Any optional procedure requires the anculary system (or CB on behalf) to close the mandatory procedure beforehand. The ancillary system can open and close the optional procedure as often as needed during	If the Ancillary System using procedure C does not close an optional procedure, will it be closed automatically at 18:00 ?		
			the operational hours for ancillary system processing. With each opening of this			
	153		procedure the linked standing liquidity transfer order will be executed, debiting the RTGS DCA and crediting the sub-accounts of the ancil-lary system			
			settlement banks. With each closure of the procedure the remaining liquidity on the sub-accounts is swept back to the linked RTGS DCA.			
271		5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C			clarification	yes
	153	5.3.4.1Ancillary system	Step 14: If some transactions are not set-tled until the end of cycle, the ASInitiation-Status will be sent at the end of the cycle with the individual status	What does "at the end of the cycle" mean? After closing the cycle by the Ancillary system?		
272		settlement procedure C	of each transac-tion.		clarification	yes
			"Mandatory procedure" and "Optional procedure"	Why is there a differentiation between the two procedures? It is the same - simple called "procedure". The only issue is (like		The behaviour is similar as the one today. It allows AS to use the number of procedures which
	153	5.3.4.1Ancillary system		today) it will be opened by the system automatically at the beginning of the day.		meets their needs best as each end of procedure transfers all liquidity automatically from the sub- accounts to the RTGS DCAs. Moreover it is possible to define a different amount for the standig
273		settlement procedure C	In the opposite case, where a cycle is running, the liquidity transfer will be	We need the possibility to insert liquidity during an open cycle.	clarification	order for mandatory procedure and for the standing order for optional procedure(s).
	161	5.3.4.2Ancillary system	In the opposite case, where a cycle is running, the liquidity transfer will be stored and executed only once the cycle has closed.	Today it is possible to inject liquidity via an MT202 immediately		
274	172	settlement procedure D 5.3.6Optional connected	Process flow	during an open cycle. Please indicate step 9 (from the table) also in the graph.	clarification	In AS settlement procedure D no cycles are used and the UDFS was updated.
275		mechanisms 5.4.2.3Liquidity transfer	5.4.2.3.1 Liquidity transfer between two DCAs of the RTGS component	Description of LT between RTGS DCA and a sub-account is	Rejected	Question not clear as there is no step 9 in section 5.2.6 In this chapter the focus of the process flows is on non-AS liquidity transfers. With regard to your
276	182	process	Figure 35	missing The Figure shows a liquidity transfer from Bank A to Bank B.	clarification	comment please refer to chapter 5.3.4.1.
			Figure 35	Why is this a LT? There are different account holders but no		
				further precondition mentioned. By the way the description of camt.050 in Ch. 14.3.20		
				describes: The LiquidityCreditTransfer message is used to request a		
	188			transfer of funds - between two RTGS DCAs belonging to the RTGS Account		
		5.4.2.3.4Liquidity transfer		Holder, or - between two RTGS DCAs within the same liquidity group of		
		from DCA in different settlement service to a		RTGS DCAs, defined within the RTGS component and identified		
,		DCAof the RTGS component		via account IDs, or - from a RTGS DCA to a CLM MCA	clasification	Owing to the TCCG agreement inter-service liquidity transfer are possible between any DCAs. The BTCS UBD remuire inter-service liquidity transfers. Therefore the camt 050 is used
277	191			reserve -> reservation	clarification	RTGS URD require inter-service liquidity transfers. Therefore the camt.050 is used.
278	191	5.4.3.1.10verview	reserve in parallel. table 83	reserve -> reservation; also, in the last step, is the high	Rejected	The correct term is "reserve"
				reservation right? Should it not be reduced to 100?		Reserve is the correct term.
	198					The payment of 450 uses the urgent reserve which is 50 first. Afterwards the "Available liquidity for normal cash transfers" which is 360 is used.
279		5.4.3.1.3Effect and tapping of liquidity reservation			clarification	360 and 50 sum up to 410, thus leaving 40 to be taken from the high reserve. The high reserve was 500 and it is reduced by 40. The remaining amount of 460 is correctly reflected in the table.
-	203	5.4.3.2.2Process for the definition and management		is the definition of a limit also possible via the GUI? The process description sounds like it is A2A	5	
280	203	definition and management of limits			clarification	The initial definition of limits is handled via standing order in CRDM. After activation of standing order in RTGS an update can be done via A2A or U2A.
			Depending on the settlement procedure the ancillary system is using, the liquidity needs to be provided on different accounts:	change to: sub-account for procedure C and ancillary system technical account for procedure D		
	207		I sub-account for the procedure "settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (interfaced)" (account owner = RTGS Account Holder)			
	207	5.4.3.3Dedication of	I dedicated liquidity account for procedure "settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts (real-time)" (account owner = ancillary system or its CB)			
281		liquidity for ancillary system settlement	uccounts (rear-time) (account owner = antinary system of its CB)		Accepted	
282	212	5.5.1.3Status management process	Figure 43	Typo in the graph: on top is "ancilalry" written	Accepted	
202			table 125, MFI	If all data for MFI is available in CLM, why is there no X in the	Accepted	
283	277	6.1.5.6Common reference data propagation		CLM column?	Accepted	
284	288	9.1Role of CBs in the RTGS component	table 126 - Tasks of the CB	please add: communication with the Operator (e.g. teleconferences)	Clarification	The UDFS provides only a very generic description of these tasks as the detailed procedures are out of UDFS scope and will be covered in other documents (eg MoP).
	288	9.1Role of CBs in the RTGS		this sentence does not make sense in this chapter.		
285		component	via individual messages or in file format.	please add the term "mandated payment" somewhere in this	Accepted	
286	288	9.1Role of CBs in the RTGS component		chapter. It is a basic CB operation and is not mentioned explicitly.	Accepted	
			UML conventions	the UML conventions are already used several chapters before. Please put the explanation and conventions before these		
	292	100		charts are used for the first time in the document or at the very beginning	a. 15 - 1	
287		10Processes with RTGS	As part of this processing step, the RTGS component determines	As part of this processing step, the RTGS component	Clarification	Please see updated document
	297	10.2.1Send cash transfer	<ul> <li>whether the cash transfer order is a warehoused payment;</li> </ul>	determines – whether the cash transfer order is for a future value date		
288		order	figure 67: Process "Standard CLM Settlement"	(warehoused payment); Process "Standard RTGS Settlement"	Clarification	Please see updated document
	312	10.3.2Process RTGS				
289		till/reject time instructions			Clarification	Please see updated document
			Notify successful settlement procedure B completion	Correct the Link in the description.		
290	318	10.3.3.3Perform settlement	Notify successful settlement procedure B completion After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FEHLENDER LINK — (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive	Correct the Link in the description.		
	318	10.3.3.3Perform settlement of settlement procedure B	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the FEHLENDER LINK (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferInitiation (pain.998) [] 633] message		Clarification	Please see updated document
L. U	318 342	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the FEHLENDER LINK (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferInitiation (pain.998) [] 633] message	Correct the Link in the description.		
291	342	of settlement procedure B	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the FEHLENDER LINK (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferInitiation (pain.998) [] 633] message		Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document
291 292	342 354	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1Reference data objects	After successful settlement of all anciliary system transfers the submitter of the — FFLRENDER INVE, manifulary system or C6 an obehalf will receive andSTransfernitistion (pain 598) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types		
	342	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.1Reference data	After successful settlement of all anciliary system transfers the submitter of the — FHELRDER LWN - (anciliary system or CG on behalf) will receive anASTransferintitation (pain 998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types	Clarification	Please see updated document
292 293	342 354	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.1.2.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in	After successful settlement of all anciliary system transfers the submitter of the — FFLRENDER INVE, manifully system or G con obehalf will receive and STransfernitistion (pain 598) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain.998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned.	Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure Id. Valid values
292	342 354 357	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.1Reference data objects 11.1.1.2.1Reference data query message coverage	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FHENDER INF. (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain.998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned.	Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document
292 293 294	342 354 357	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.Reference data query message in business context 15.1Index of business rules	After successful settlement of all anciliary system transfers the submitter of the — FHELRDER LWN - (anciliary system or CG on behalf) will receive anASTransferintitation (pain 998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain.998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 metionset, it sould be A, B, C and D. There is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, business rule that makes it possible to	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D".
292 293	342 354 357 623 671	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FHENDER INF. (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain.998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. There is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there ban argot code, business rule that makes it possible to work with that table?	Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure Id. Valid values
292 293 294	342 354 357 623	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.Reference data query message in business context 15.1Index of business rules	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FIELRIDER INLF (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain 998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain.998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 methoder, 45 where in the RTGS component, 45 where in the ATGS component, 45 where is the ATGS component, 45 where base more code, business rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing.	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D".
292 293 294 295	342 354 357 623 671	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FIELRIDER INLF (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain 998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the AbinitationStatus message (pain-988) is the Settlement procedure 11 to fmentioned. Here in the RTGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. There is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, busines rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 223) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the AS will no	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document
292 293 294 295	342 354 357 623 671	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FHENDER INF. (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain, 598) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the AbinitationStatus message (pain-988) is the Settlement procedure 11 to femetioned. Here in the RTGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. There is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, busines rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 229) and Mi (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the AS will no longer be possible. the PM must be used. Will this require a different type of account for the AS? Will it be possible for an	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification	Please see updated document           Please see updated document           Please see updated document           UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D".           Please see updated document           MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.           Please refer to section S.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment.
292 293 294 295	342 354 357 623 671 766	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FHENDER INF. (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain,598) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. there in the RIGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. There is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, business rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no forger be possible. PMP must be used. Will this require a	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.
292 293 294 295 296	342 354 357 623 671 766	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FHENDER INF. (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain,598) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASIIntiationStatus message (pain 598) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. Here in the RTGS component, it sould be A, B, c and D. There is a large table of "Decription" and "passible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 220) and MIS (page 72) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no forgere be possible. the PM must be used. Will this require a different type of account for the AS? Will it be possible to a to instruct payments on behald to hanks as is the case for TBS?	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document           Please see updated document           Please see updated document           UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D".           Please see updated document           MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.           Please refer to section S.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment.
292 293 294 295 296	342 354 357 623 671 766	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —-FICHADDER INNE - (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the AbinitationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 11 to 6 mentioned. Where in the RTGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. there is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, business rait that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table? Format issue: Table? For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no hogre be possible. the PM must be used. Will this require a different type of account for the AS? Will it be possible for and to instruct payments on behalf or the ASI will no a to instruct payments on behalf or the ASI will no different type of account for the ASI will no for an AS to instruct payments on behalf or the ASI will no for here possible or the ASI will it be possible for an different type of account for the ASI will here the ask of the table different type of account for the ASI will no for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from today where the main cash account can be	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document           Please see updated document           Please see updated document           UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D".           Please see updated document           MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.           Please refer to section S.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment.
292 293 294 295 296	342 354 357 623 671 766	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —-FICHADDER INNE - (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the AbitivitationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 11 to 6 mentioned. Where is net RTGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. there is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, business rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 718 As will no hogre be possible number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 718 As will no for an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the AS will no for be possible. the PM must be used. Will this require a different type of account for the AS? Will it be possible for an 45 to instruct payments on behalf of banks as it be case for THS? Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from toold banks not transfer sufficient liquidity to the hosen DCA that could result in isseew with the settlement. Will	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document           Please see updated document           Please see updated document           UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D".           Please see updated document           MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.           Please refer to section S.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment.
292 293 294 295 296	342 355 623 671 766 141	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —-FICHADDER INNE - (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASINItationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. Here in the ITGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Three is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, business rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 220) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no hongre be possible number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 220) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no hongre be possible. He PM must be used. Will his require a different type of account for the ASI will no to longre to possible with a MSI on the case for THS? Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement 0 (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from tool drives in its uses with the settlement. Will there be ther messures implemented to mitigate the liquidity to the chosen DCA that could result in issue with the settlement. Will there be ther messures inglemented to mitigate the liquidity to the other messures inglemented to mitigate the liquidity to the there ther messures inglemented to mitigate the liquidity to the for a MI the possible for an AS to instruct the transfer of missible for a AS to instruct the transfer of for Set MI the solution for a more possible for an AS to instruct the transfer of	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document           Please see updated document           Please see updated document           UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D".           Please see updated document           Miss was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.           Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing.           Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers.           In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Mitigation of risks
292 293 294 295 296 297	342 355 623 671 766 141	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —-FICHADDER INNE - (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.998) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the AshinitationStatus message (pain-988) is the Settlement procedure 11 to femetioned. Here in the RTGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. There is a larget ache of "Description" and "user function". Will there is an error code, busines rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format fuseur: Table number (below) k missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers us of the A3 will no longer be possible. the PM must be used. Will this require a AS to instruct payments on behalf of banks as is the case for fuse for settlement of noor or more) and/usry system. This is different type d across the main cash account can be used. Should banks not transfer stiffent liquidity to the chosen DCA that could result in issues with the settlement. Will	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document           Please see updated document           Please see updated document           UDFs updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "\", "0", "C" and "D".           Please see updated document           Miss was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.           Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing.           Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. Mitigation of risks is done by floor / celling feature as wells an liquidity transfers triggered due to pending urgert / high payments. The possibility to transfer liquidity from the ACh to the DCA depends on the configured / high payments. The possibility to transfer liquidity from the ACh to the DCA depend on the configure of the settlement of payments and AS transfers.
292 293 294 295 296	342 355 623 671 766 141	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FHENDER INF. (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.988) [) 633] message isee comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available Account types for use by ancillary systems GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems to query Technical Account and Sub	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain.998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. there in the RTSC component, it sould be A, B, C and D. there is a large table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, business rule that makes it possible format issue: Table of the the table of the table of the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no forger be possible number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no forger be possible the PM must be used. Will this require a different type of account for the ASI will no the case for TIPS? Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for sattlement of lone or more) ancillary system. This is different transfer sufficient liquidity to the horse DCA that could result in issues with the settlement. Will there be ther messures implemented to mitigate the liquidity riss? Will it be possible for an ASI to instruct the transfer of liquidity from the Main Account to the DCA? Will it be possible for an ancillary system to use GetAccount to	Clarification Clarification Clarification accepted Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA's needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Mitigation of risks is done by floor Celling Fatures as well addition to settle AS transfers.
292 293 294 295 296 297	342 355 623 671 766 141	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FIELKDER INF. (ancillary system of CB on behalf will receive anASTransferrinitation (pain 598) [) 633] message see comment for page 83 Table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available Account types for use by ancillary systems	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASIInitiationStatus message (pain 938) is the Settlement procedure 1 to for enertioned. Here in the RTGS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. There is a large table of Description <sup>1</sup> and <sup>1</sup> cser function <sup>4</sup> . Will there ban error code, busines rule that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no finger be possible. the PM must be used. Will this require a different type of account for the AS7 will it be possible for an Sto instruct payments on behald of banks as is the case for THS? Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different for ould result in issee with the settlement. Will there be other messures implemented to mitigate the liquidity to the horse DCA that could result in issee with the settlement. Will there be other messures inglemented to instruct the transfer of liquidity from the Main Account to the DCA?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document           Please see updated document           Please see updated document           UDFs updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "\", "0", "C" and "D".           Please see updated document           Miss was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations.           Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing.           Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers.           In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Mitigation of risks is done by floor / celling feature as well as liquidity transfers triggered due to pending urgert/. high apyments. The possibility to transfer liquidity from the ACI to the CA dependent on the configure of the site as transfers.
292 293 294 295 296 297 297	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FHENDER INF. (ancillary system or CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain.988) [) 633] message isee comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available Account types for use by ancillary systems GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems to query Technical Account and Sub	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "G", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Mitigation of risks is done by floor CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. The possibility to transfer liquidity from the MCA to the DCA depends on the configured access rights. The access to the account balances depends on the configured access rights.
292 293 294 295 296 297	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FIELXDER INF. (— (ancillary ystem or C6 on behalf) will receive anASTransferrinitation (pain 598) [) 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available ASI3 will no longer be available ASI3 will no longer be available GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems to query Technical Account and Sub Account Note: The following message flows and corresponding process descriptions are	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. Here in the RISS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Here in the RISS coords, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table mumber (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no longer be possible. Her PM must be used Will this reguine a different trype of account for the AS7 will it be possible for an AS to instruct payments on behalf of banks as is the case for THS2 Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from doutd result in isseewith the settlement. Will there be any other suble for an AS to instruct paymostile for an AS to instruct the runsite of missible for an AS to instruct the transfer of liquidity from the Main Account to the DCA? Will it be possible for an an collary system to use GetAccount to query balance of Technical Account and sbab Account? Will there be any other main for an AS to get the balance of those accounts in an STP way and at any point in time?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Is done by floor / ceiling feature as well as liquidity transfers triggered due to pending urgent / high acress rights.
292 293 294 295 296 297 297	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FHELNDER INF. (ancillary system of CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain, 598) [] 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available Account types for use by ancillary systems GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems to query Technical Account and Sub Account	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. Here in the RISS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Here in the RISS coords, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table mumber (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no longer be possible. Her PM must be used Will this reguine a different trype of account for the AS7 will it be possible for an AS to instruct payments on behalf of banks as is the case for THS2 Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from doutd result in isseewith the settlement. Will there be any other suble for an AS to instruct paymostile for an AS to instruct the runsite of missible for an AS to instruct the transfer of liquidity from the Main Account to the DCA? Will it be possible for an an collary system to use GetAccount to query balance of Technical Account and sbab Account? Will there be any other main for an AS to get the balance of those accounts in an STP way and at any point in time?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "6", "c" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Mitigation of risks is done by floor Colling feature as well siluquidity transfers transfers. Mitigation of risks a done by those Colling features are all liquidity transfers transfers. The possibility to transfer liquidity from the MCA to the DCA depends on the configured access rights. The access to the account balances depends on the configured access rights.
292 293 294 295 296 297 297	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalidate warehoused payments at SoD 11.1.1.1.Reference data objects 11.1.2.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1.Index of business rules and error codes	After succesful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FIELNDER IN. (, (ancillary system of CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain, 988) [) 633] message isee comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available ASI3 will no longer be available Account types for use by ancillary systems GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems to query Technical Account and Sub Account Note: The following message flows and corresponding process descriptions are based on the interaction between RTGS Account Holders. If the originator of the payment is an indirect participant one step has to be added before the	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. Here in the RISS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Here in the RISS coords, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table mumber (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no longer be possible. Her PM must be used Will this reguine a different trype of account for the AS7 will it be possible for an AS to instruct payments on behalf of banks as is the case for THS2 Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from doutd result in isseewith the settlement. Will there be any other suble for an AS to instruct paymostile for an AS to instruct the runsite of missible for an AS to instruct the transfer of liquidity from the Main Account to the DCA? Will it be possible for an an collary system to use GetAccount to query balance of Technical Account and sbab Account? Will there be any other main for an AS to get the balance of those accounts in an STP way and at any point in time?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDFS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "6", "c" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Mitigation of risks is done by floor Colling feature as well siluquidity transfers transfers. Mitigation of risks a done by those Colling features are all liquidity transfers transfers. The possibility to transfer liquidity from the MCA to the DCA depends on the configured access rights. The access to the account balances depends on the configured access rights.
292 293 294 295 296 297 298 298	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalldate warehoused payments at 500 11.1.1.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in Dusiness context 15.1Index of business rules and error codes 17.List of abbreviations 5.2.3Flow of payment	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the —FIELXDER INF. (— (ancillary ystem of CB on behalf) will receive anAST and settlement for page 83 table 138 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available ASI3 will no longer be available Note: The following message flows and corresponding process descriptions are based on the interaction between RTGS Account folders. If the originator of the payment is an indext participant on sets has to be added before the	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. Here in the RISS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Here in the RISS coords, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table of "Description" and "user function". Will there be an error code, buikers set low that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table mumber (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no longer be possible. Her PM must be used Will this reguine a different trype of account for the AS7 will it be possible for an AS to instruct payments on behalf of banks as is the case for THS2 Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from doutd result in isseewith the settlement. Will there be any other suble for an AS to instruct paymostile for an AS to instruct the runsite of missible for an AS to instruct the transfer of liquidity from the Main Account to the DCA? Will it be possible for an an collary system to use GetAccount to query balance of Technical Account and sbab Account? Will there be any other main for an AS to get the balance of those accounts in an STP way and at any point in time?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, anRTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. The access to the account balances depends on the configured access rights. As regards sub accounts the AS is notified about the balances at start of cycle. The interaction between the indirect and the direct participant is not part of UDPS. The note is ony
292 293 294 295 296 297 297	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalldate warehoused payments at 500 11.11.11.Reference data objects 11.11.2.1Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in business context 15.1Index of business rules and error codes 17List of abbreviations	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FERLENDER INC. (ancillary ystem of Can obehalf) will receive anASTanderinitation (pain 398) [) 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ACI will no longer be available ACI will no longer be available ACCount types for use by ancillary systems GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems to query Technical Account and Sub Account Note: The following message flows and corresponding process descriptions are based on the interaction between RTGS Account Holders. If the originator of the payment is an inder ty anticipant of an inderect participant or estips has to be added before the current step 1. If the payments is in fusion or all indirect participant one step payment message (i.e. after step 4).	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASInitiationStatus message (pain 998) is the Settlement procedure 1 to 6 mentioned. Here in the RISS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Here in the RISS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Here in the respective of the sould be A, B, C and D. Here is the RISS component, it sould be A, B, C and D. Here is the RISS code, business rate that makes it possible to work with that table? Format issue: Table number (below) is missing, also the abbreviations UML (page 292) and MIS (page 73) are missing. For an AS requiring liquidity transfers use of the ASI will no longer be possible. Her PM must be used Will this require a different trype of account for the AS7 Will it be possible for an AS to instruct payments on behalf of banks as is the case for THS2 Change compared to current system. Banks must set up a DCA for settlement of (one or more) ancillary system. This is different from dout result in isseewith the settlement. Will there be any other used for instruct the transfer of liquidity from the Main Account to the DCA? Will it be possible for an As to lose the balance of Technical Account AG sub-there of the account? Will there be any other mean for an AS to get the balance of those accounts in an STP way and at any point in time?	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Valid values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banka can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. Banka can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. Banka can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. Banka can use one RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Banka can use one RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. Banka to be by floor / ceiling feature as well as liquidity transfers triggered due to pending urgent / high payments. The possibility to transfer liquidity from the MCA to the DCA depends on the configured access rights. The access to the account balances depends on the configured access rights. As regards sub accounts the AS is notified about the balances at start of cycle.
292 293 294 295 296 297 297 298	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142 394	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalldate warehoused payments at 500 11.1.1.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in Dusiness context 15.1Index of business rules and error codes 17.List of abbreviations 5.2.3Flow of payment	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FERLENDER INNE - (ancillary ystem of CB on behalf will receive and STransferrinitation (pain.988) [) 633] message see comment for page 83 table 138 table 138 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available ASI3 will no longer be available ASI3 will no longer be available Asi3 will no longer be available Account types for use by ancillary systems to query Technical Account and Sub Account Note: The following message flows and corresponding process descriptions are based on the interaction between RTGS Account Holders. If the originator of the payment is an inder the direct ant CB account Holders. If the originator of the payment is in inder the direct ant CB account Holders. If the originator of the payment is in infector or step has to be added before the current tage 1. If the payments is in favour of an indirect participant one step has to be add-add after the direct RTGS Account Holders has received the payment message (i.e. after step 4). Table 74 and the paragraph above it: "Liquidity transfer orders can have the following type::	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Vaild values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. The access rights. The access to the account balances depends on the configured access rights. As regards sub accounts the AS is notified about the balances at start of cycle. The interaction between the indirect and the direct participant is not part of UDPS. The note is only
292 293 294 295 296 297 297 298	342 354 357 623 671 766 141 142	of settlement procedure B 10.5Revalldate warehoused payments at 500 11.1.1.1.Reference data query message coverage 14.6.2.3The message in Dusiness context 15.1Index of business rules and error codes 17.List of abbreviations 5.2.3Flow of payment	After successful settlement of all ancillary system transfers the submitter of the — FHELNDER INNE – (ancillary system of CB on behalf) will receive anASTransferinitation (pain, 988) [) 633] message see comment for page 83 table 140 Settlement Model Type on page 625 beginning on page 709 Table ASI3 will no longer be available Asi3 will no longer be available Account types for use by ancillary systems GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems GetAccount possibility for ancillary systems Mote: The following message flows and corresponding process descriptions are based on the interaction between RTGS Account Holders. If the originator of the payment is an indirect participant one step has to be added before the current step. 1. If the atter that of Later XTGS Account Holder has received the payment message (Later KTGS Account Holder has received the payment message (Later KTGS Account Holder has received the payment message (Later KTGS Account Holder has received the payment message has be added after the direct RTGS Account Holder has received the payment message (Later XTGS Account Holder has received the payment message has be badded badfore the Current step. 1. If the apargraph above It:	see comment for page 83 please insert links to the respective message types please insert links to the respective message types in the ASIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Please see updated document Please see updated document Please see updated document UDPS updated. As message will use a single character field to denote the procedure id. Vaild values are: "A", "B", "C" and "D". Please see updated document MIS was deleted. UML added to the list of abbreviations. Please refer to section 5.3 Settlement of ancillary systems. As regards AS using payment functionality, the Level 2 discussion is ongoing. Banks can use one RTGS DCA for the settlement of payments and AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. In case of MCA in CLM, an RTGS DCA is needed in addition to settle AS transfers. The access rights. The access to the account balances depends on the configured access rights. As regards sub accounts the AS is notified about the balances at start of cycle. The interaction between the indirect and the direct participant is not part of UDPS. The note is only

			Figure 24	Please update the wording from Settlement procedure 6 to		
302	140	5.3.10verview	generic question	settlement procedure C. question1: figure16 quotes a camt.054 sent to the RTGS B	Accepted	
				whereas in figure 29 where a pacs.009 is rather used. Is this a contradiction-typo or does it imply that the payment		
1				described in section 5.2.3.3 is a payment type distinct from the one mentioned in section 5.3.5 ?		
1				question2: is section 5.3.5 a rehearsal of section 5.2.3.3 (i.e. a		
				duplicate?) or is there an added value to repeat the information twice?		
	102			question3: is it correct to consider that the payments initiated		
				by the AS is a totally distinct type of payments following its own rules (kind of mandated payment)? Then what is the added value to call this a payment rather than an AS procedure		Question 1: Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
				added value to call this a payment rather than an AS procedure exactly?		Question 2: chapter 5.2 describes general payment processing and 5.3 describes AS specific behaviour. We acknowledge that there is a certain overlap.
		5.2.3.3Payments sent from		question4: could you please specify what priority is given by the system to these payments?		Question 3: It's a dedicated usage of the pacs.009.
303		an ancillary system	Each CB has also the status of a RTGS account holder. In practical terms, this	What is the account that allows fulfillment of this status for the	Clarification	Question 4: In case of codeword ASTI use, the priority is urgent.
			means that each CB must be: I directly addressable in RTGS in order to receive payments from other	CB? The RTGS CB account or a RTGS DCA owned by the CB? Or both? Please see also our comment on 3.2.1 Account types.		
	288	9.1Role of CBs in the RTGS	participants I able to submit payments on its own or on behalf of its customers in RTGS			
304		component	It includes the	1. Does the general ledger provide this information also for the	Clarification	From a functional perspective there is no obligation to open a CB account or RTGS DCA in RTGS.
			l SoD and EoD balances I Sum of credits and sum of debits	dedicated transit account? If yes, it should be added to this sentence for clarity purposes.		
	290	9.3.2RTGS general ledgers	for all included RTGS cash accounts.	<ol><li>Since the dedicated transit account is owned by the ECB, can the CBs also subscribe to notifications for movements in this</li></ol>		
305		content	The sum of all balances of the RTGS accounts (excluding the dedicated transit	account? The dedicated transit account show the balance related to the	Clarification	Those information will be provided in UDFS v 1.1
	290		account itself) must be equal to the balance on the dedicated transit account in absolute value.	transfer of liquidity only. While the RTGS DCA includes also movements related to payments in the EOD balance. Hence,		
306		9.3.2RTGS general ledgers content		the balances should not be comparable. Can you please check?	Clarification	Those information will be provided in UDFS v 1.1
			The following outgoing messages are subject to message subscription: I camt.004	It might be helpful to clarify that some of these messages are however send on a mandatory basis depending on the business		
	62		I camt.019 I camt.029	case (i.e. camt,004 for ancillary system procedures, camt.029 for execution or non-execution of a revocation.		
307		3.2.3Messaging	l camt.054 l pacs.002.		Accepted	Additional information was added. Further details will be provided in the other parts of the UDFS.
507	49	3.2.3Messaging 3.1.2Concept of party in	In its CB role, it may only own CB accounts (see Glossary [] 743] for the definition of a CB account): all other account types need to be owned under its.	In CLM we speak about central bank of issue role. Is it the case also for RTGS? Should there be more information on the CB	носерцей	
308	49	3.1.2Concept of party in RTGS	RTGS Participant role.	role?	Clarification	CBO business is limited to CLM business. Nonetheless the CB is able to have negative balances on RTGS CB accounts in RTGS.
	65		A Liquidity Transfer Group is an optional grouping of DCAs. CBs can setup Liquidity Transfer Groups for the purpose of arranging intra-RTGS liquidity transfers between them A RTGS DCA can be included in one or several Liquidity	Please replace "CBs" with "RTGS participants".		
309		3.3Types of groups	transfers between them. A RTGS DCA can be included in one or several Liquidity Transfer Groups.	A 1 1. 1 1. 1 1. 1	Clarification	According to URD (SHRD.CB.UR.CRDM.UI.270) only CBs shall set up and amend LTG.
310	65	3.3Types of groups	All the chapter	Can you please introduce in the chapter, also the "Account monitoring group" concept?	Accepted	
	76		"Actions" and "Events"	Can you please provide more details on which actions are performed during which event, with the (approximative) timing for each external contents of the provided of the second		
311	265	4.3.4EoD 6.1.5.1Common reference	Table 120	for each action? Please specify who is responsible for Standing order for	Clarification	Those information will be provided in UDFS v 1.1
312		data objects		reservation. Can you please clarify how the link will be done between the	Accepted	
	41		BICs cannot longer be used as technical addresses. Instead the technical addressing is based on DN, i.e. in case an inbound pacs mes-sage the RTGS	BIC and the RTGS component? Who will be in charge of the set-up and maintenance ?		
	-11		component as DN receiver must be addressed in the technical header and in outbound messages the sender DN is the RTGS component			Reference to status quo is deleted.
313		2.6Routing	Table 17 : "revalidation window for warehoused payment".	This timeslot does not exist in CLM UDFS (see table 17 P70).	Clarification	The link will be set up in CRDM. CRDM maintenance is described in chapter 6.
314	44	4.20verview		Does it make sense to have it for RTGS and not for CLM ?	Clarification	This time slot is under discussion with the market. Therefore the required information will be provided in UDFS v 1.1
			Three calendar months after a common reference data object is created, updated or deleted, CRDM copies the revision of the common reference data	Question1: how was the 3 months period decided? Question2: can you please confirm that there is no archiving of		
	51		object resulting from this reference data maintenance instruction from the production data base to the archiving data base. As a result the common presence data phiotic the bat in the production data base and archived in the	data if that data is currently in use at the service level (i.e. is there any desynchronization risk CRDM vs.services)?		1. This is in line with the period agreed in T2S.
			reference data object is both in the production data base and archived in the archiving data base, in case it was created or updated, or only in the archiving data base, in case it was deleted (transitions 6 and 7).	Question3: is the archiving database accessible to users and how?		<ol> <li>Data is continuously archived (without being removed from the live database) while it is purged from the live database only after its deletion or expiry (when it is no longer used in the individual</li> </ol>
315		6.1.5.5Lifecycle of common reference data objects			Clarification	services) 3. Archived data can be requested to the Operator.
			generic question	In case a piece of data is currently in use by one or several services and that a user initiates in CRDM a modificiation on		
				the data object: - does CRDM perform some verifications prior to authorizing a change of the reference data? (se attempt to delete an		
	73			change of the reference data? (eg attempt to delete an account with outstanding balances: any check?) - is this user been made aware that the data is used by some		
	73			<ul> <li>- is this user been made aware that the data is used by some services and may interfere? (in the above example: is a warning displayed?)</li> </ul>		
				warning displayed?) - is the service (or one user connected to that service) informed about the reference data change in CRDM?		
316		6.1CRDM features			Clarification	No such checks are foreseen at this time as there is no requirement so far.
			[Settlement not successful]: Upon the first unsuccessful settlement attempt all settlement banks are noti-fied by "Notify failed settlement" process.	states that the Settlement banks are informed of the failed		
	73		I [End of settlement period not reached] Unless the end of settlement period is reached the ancillary system transfers will have a settlement attempt with each			
		10.3.3.3Perform settlement	launch of the optimisation algorithm.	ASI status message) and not only the settlement banks. Please update the text and the Figure 70 accordingly.		
317		of settlement procedure B		Is this the only purpose of the CB account in RTGS? Should	Clarification	Please see updated document
318	275	3.2.1Account types	case of contingency scenari-os.	then, the CB open also a normal RTGS DCA for its own payments?	Accepted	
			The store-n-forward mode allows to send messages also when (,,,)	Could we clarify that the store-n-forward mode consists in a	-	
				Real Time notification plus a re-try in case of failure?		
1	35			Real Time notification plus a re-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that inbound instructions are processed in a store-n-forward mode; as we speak here of		
1	35			Real Time notification plus a re-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that inbound instructions are		Real-time in the context of messages defines a certain connectivity mode, that is explained in this
319		2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	Table page 42	Real Time notification plus a re-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that inbound instructions are processed in a store-n-forward mode; as we speak here of	Rejected	Real-time in the context of messages defines a certain connectivity mode, that is explained in this chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
319			Table page 42 Row Queries', column 'outbound communication' 'Storeforward message-based, real-time file-based	Real Time notification plus a re-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that inbound instructions are processed in a storen-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing	Rejected	
320			Row 'Queries', column 'outbound communication'	Real Time notification plus a re-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that inbound instructions are processed in a storen-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing		
	35	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	Row 'Queries', column 'outbound communication' 'Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file-based	Real Time notification plus a re-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that inbound instructions are processed in a store-n-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing isn't it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this	Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320	35	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	Row "Queries", column' outbound communication' "Storen-forward inessage-based, real-time file-based on page 43, heading 'status quo T2' under Actions	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libound instructions are processed in a store-in-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing isn't it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this pargraph exactly? In Table 12, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and fiquidity transfers is precisely a distinct plase of the bisons day.	Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320	35	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	Row Queries', column 'outboard communication' 'Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file-based on page 43, heading 'status quo T2' under Actions Settlement of ancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libound instructions are processed in a store-in-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusion (soft it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this pargraph eactly? In Table 17, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and liquidity transfers precisely a distinct phase of the busines day. Finding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus surprising: either the table 17 needs revision (remove these circlives as being a distinct BØ phase) of these activities shall	Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320	35	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	Row Queries', column 'outboard communication' 'Store-n-forward message-based, real-time file-based on page 43, heading 'status quo T2' under Actions Settlement of ancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that hobound instructions are processed in a store-n-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusion (sn't if rather' Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this paragraph exactly? In Table 17, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and liquidity transfers is precisely a distinct phase of the business day. Finding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus supringing-either the bale 17 needs revision (remove these	Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320	35 41 74	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	Row Queries', column 'outboard communication' Storen-forward mem Risebased, each active Risebased on page 43, heading 'status quo T2' under Actions "Settlement of ancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders ar possible. The standing or-ders from the RTGS DCAs to the ancillary ()'	Real Time notification plus are try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libouid instructions are processed in a store-in-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing lisn't it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this pagragraph eacity? In Table 17, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and liquidity transfers is precisely a distinct phase of the business day. Finding these activities under the SO' actions' is thus surprising: either the table 17 needs revision (remove these activities as being a distinct Dp hase) or these activities shall be moved under their own ad hoc section/header (which by the way would leave the faction 'header empty under 4.3.1)	Accepted Clarification	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320	35 41 74 75	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A) 2.6Routing 4.3.15oD. 4.3.2Settlement windows	Row Queriet', column 'outboard communication' Storen-sforward muter Bite-based on page 43, heading 'status quo 72' under Actions "settlement dancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are possible. The standing or-ders from the RTGS DCAs to the ancillary ()' The settlement window for bank to bank payments starts after the successful completion of the rearrange-ment window.	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libound instructions are processed in a store-in-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing isn't it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this paragraph eacity? In Table 17, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and liquidint variafers is precisely a distinct plase of the business day. Finding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus surprising: either the table 17 needs revision (remove these activities as being a distinut plase) or these activities shall be moved under their own ad hoc section/header (which by the way would leave the 'action' header empty under 4.3.1) shall we replace 'rearrangement' with 're-validation?	Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320 321 322	35 41 74	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A) 2.6Routing 4.3.1SoD.	Row Queriet', column 'outboard communication' Storen-showard mem Bit-based on page 43, heading 'status quo 72' under Actions "settlement dancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are possible. The standing or-ders from the RTGS DCAs to the ancillary ()' The settlement window for bank to bank payments starts after the successful completion of the rearrange-ment window. table 19	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libound instructions are processed in a store-in-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing sint' it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this paragraph eazity? In Table 17, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and liquidity transfers is precisely a distinct phase of the business day. Finding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus surprising either the table 17 needs revision (remove these day. either the table 17 needs revision (remove these day. activities and loss cection/header (which by the way would leave the 'action' header empty under 4.3.1) shall we replace 'rearrangement' with 're-validation'? As per the table, CB can instruct Urgent payments; can you please detail under which circumstances?	Accepted Clarification	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320 321 322 322	35 41 74 75	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A) 2.6Routing 4.3.1SoD. 4.3.2Settlement windows 5.1.2Comparison of	Row Queriet', column 'outboard communication' Storen-sforward muter Bite-based on page 43, heading 'status quo 72' under Actions "settlement dancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are possible. The standing or-ders from the RTGS DCAs to the ancillary ()' The settlement window for bank to bank payments starts after the successful completion of the rearrange-ment window.	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libound instructions are processed in a store-in-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing sin't it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this paragraph ealed' 17 Table 17, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and liquidity transfers precisely a distinct phase of the busins finding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus surprising; either the table 17 needs revision (remove these dav. Finding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus surprising; either the table 17 needs revision (remove these dav. The way would leave the 'action' header empty under 4.3.1) shall we replace 'rearrangement' with 're-validation'? As per the table/CB can instruct Urgent payments; can you please detail under which dricumstances? 1. Heading' "Payments instructions functionality for ancillary syntems'. where is the heading corresponding to "Payments	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320 321 322 322	35 41 74 75	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A) 2.6Routing 4.3.1SoD. 4.3.2Settlement windows 5.1.2Comparison of	Row Queriet', column 'outboard communication' Storen-showard mem Bite-based on page 43, heading 'status quo 72' under Actions "settlement dancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are possible. The standing or-ders from the RTGS DCAs to the ancillary ()' The settlement window for bank to bank payments starts after the successful completion of the rearrange-ment window. table 19	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libourd instructions are processed in a store-n-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing isn't It rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'Status quo', what is the purpose of this paragraph exectly? In Table 17, previous page, the settlement of AS payments and hydright transfers is precisely a distinct phase of the business Finding these activities under the SOD 'action's thus suprising: either the table 17 needs viewion (remove these activities as being a distinct BD phase) or these activities hall be moved under their own ad hor sciton/head re (with the the way would leave the "action' header empty under 4.3.1) shall we replace 'rearrangement' with 're-validation? As per the table, CB can instruct Urgent payments; can you please detail under which dricumstances?	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.
320 321 322 322	35 41 74 75 80	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A) 2.6Routing 4.3.1SoD. 4.3.2Settlement windows 5.1.2Comparison of	Row Queriet', column 'outboard communication' Storen-showard mem Bite-based on page 43, heading 'status quo 72' under Actions "settlement dancillary system transactions and liquidity transfer orders are possible. The standing or-ders from the RTGS DCAs to the ancillary ()' The settlement window for bank to bank payments starts after the successful completion of the rearrange-ment window. table 19	Real Time notification plus are-try in case of failure? The table page 42 mentions that libound instructions are processed in a store-in-forward mode; as we speak here of payments made on a Real Time system this seems confusing isn't it rather 'Real Time message-based, real-time file-based. Why is this called 'status quo', what is the purpose of this paragraph eacled' type to the status of the settlement of AS payments and liquidity transfers is precisely a distinct phase of the busines day. Tinding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus surprising: either the table 17 needs revision (remove these day. Finding these activities under the SOD 'actions' is thus surprising: either the table 17 needs revision (remove these day. The table of the action/header (which by the way would leave the 'action' header empty under 4.3.1) shall we replace 'rearrangement' with 're-validation'? As per the table (CB can instruct Urgent payments; can you please detail under which dricumstances? 1. Heading: "Payments instructions functionality for an allary stretms'. Where is the heading corresponding to 'ayments instructions functionality for NON ancillary systems'?	Accepted Clarification Accepted Accepted	chapter. Real-time in a context of RTGS defines an immediate execution of an instruction.

	100	5.2.3.2Payments sent from a multi-addressee access	Messages flows on figure 13 and table 30	In this example, the multi addressee receives a pacs.002. There is no message sent to the RTGS account owner?		
326	54	participant to another RTGS Account Holder	page55 'The RTGS dedicated transit accounts are accounts owned by CBs. They shall either have a zero or a nega-tive balance as they reflect any movement of liquidity from/to CLM'	Looking at figure35, it seems Dedicated Transit Account can also present positive balances. Please clarify.	Clarification	Yes
327	48	3.2.1Account types	General Comment	When Consolidation will be go live we have a doubt about two possible different scheme for the participation to the Target Services. Attached you can find a ppt presentation where the	Accepted	
328		3Parties and accounts		two possible schemes are shown. Could you validate which is the correct one?	Clarification	Further information will be delivered in the next UDFS version
329	48	3Parties and accounts	General Comment	Do you confirm that a participation with one or more RTGS DCAs without a MCA is not envisaged?	Clarification	The issue is currently under discussion within the Eurosystem
			General Comment	Considering that, as stated in the RTGS UDFS 3.2.1. pg 54, a "RTGS DCA is identified with a unique BIC11", do you envisage		
330	48	3Parties and accounts		a "TIPS like" model with an authorized account user list for every RTGS DCA having a specific account ID or every RTGS DCA will be pen directly with a different BIC and a specific account ID?	Clarification	The section was updated to better explain the concept.
	144	5.3.2Ancillary system	Table 60 - 3a -The ancillary system settlement banks are informed about queuing by a GUI broadcast message.	Do you refer to all settlement banks involved in the settlement procedure or only to the settlement banks with queued		
331	144	settlement procedure A	Table 60 - 4 - The ancillary system technical account has to be debited and the	payment? We think that in this case ancillary system settlement banks	clarification	The relevant Settlement Banks are informed by a broadcast message delivered by the ICM
	144	5.3.2Ancillary system	ancillary system settlement banks' RTGS DCAs credited (only for those ancillary system transfers which were settled during the interrupted set-tlement cycle).	should be informed via a credit notification (camt.054) on an optional basis		
332		settlement procedure A	Figure 26	In this figure we refer to "standard multilateral settlement"	Accepted	
			rigure 20	while in the description of procedure we refer to "Ancillary		
	148			system settlement procedure A". It could be better to align them. The same comment is valid also for procedure B. This is valid in general for the whole paragraph 5.3 where you use		
333		5.3.3Ancillary system settlement procedure B		both terms.	Accepted	
334	148	5.3.3Ancillary system settlement procedure B	Table 61 - Process flow for standard multilateral settlement	Please check the title.	Accepted	
	148	5.3.3Ancillary system	Table 61 - 3- Via GUI it is possible to single out the RTGS DCAs not having enough liquidity.	Please clarify who can single out the RTGS DCAs not having enough liquidity (we presume the ancillary system) and how		
335		settlement procedure B	Settlement on dedicated liquidity is a functionality to provide liquidity in batch-		clarification	According to URD this functionality is not required.
		5.3.4Settlement on	mode (i.e. more than one) ei-ther for ancillary system settlement procedure C or for ancillary system settlement procedure D.			
	151	(ancillary system settlement				
		procedure C and ancillary system settlement				
336		procedure D)	The closing of the mandatory procedure (ancillary system settlement proce-	We suggest to add: The closing of the mandatory procedure	clarification	As the sentence was misleading, it is deleted.
		5.3.4Settlement on dedicated liquidity accounts	dure C only) and the opening/closing of the optional procedure as well as the opening and closing of cycles can be done via A2A messages or GUI screen.	(ancillary system settlement procedure C only) and the opening/closing of the optional procedure as well as the		
	151	(ancillary system settlement procedure C and ancillary		opening and closing of cycles can be done via A2A messages or GUI screen by the ancillary system or the CB on behalf.		
337		system settlement procedure D)			Accepted	
338	153	5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C	Table 64 - 6c - (e.g. based on standing liquid-ity transfer orders or immediate liquidity transfer orders stored within the ancillary system)	This is out of scope. We suggest to delete it because it could be misleading	Accepted	
550			Table 66 - 13 - The RTGS releases the remaining liquidity and notifies the ancillary sys-tem about the closure of the cycle with a camt.021	We suggest to add: The RTGS releases the remaining liquidity in the ancillary sys-tem technical account and notifies the	Accepted	
	161		ReturnGeneralBusiness-Information.	ancillary sys-tem about the closure of the cycle with a camt.021 ReturnGeneralBusiness-Information.		
339		5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement procedure D			Clarification	In AS settlement procedure D no cycles are used and the UDFS was updated.
			A prerequisite for the settlement of such ancillary sys-tem transfer is that the ancillary system transfer is sent during an open cycle of the sending ancillary	Typo: A prerequisite for the settlement of such ancillary sys- tem transfer is that the ancillary system transfer is sent during		
	167	5.3.4.3Cross-ancillary	system (only valid if sending ancillary system us using settlement procedure C)	an open cycle of the sending ancillary system (only valid if sending ancillary system is using settlement procedure C)		
340	167	system settlement 5.3.4.3Cross-ancillary	Ancillary system procedure D ancillary system to ancillary system procedure C	Typo. Please double check all the subtitles in this paragraph	Accepted	
341	16/	system settlement	ancillary system Ancillary system procedure D to ancillary system procedure D - The receiving	Shouldn't it be a camt.004?	Accepted	
342	167	5.3.4.3Cross-ancillary system settlement	ancillary system is notified with an ASTransferNotice about the incoming liquidity including the information of the resulting balance.		Rejected	The ASTransferNotice us used as today (according to TARGET2, see book 4).
			Ancillary system procedure C to ancillary system procedure C - The receiving ancillary system is notified with an ASTransferNotice about the incoming	Shouldn't it be a camt.004?		
343	167	5.3.4.3Cross-ancillary system settlement	liquidity to the sub-account including the information of the resulting balance.		Rejected	The ASTransferNotice us used as today (according to TARGET2, see book 4).
		5.3.5Processing of ancillary	Table 67 - Notification to settlement banks - As the ancillary system is sender of the pacs.009, the debited settlement bank is notified on an optional basis via	Please double check with figure 15 in the 5.2.3.3		
344	169	system transactions using payments	camt.054. The credited settlement bank receives the pacs.009 as created by the ancillary system.		Clarification	Figure 15 is updated.
345	212	5.5.1.3Status management process	Table 89	Why is the "provided" status value not also envisaged?	Clarification	Table 89 refers to the file status concept. As files do not exist for outbound processing, no status "provided" exists.
343	212	5.5.1.3Status management	Table 91 - Pending decision on blocking	Please clarify this status value	clarification	This status is applied to an ancillary system batch message in case of blocking of an RTGS Account Holder involved in another ancillary system Transfer transfer order of in thise same ancillary system
346	212	process	Table 04. Ta annfan	Yana dalam ana dida ka shekar curalatan ana Parend ana daga d	Clarification	batch message.
347	212	5.5.1.3Status management process		Transition possible to status : waiting, confirmed, revoked, reject-ed	Accepted	
348	212	process		"Partially pending" status value is missing	Accepted	
349	212	5.5.1.3Status management process	Tasks with status "pending" can only be revoked via a new task.	This sentence should be inserted in the description of the "pending" status value	Accepted	
	35		(A2A) "Software applications can communicate with RTGS exchanging single messages and multi messages"	Please clarify the concept of "multi message" with respect to connectivity mode "file-based" (cfr. section 13.2.1.3 where it is		
350	36	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A) 2.2Authentication and		stated "File meaning multi-message") The DN could be linked to one or many user	Accepted	
351		authorisation process		Roles could be created and customized also by the users as	Accepted	
352	#N/A	2.2 Authentication and authorisation process	to the role's access privileges the au-thorisation of the request is checked.	well as the set of privileges	Clarification	CRDM privileges can be granted to roles, users and parties, whereas RTGS privileges can be granted to roles only.
	35		(A2A) "maximum allowed size of the message"	A reference to Part III section 13 "Messages - general information" shall be made to clarify exact size limits (cfr.		
353 354	37	2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A) 2.3.1.1User	available [y] user functions	13.2.1.5) Туро	Accepted Accepted	
	39			The whole paragraph is a phrase that is the same from the previous paragraph, so this paragraph could be cancelled.		
355		2.3.3Authorisation process		There's no mention to the NRO for U2A mode (at least for	Accepted	
356	39	2.4Security		sensitive operations or dispositions). Non Repudiation of Origin would concur to Confidentiality and Auditability	Rejected	The UDFS refers to A2A only.
350		2occurry	(Connectivity requirements for actors due to routing); 2.6.1.2 Outbound Routing	If a RTGS Actor uses multiple NSPs how Inbound/Outbound routing rules work? Is there a concept of "default" routing?	Rejected	Outbound messages that are generated in response to the original inbound message use the DN
	41					and NSP of the original inbound message where as the channel depends on the size of the response message.
						Outbound messages that are not generated in response to the original inbound message are routed according to the routing configuration.
357		2.6Routing	[] It is up to CBs to set up and maintain the Liquidity Transfer Groups, while	The link of the RTGS DCAs to the respective LTG has to be	Clarification	There is no concept of default routing. It has to be defined explicitly.
	65		the link of the RTGS DCAs to the respective Liquidity Transfer Group is the responsibility of the RTGS Account Holder.	created and maintained by the CBs. This because it is possible that MIPC body would decide of "policy restrictions" to be		
358		3.3Types of groups	"[] Duly authorised users belonging to CBs, payment banks and to the	applied to LTG's participants. After T2/T2S consolidation (2021) also CSDs/DCPs will be able	Accepted	
	234		person can trigger CRDM according to their own specific access rights, i.e. using the functions and maintaining the common reference data objects they	to access the CRDM and trigger relevant actions. We would suggest to clarify this with a footnote.		This is correct, but as these UDFS refer specifically to CLM and RTGS, CSDs and DCPs are not
359	_	6.1.20verview	have been granted" [] a cash account is linked to its CB or payment bank	Please update Figure 46 to reflect what stated in the text.	Clarification	foreseen as party types.
	247	6.1.3.1.5Common reference	In a second similar to the color payment bank	aparte rigore so to renett what stated in the IEX.		
360		data objects and the hierarchical party model	If an adaption of the second	The of her concerning factors (11) (11)	Accepted	
	277		If needed, participants can request an ad-hoc propagation to be run at different times of day for a specific service, common component or back-office and additional provided to the service of the ser	heavy, so should be stated that this feature shoud be limited		
361		6.1.5.6Common reference data propagation	application. There is no technical limit on the number of times a data propagation can run during a given business date.	for participants and should be done only via request to the Target Services Operator.	Accepted	

			Reserved liquidity sufficient?			
	193	5.4.3.1.2Liquidity reservation and	Reserved liquidity sufficient?	Sufficient to do what? How can the order be partially executed and the remaining amount queued if the decrease of the reservation brings the reserved amount to 0?		Information on reservation is also provided in section 10. Therefore this part of the UDFS was updated. Moreover, please note that in principle the functionality is very similar to the one we have
362		management process	If a limit is set to zero, it is not possible to increase it again on the same	Why?	Clarification	today in TARGET2. In case the question is not answered, please revert back to us.
363	200	5.4.3.2.10verview	business day.		Clarification	Same as today and no change on that is required.
	210	5.4.3.4.3Breach of	Floor amount breached -> amount pulled from MCA	What happens if on the MCA there is not enough liquidity to restore the target amount?		
364	210	floor/ceiling threshold - automatic liquidity transfer			Clarification	A partial execution takes place. No further settlement attempt.
			it does not include information from other components, i.e. there is no report including combined information of CLM and RTGS.	General comment: please clarify the definition of "service" (in our understanding RTGS, CLM, TIPS, T2S) and "component" (in		
	228		including complete information of care and read.	our understanding national components)		The definition of "service" and "component" has been approved by the MIB and is reflected in the
365	102	5.5.2.2Overview 5.2.3.3Payments sent from	Message flow scheme	In our understanding (and in paragraph 5.3.5) the credited	Rejected	glossary
366		an ancillary system 5.2.4Rejection of payments	From "The following technical validations" to "please refer to CLM user	account receives a pacs.009 and not a camt.054. Copy/paste from CLM. Please modify it for RTGS.	Clarification	Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency.
367	108	5.2.5Amendment of	handbook". Change of set execution time	Can the debtor of payment (in case of AS payments) change	Accepted	
368	113	payments 5.2.5Amendment of	or replaced by another automated ligidity transfer from CLM due to pending	the execution time? "To CLM" instead of "from CLM".	Clarification	see updated document
369	113	payments 5.2.6Revocation of	CBO. 4- RTGS component send a negative camt.029 via ESMIG	Why negative if this is the positive case?	Rejected	Trigger comes from CLM.
370	118	payments			Accepted	
371	124	5.2.7Processing of payments	4,5 Urgent	Replace with HIGH and NORMAL	Accepted	
372	128	5.2.7.2Comprehensive queue management	In case a submitted paument includes or a latest time indicator it is possible to change	Why it is not possible to set a latest execution time if not set before?	Clarification	Same functionality as today, no URD requirement to change existing behaviour.
373		5.3.10verview 5.3.2Ancillary system	Figure: sub-account dedicated to on procedure 6 interfaced AS The identification of debits or credits is made from the ancillary system	Replace with Procedure C It seems it is made from the settlement bank's perspective.	accepted	
374	144	settlement procedure A 5.3.4.1Ancillary system	technical account perspective. Figure	Transfers between sub-accounts and AS technical account are	Clarification	Sentence deleted
375	153	settlement procedure C		missing.	Accepted	
376	167	5.3.4.3Cross-ancillary system settlement	In AS C to AS D it is stated "in case the liquidity on the sub-account is insufficient, the ancillary system transfer is rejected".	Why in AS C to AS C this line is missing?	Accepted	
	72		"The table below provides the overview of the main windows during the business day RTGS."	The start of the day-time settlement window at 03.00 is subject to the alignment of the Maintenance windows		The alignment of the maintenance window (MWI) in the RTGS/CLM component, in the TIPS and T2S service and in ECMS is under discussion in the Eurosystem. Therefore the mentioned times might
377		4.20verview	"In general, this functionality is blocked and it can only be used, once the	between T2 and T2S, which is still to be decided It should be mentioned that, for increased operational security,	Clarification	be subject to change
	84		responsible CB has authorised its usage upon request of the affected RTGS Account Holder"	the backup function is only active for the ongoing operationg day (as is today in T2), and must be re-activated, if need be, for		
378		5.1.5Backup payments	"pre-defined templates are available in the GUI (for CLS pay-ins, payments to	any following day. The current configuration provides for 4 possible recipients for	Clarification	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
	84		"pre-defined templates are available in the GUI (for CLS pay-ins, payments to the EURO1 collateral account, pay-ins to the EURO1 prefunding account related to the liquidity bridge between the RTGS component and EURO1)."			
379		5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Clarification	In principle the same functionality as today will be offered. Naming has been updated in line with the current business naming.
380	84	5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments	"Rules for CLS payments: Fields for input via GUI"	Is the "Currency" field really necessary?	Clarification	The system is multi-currency.
				Please clarify where will be discussed in detail about connectivity requirements, contingency solutions via		
	35			alternative NSP, connectivity for low-traffic participants. Will be specified in this UDFS in the section 2.1 or in a dedicated		
381		2.1Connectivity (U2A/A2A)	Einuro 15 and process dessription	connectivity document?	Clarification	Details about the connectivity to ESMIG will be provided in the connectivity guide.
			Figure 15 and process description	In figure 15, the ancillary system sends a pacs.009 and RTGS account holders A and B receive a camt.054. In figure 11 (par.		
	102			5.2.3.1) there is a similar flow in which an RTGS account holder A sends a pacs.009 and the RTGS account holder B receives a		
		5.2.3.3Payments sent from		pacs.009. Please clarify what is the correct message that the account holder should receive.		
382		an ancillary system 4.3.3MWI (maintenance	During the maintenance window all settlement windows are closed and the	Are the inbound messages queued at ESMIG level and sent	Clarification	Figure is updated in order to correct the inconsistency. RTGS is not available during the maintenance window. The question of queueing/ not queueing of
383	76	periode) 4.4Dependencies to other	access via A2A or U2A is not available. 3	after the MWI or are they rejected?	Clarification	messages is outside of RTGS.
384	77	services or components	Generate and forward general ledger file von RTGS to CLM	Typo: von -> from	Accepted	
384			Generate and torward general ledger file von KLGS to LLM General comment	For CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/FinInstnld/BICFI: BIC of the ordering	Accepted	
	84			For CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/FinInstnid/BICFI: BIC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity	Accepted	
384 385	84	services or components		For CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Fininstnid/BICFI: BIC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GUI. In our understanding this	Accepted Accepted	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
	84	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a	For CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup syments, the field "Obtr/Fininstind/BLCP: BLC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GUI. In our understanding this field should be prediended, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its Ch is not an ISO 2027 message, so we suggest to delete this		Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
	84	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a	To CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Finitstol/BICFE BIC of the ordering institution" is all field for input vis GUI in our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates Its		Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
	84	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf	To CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Fininstnld/BIGFE BIC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GUI. In our understanding this field should be predende, as stated for the backup liquicity redistribution payments The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its CB is not an ISO 20022 message, so we suggest to delete this step from the message flow yas done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder)		Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
385	84	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a PTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order	To CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Finistnd/JICICE BIC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its CB is not an ISO 20022 message, so we suggest to delete this step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/Indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settimente priority should be High	Accepted	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
385	84 106 124	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated apyment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order	To CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Finistnd/JBCFE BIG of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GU. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup lequidity redistribution payments The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its CB is not an ISO 20022 message, so we suggest to delete this payments sent from a direct/Indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder big In the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal	Accepted	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
385 386 387	84 106 124	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Sistement of 5.2.73.1Settlement of	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order	To CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Finistnd/JICICE BIC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its CB is not an ISO 20022 message, so we suggest to delete this step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/Indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settimente priority should be High	Accepted Accepted Accepted	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
385 386 387	84 106 124 124	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated apyment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then	To CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Finistnd/JBCFE BIG of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GU. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup lequidity redistribution payments The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its CB is not an ISO 20022 message, so we suggest to delete this payments sent from a direct/Indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder big In the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal	Accepted Accepted Accepted	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
385 386 387 388	84 106 124 124	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behal of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7S.1SEttlement of queued urgent/high payments	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments.	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) hackup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstind/BICH: BiC of the ordering inititution" is a field for input via GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its action of an ISO 2020 message, so we suggest to delete this step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments set from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) In the fourth row the Settimente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	
385 386 387 388	84 106 124 124 132	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.3.1Settlement of queed urgent/high	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description	Tor CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstind/BICH: BiC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GU. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) In the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Recaipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" hould be added	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	Details on backup are provided in GUI user handbook.
385 386 387 388 389	84 106 124 124 132	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder (mandated 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Account Holder payments 5.2.7Account Holder payments 5.3.2Andilary system settlement procedure A	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments.	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) hackup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstind/BICH: BiC of the ordering initiation" is a field or input via GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 payments set from a direct/ininfert RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to the direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to the direct ATGS Account Holder to	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10.
385 386 387 388 389	84 106 124 124 132 144 148	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7.3.1Settlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2.Anotillary system	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Process description	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstin/JRCFE is IC of the ordering initiation" is a field for input via GCL in our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gayments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (St Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) In the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Rormal Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" build be added The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" build be added the admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" but used message isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" build be added the admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message. Aut its used to the process description in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" build be added the admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message. Aut its used to the process description in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" build be added the step to the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" build be added the step to the step to t	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are
385 386 387 388 389 390	84 106 124 132 144 148	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (manddade payments back of a RTGS 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7A.31Settlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure B	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description	Tor CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstin/JRCFE iBC of the ordering inititution" is a field for input via GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gayments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its far ont an SO 2022 message, so we suggest to delete this step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments set from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (SA Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) In the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Recaipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" bind be added The admi.007 (Negative Recaipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" bind be added The admi.007 (Negative Recaipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation faile" bind be added	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10.
385 386 387 388 389 390	84 106 124 124 132 144 148	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7a.15ettlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Anotillary system settlement procedure A 5.3.3Anotillary system	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Process description	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstini/BICH: BiC of the ordering institution" is a field for input vid GCL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gazyments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its stop from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (St Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) In the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High In the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double ther" The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failer" should be added The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failer" should be added The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failer" should be added	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10.
385 386 387 388 389 390 391	84 106 124 132 144 148	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder TS.2.770-cessing of payments 5.2.770-cessing of payments 5.2.73.154ttement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Anotillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.1Anotillary system settlement procedure B	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Process description	Tor CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/Finistni//BCFE BLC of the ordering institution" is a field for input v4 GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its CB is not an ISO 20022 message, so we suggest to delete this step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1) Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS account Holder the action DT [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement] is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-2: technical validation failed" should be added the admin.007 [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement] is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description as a step "1-2: technical validation failed" should be added	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are
385 386 387 388 389 390 391	84 106 124 132 144 148 153	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder TGS Account Holder 5.2.770.5255 5.2.770.5255 5.2.73.154116ment of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.1Ancillary system	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Process description Process description Process description	The GLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstni/JKICI: BLC of the ordering institution" is a field for input via GLI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gaments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder this type from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1). The message by which the RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS account Holder to another RTGS account Holder account Account Account account account the account account RtGS account Account Account the account account RtGS account Account Account the Account Account RtGS account Account the account account RtGS account Account the RTGS account RtGS account Acc	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 161	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder TRTGS Account Holder 5.2.770.555 5.2.770.5555 5.2.73.155516ment of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.20ncillary system settlement procedure A 5.3.3.4.ndillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.1Ancillary system	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1- Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of ayments. Process description Process description Process description	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininstnd/JKCFE BIC of the ordering inituition" is a field or input via GUL in our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity relatification and SU222 message, so we suggest to delete this step from the message flow (as done section 5.2, 3.1). Payments and SU222 message is not suggest to delete this step from the message flow (as done section 5.2, 3.1). Payments sent from a direct/indirect NICS Account Holder to another direct RICS account Holder the admit and the used message, but its usage in described in the process description, so a step "1-2: technical validation failed" should be added the admit another direct another actomer plane message in described in the process description, and the admit and the added the Receipt (amit 22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage in the described in the process description, one message have be added. The Receipt (amit 22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage is to described in the process description, one message have be added.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Clarification Clarification Clarification Clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10.
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 161	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4.Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a RTGS Account hto an impact of a RTGS S.2.77.15CE and a RTGS S.2.73.15CE and a RTGS S.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C	General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Process description Process description Process description	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistici/IKCH: Bit Col the ordering inititution" is a field for input vis GLI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gaments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its CB is not an 150 20022 message, so we suggest to delate this payments same from a direct/inicite trGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (TGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (TGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settlmente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double then" The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" hould be added The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added The Account.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage in't described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage int described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Admited Totagetive Receipt Acknowledgement) is message. The addited be added. The Admited Totagetive Receipt Acknowledgement) is message. The be added. The Admited Totagetive Receipt Acknowledgement) is message. The admited Totagetive Receipt Acknowledgement is some steps have to be added.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394	84 106 124 132 144 148 153 153 161	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behal of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder TRTS Account Holder 5.2.770.555 5.2.73.15541Ement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C	General comment  STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf  Effective settlement order  Effective settlement order  Part 1- Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments.  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistici/IKCH: BIC of the ordering inititution" is a field for input via GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gasses for the state state of the backup liquidity redistribution gasses flow (as done section 5.2.3.1). The message by which the RTGS Account Holder the state from the message flow (as done section 5.2.3.1). The message by which the RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS account Holder The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validistion failed" should be added The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage int described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Carification Carification Carification Carification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395	84           106           124           124           132           144           153           161           161	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.1.5.18ackup contingency 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behal of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder TGS Account Holder S.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7a.3.settlement of gueued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure D 5.3.4.2Ancillary system	General comment  STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf  Effective settlement order  Effective settlement order  Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments.  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Ancillary system procedure D ancillary system to ancillary system procedure C	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistici/BCIF: BiC of the ordering inititution" is a field for input via GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gazyments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its day from the message flow (is done) n accion 5.2.3.1 payments suff tood (is done) n accion 5.2.3.1 payments suff tood is done) in accion 5.2.3.1 payments suff tood a direct/inighter RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (ISG Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) In the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double then" The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step T-a: technical validation failer" bhould be added The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step T-a: technical validation failer" bhould be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't description the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so some steps have to be added. A Rigwer for the message flow should be added.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification accepted clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10.
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394	84 106 124 132 144 148 153 153 153 161 161	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a GB on behalf of a TGS Account Holder (mindated Strosh Account Holder Strosh Account Holder Strosh Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7A.3.1Settlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure R 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement procedure C	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1- Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of pryments. Process description Process descr	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistini/BICH: BiC of the ordering inistitution" is a field for input vid GUL in our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gavements. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its stop from the message flow (as done in section 52.3.1 Payments set froz account Holder KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (State Collection) in the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal "Pypo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Actional D7 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" sectified in the process description, so to the susage isn't described in the process description, so come steps have to be added. The Receipt (cam.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so come steps have to be added. The Receipt (cam.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so come steps have to be added. The Account (Cam.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so come steps have to be added. The addition failed" should be added the should be added the should be added.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Carification Carification Carification Carification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 153 161 161 167 167	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a 8TGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct ftGS Account Holder 5.2.77.5.25 (mandated payments) 5.2.77.5.25 (mandated payments) 5.2.7.3.15 (mandated) 5.3.2 (mandated) 5.3.2 (mandated) 5.3.3 (mandated) 5.3.3 (mandated) 5.3.4 (mandated) 5.	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Protess description Process descript	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistui/JRCF: BiC of the ordering institution" is a field for input vis GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its facts not an ISO 2022 message, so we suggest to delete this stop from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (SS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settlmente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlmente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlmente priority should be Normal "Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but it susage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added! The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but it susage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but it susage insti- described in the process description, so the susage int described in the process description, so toom steps have to be added. The Receipt (cam.02) is mentioned among the used message, but it susage int described in the process description, so toom steps have to be added. The Receipt (cam.02) is mentioned among the used message, but it susage int described in the process description, so toom steps have to be added. The Receipt (cam.02) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage int described in the process description, so toom steps have to be added. The additation failed "should be added. Algure for the message Took should be added, as done for the previous andition yystem procedures.	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification accepted clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10.
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 161 161 167 167 167	services or components  5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments  5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a 8TGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct.  5.2.2.AF2 processing of payments  5.2.2.7.3.1Settlement of payments  5.3.2.Ancillary system settlement procedure B  5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C  5.3.4.2Ancillary Settlement Settlement procedure C  5.3.4.2Ancillary Setlement Setlemen	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Protess description Process descript	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistini/BICH: BiC of the ordering institution" is a field for input vis GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gavements. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its facts not an ISO 2022 message, so we suggest to delete this stop from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (SS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the flifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal "Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, o a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, o a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, o a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so the tis usage isn't described in the process description, so toom steps have to be added. The Receipt (cam.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so toom esteps have to be added. The Receipt (cam.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so toom esteps have to be added. The Admi.007 (Negative Receipt Achnowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process descript	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification accepted clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 161 161 167 167	services or components 5.1.5.18ackup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from 3.2.3.4Payments sent from 3.2.70 control reliable of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated S.2.70 costs) and tholder (mandated S.2.70 costs) and tholder 5.2.770 costs) and tholder 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement procedure C 5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement settlement for the system settlement settlement for the system settlement settlement for the system settlement for the sy	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Protess description Process descript	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistini/BICH: BiC of the ordering inistitution" is a field for input vis GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its stop from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent froz account Holder KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settlmente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlmente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlmente priority should be Normal "Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the pocess description, o a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the pocess description, o a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the pocess description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.02) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so toome steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.02) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so toome steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.02) is mentioned among the used message. but its usage ins't described in the process description, so toome steps have to be added. The adminutory the procedure added, adden for the previous ancillary system procedures. A figure for the message flow should be added, as done for the previous ancillary system pr	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398	84 106 124 132 144 148 153 153 161 161 167 167 167 167	services or components  5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments  5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a BTGS  Cocum Holder (mandated payment) to another direct Cocum Holder (mandated payment) to another direct S.2.7.3.1Settlement of queued urgent/high payments  5.3.2.Acillary system settlement procedure B  5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C  5.3.4.2Ancillary Setlement SI S.3.3.Cross-ancillary Setlement SEtlement	General comment  STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf  Effective settlement order  Effective settlement order  Effective settlement order  Part 1: Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments.  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Ancillary system procedure D ancillary system to ancillary system procedure C ancillary system Cancillary Cancill	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistini/BICH: BiC of the ordering inistitution" is a field for input vis GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gavements. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its stop from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments set froz account Holder KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (KDS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal "Typo: there is a "double then" The admi.007 (Negative Reseipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't meeting and the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, o a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be Added. The admi.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, o a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Addition failed" should be added. The Receipt (Camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage int described in the process description, so tome steps have to be added. The Receipt (Camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage int described in the process description, so tome steps have to be added. The Receipt (Camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage int described in the process description, so tome steps have to be added. The Receipt (Camt.025) is mentioned among the used message. No its usage int described in the process description, so tome steps have to be added. The Additation failed "should be added Algure for the message flow should be added, as done for the previous ancillary system procedures. A figure fo	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of cant.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
385 387 388 389 390 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400	84 106 124 132 144 153 153 161 161 167 167 167 167 172	services or components  5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments  5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a 8TGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct.  5.2.2.A.Forcessing of payments  5.2.2.7.3.1Settlement of payments  5.3.2.Ancillary system settlement procedure A  5.3.4.Ancillary system settlement procedure C  5.3.4.2.Ancillary settlement procedure C  5.3.4.2.Ancillary settlement procedure C  5.3.4.2.Ancillary s	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Process description Process descript	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistui/JRCF: BiC of the ordering institution" is a field for input vis GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gavements. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/ininger KTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (KSS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settlmente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlmente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double then" The admit.007 [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admit.007 [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admit.007 [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message. But its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Achnowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described i	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
386 387 388 389 390 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399	84 106 124 132 144 153 153 161 161 167 167 167 167 172	services or components 5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments 5.2.3.4Payments sent from 3.2.3.4Payments sent from 3.2.8 on behal of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7A.3.1Settlement of queued urgent/high payments 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure A 5.3.4.Ancillary system settlement procedure D 5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement procedure D 5.3.3.2Gasancillary system settlement 5.3.3.2Gasancillary system settlement 5.3.3.6Optional connected	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behalf Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Protess description Process descript	The GCLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistui/JRCF: BiC of the ordering institution" is a field for input vis GUL. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gavements. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its step from the message flow (as done in section 5.2.3.1 Payments sent from a direct/ininger KTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (KSS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder) in the fourth row the Settlmente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlmente priority should be Normal Typo: there is a "double then" The admit.007 [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admit.007 [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admit.007 [Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (camt.025) is mentioned among the used message. But its usage into description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failed" should be added. The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Achnowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage ins't described i	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
385 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 153 161 161 167 167 167 167 167 172 179	services or components  5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments  5.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a 8TGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct.  5.2.2.A.Forcessing of payments  5.2.2.A.Forcessing of payments  5.3.2.A.Forcessing of payments  5.3.3.Ancillary system settlement procedure A  5.3.4.Ancillary system settlement procedure C  5.3.4.Ancillary system settlement procedure C  5.3.4.Ancillary system settlement procedure C  5.3.4.Chancillary setlement procedure C  5.3.4.Chancillary setlement procedure	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behaff Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1- Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Caroliary system procedure D anciliary system to anciliary system procedure C anciliary system procedure D anciliary system to anciliary system procedure C anciliary system Caroliary Caroliar	Tor CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering initiation" is a stated for the backup liquidity relativitudine 'as field for input via GU. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity relativitudine 'as field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity relativitudine 'as field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity relativity for the message flow (as done in section 52.3.1 Payments set from a direct/indirect RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (as the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal 'Typo: there is a "double then" The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failer" should be added. The Among Directly is the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failer" should be added. The Receipt (Camt 22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Receipt (Camt 22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Receipt (Camt 22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Receipt (Camt 22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. A gure for the message flow should be added, as done for the previous ancillary system procedures. A figure for the message flow should be added, as d	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
385 387 388 389 390 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 153 161 167 167 167 167 167 167 167	services or components  5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments  5.2.3.4Payments sent from 3.2.6 on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (mandated payment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder (mandated 5.2.7% account Holder (mandated 5.2.7% account Holder (mandated 5.2.7% account Holder (mandated 5.3.2% account Holder (mandated 5.3.3.2% account Holder (mandated 5.3.3.2% account Holder (mandated 5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure B 5.3.4.2% account Holder (mandated 5.3.4.2% account Hold	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behaff Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1- Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Califory system Process description Process de	Tor CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering initiation" is a field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering initiation" is a field for input vis GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution gayments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder mandates its stop from the message flow (as done in section 52.3.1 Payments set frozo 2022 message, so we suggest to delete this stop from the message flow (as done in section 52.3.1 Payments set frozo 2022 message). So we suggest to delete this stop from the message flow (as done in section 52.3.1 Payments set frozo 2022 message). So we suggest to delete this stop from the message flow (as done) in section 52.3.1 Payments set frozo 2022 message. So we suggest to delete this stop from the message flow (as done) in section 52.3.1 Payments set frozos description, so a stop "1-2: technical we delete the stop from failed" should be then" The estimation of Megative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage is n't described in the process description, so a stop "1-2: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (Camt.22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage is n't described in the process description, so a stom 1-2: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (Camt.22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage is n't described in the process description, so a stom 1-2: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (Camt.22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage is n't described in the process description, so a step 1-3: technical validation failed" should be added. The Receipt (Camt.22) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage is n't described in the process description, so a step 1-3: technical validation failed" should be added. The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledge	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
385 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 153 161 161 167 167 167 167 167 172 179	services or components  5.1.5.1Backup contingency payments  5.2.3.4Payments sent from a C on behalf of a RTGS Account Holder (manddade gyment) to another direct RTGS Account Holder (manddade S.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.2.7Processing of payments 5.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure A  5.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure B  5.3.4.2Ancillary system settlement 5.3.4.2Gross-ancillary system settlement 5.3.4.2.2.1Business validations 5.4.3.2.1Jaudily reservation and mangement process	General comment  General comment  STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behaff  Effective settlement order  Effective settlement order  Part 1- Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments.  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Process description  Ancillary system procedure D ancillary system to ancillary system procedure D ancillary system Ancillary system procedure D ancillary system to ancillary system procedure D ancillary system Ancillary system Ancillary system procedure D ancillary system to ancillary system procedure D ancillary system Carallel or system Carallel	Tor CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering initiation" is a start of the backup liquidity relativitudine 'as field for input via GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as started for the backup liquidity relativitudine 'as field should be predefined, as started for the backup liquidity and the first of the ordering via GUI and the start for the message by which the RTGS Account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder (the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be High in the fifth row the Settlimente priority should be Normal "Typo: there is a "double them" The admit.007 (Negative Receipt Acknowledgement) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical vialization failer" should be added. The Accept (camt.022) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.022) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.022) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.022) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Receipt (camt.022) is mentioned among the used message, but its usage isn't described in the process description, so asona steps have to be added. The Additation failer's build be added, at done for the previous ancillary system procedures. A figure for the message f	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of camt.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.
385 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 4000 401 402	84 106 124 124 132 144 148 153 153 153 161 167 167 167 167 167 167 167	services or components  S.1.5.1Backup contingency payments  S.2.3.4Payments sent from a CB on behalf of a BTGS  Caccum Holder (mandated payment) to another direct: RTGS Account Holder  S.2.7.3.1Settlement of queued urgent/high payments  S.3.2Ancillary system settlement procedure B  S.3.4.1Ancillary system settlement procedure C  S.3.4.2Ancillary system S.3.4.2Ancillary system S.3.4.2Ancillary S.3.4.2Ancillary S.3.4.2Ancillary S.3.4.2Ancillary S.3.4.2Ancillar	General comment General comment STEP 1: The RTGS Account Holder A mandates its responsible CB A to initiate a pacs.009 on behaff Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Effective settlement order Part 1- Step 1: the pairs of transactions with the best offsetting and then then the other pairs of payments. Process description Califory system Process description Process description Process description Process description Califory system Process description Process descri	Tor CLS and EURO 1 (settlement and collateral account) backup payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering payments, the field "Dbtr/ininistin/JRCF: Bit Co the ordering institution" is a field for input vis GUI. In our understanding this field should be predefined, as stated for the backup liquidity redistribution payments. The message by which the RTGS Account Holder the account Holder to another direct RTGS Account Holder to a step "1-a: technical validation failer" bindle baddet The admit.007 (Negative Receipt AcAnowledgement) is mentioned anong the used message, but its usage in't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failer" bindle baddet. The Receipt (Camt 202) is mentioned anong the used message, but its usage in't described in the process description, so anom steps have to be added. The Account (Camt 202) is mentioned anong the used message, but its usage in't described in the process description, so a step "1-a: technical validation failer" bindle baddet. A down for the previous ancillary system procedures: A figur	Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted Accepted clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification clarification	Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. There is no use of cant.025 and reference was removed. Reference to admi.007 is deleted. Details on technical validation leading to an admi.007 are described in chapter 10. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions. Such an additional explanation will be provided in one of the future UDFS versions.

		1	When all was a debted on a state of the sector of the later of the sector of the state of the sector of the state of the sector	Class and all OTCC and allowed and an elitication also do a set	1	
			The direct debit functionality, which is only available between account holders in the RTGS, can be used by: credit institutions - CBs	Since not all RTGS participant are credit institutions, the text should be amended as follows: The direct debit functionality.		
	80			which is only available between account holders in the RTGS,		
		5.1.2Comparison of		can be used by: - RTGS account holders - CBs		
405		different payment types			Clarification	Text amended.
			The operator is the only party at the first level of the hierarchy (and other	It should be "T2 Operator" as in CLM UDFS	Rejected	
	49	3.1.2Concept of party in	parts of the paragraph where the term "operator" is used)		-	
406		RTGS				T2 operator will be changed to operator in CLM UDFS.
	51		Table 4 - Comparison of participation types (column "Indirect Participant /	In the las row the reference should be "As indirect		
407		3.1.4Participation types	access as correspondent")	participant/correspondent"	Accepted	
408	54	3.2.1Account types	Each RTGS DCS is linked to one and only one RTGS Account Holder Figure 9 - Floor/ceiling breached on MCA1	Typo: please correct "DCS" with "DCA" The direction of the arrow refers to the cases "ceiling breached	Accepted	
			Figure 9 - Floor/ceiling breached on MCA1	on RTGS DCA1*" or "filoor breached on MCA1" whereas the		
	54			title of the figure is "Floor/ceiling breached on MCA1".		
409		3.2.1Account types			Accepted	
			The setup and maintenance of the links between MCAs and DCAs will be done	It would be useful specifying that a RTGS DCA has to be linked		
410	54	3.2.1Account types	by the CB in CRDM.	to one and only one MCA	Accepted	
			The RTGS directory provides information on all RTGS Participants that are	RTGS Participants only represent RTGS Actors that own		
	66		reachable for payments via a Eurosystem market infrastructure.	accounts (see definition at p. 50). Thus, "RTGS Participants"		
				should be replaced with a less resrtictive "RTGS Actor"		
411		3.4Shared reference data			Clarification	Section was updated to be more precise.
			RTGS Participants (direct and indirect) with a SWIFT BIC or Non-SWIFT BIC will	RTGS Participants represent RTGS Actor that own accounts		
	66		be issued;	(see definition at p. 50). It shoud be "Direct and Indirect participants with a SWIFT BIC or Non-SWIFT BIC will be issued;"		
412		3.4Shared reference data		participants with a swirr bic of Noreswirr bic will be issued,	Clarification	Section was updated to be more precise.
412		SSildreu reierende udta	Every RTGS Participant's BIC/Non-SWIFT-BIC is only listed once, while	In our opinion it shoul be: "Every RTGS Participant's BIC/Non-	Cialification	acción was apoaces to de lítule precise.
			addressee's and RTGS DCA Holder's ones may occur several times with	SWIFT-BIC is only listed once, while addressee's and RTGS DCA		
	66		reference to different RTGS Participants.	Holder's ones may occur several times with reference to	1	
			·	different Indirect participants/correspondents"		
413		3.4Shared reference data			Clarification	Section was updated to be more precise.
			Addressable BICs as well as indirect participants do not send directly any	The term "Addressable BICs" should be replaced with		
	91		payments to the RTGS compo-nent.	"correspondents" according to the definition "Access as		
	51			correspondent" stated in paragraph 3.1.4 Participation type		
414		5.2.10verview			Clarification	Section was updated to be more precise.
			"a participant with indirect access/a participant as an "addressable BIC"" and in			
	92		Table 27 - Table of possible payment types (second row)	"correspondents" according to the definition "Access as correspondent" stated in paragraph 3.1.4 Participation type		
415		5.2.2Concept of payment submitters		correspondent stated in paragraph 5.1.4 Participation type	Clarification	Section was updated to be more precise.
415		submitters	Title	There are no "indirect" RTGS Account Holder	Clarification	section was updated to be more precise.
			Inte	There are no indirect. KTGS Account Holder		
	94	5.2.3.1Payments sent from a direct/indirect RTGS				
	54	Account Holder to another				
416		direct RTGS Account Holder			Accepted	
			In case of an automated inter-service liquidity transfer stemming from CLM due	Please replace "RTGS component" with "CLM service"		
	125		to pending CBOs which was only partially executed in the RTGS component			The partial execution of the liquidity transfer is handled in RTGS. CBO remains pending until
417		5.2.7.1.1General remarks			Rejected	required liquidity is available.
			The amount to be transferred is the difference between the current balance on			
			the RTGS DCA and the predefined target amount. The target floor amount	LT is settled partially and no pending orders are generated for		
	210	5.4.3.4.3Breach of	could be different, but will in any case be equal or above the floor amount.	the remaining ammount necessary to bring the balance of the		
		floor/ceiling threshold -		RTGS DCA above the floor (or the target ammount, where defined)		
418		automatic liquidity transfer	6		Accepted	
	172	5.3.60ptional connected	Settlement period ("TILL")	In our opinion "TILL" colud be misleading (for payments "TILL" has a different meaning). We suggest to name this optional		
419	1/2	5.3.6Optional connected mechanisms		mechanism only "settlement period"	Rejected	Kept as it is used in this way within current TARGET2.
		4.4Dependencies to other	Data propagation from CRDM	For this process we expect the same cut-off-time as today in		The time for CRDM input cut-off is under discussion as the dependencies between RTGS, CLM, TIPS,
420	77	services or components		the current t2 environment	Clarification	T2S and ECMS are under evaluation.
			Partially settled	In our opinion it should be mentioned that payments		
	212	5.5.1.3Status management				
421				transactions can not be partially paid.Cash Transfers should be		Only some types of liquidity transfers are subject to "partially settled" status. As this section
		process		further possible to be partial paid.	Clarification	Only some types of liquidity transfers are subject to "partially settled" status. As this section clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities.
1 1	212	process 5.5.1.3Status management	Usage of specific terms	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending-payments (as	Clarification	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities.
422	212	process		further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending-payments (as today in the current t2 enviroment)	Clarification Clarification	
422	212	process 5.5.1.3Status management	Usage of specific terms Table 120	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending-payments (as today in the current t2 enviroment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the		clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities.
422	212	process 5.5.1.3Status management		further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending-payments (as today in the current t2 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: "The cash account object also includes the		clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities.
422		process 5.5.1.3Status management		further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending-payments (as today in the current 12 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: "The cash account object also includes the TPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain".		clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities.
422	212	process 5.5.1.3Status management process		further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending payments (as today in the current 2 environmer) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the flootnote as follow: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain", or, eke, please add a new line (or TIPS CMBs, being the		clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible.
		process 5.5.1.3Status management process 6.5.1ESMIG features		further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending-payments (as today in the current 12 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: "The cash account object also includes the TPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain".	Clarification	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
422	283	process 5.5.1.3Status management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview	Table 120	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveke pending-payments (as today in the current 2 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follow: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, ebe, please add a new line for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks.		clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible.
423		process 5.5.1.3Status management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features		further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending payments (as today in the current 2 environmer) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the flootnote as follow: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain", or, eke, please add a new line (or TIPS CMBs, being the	Clarification Rejected	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
	283	5.5.13Status management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview	Table 120 Table 120	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveake pending-payments (as today in the current 12 environment) for "cash account" plaese set only the CB and change the footonce as follows: "The cash account object takes includes the ThS CMMs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, else, please add a new line for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SO for reservation.	Clarification	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
423	283	process 5.5.1.3Status management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features	Table 120	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending-payments (as today in the current L2 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, etce, please add an envi ine for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining	Clarification Rejected	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
423	283	process 5.5.1.35tatus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features	Table 120 Table 120	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending payments (as today in the current L2 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the flottonte as follows: The cash account object also includes the THS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, eke, please add a new line for THS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SD for reservation.	Clarification Rejected Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
423	283 283 283	process 5.5.1.35tatus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features	Table 120 Table 120	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveake pending-payments (as today in the current 12 environment) for "cash account", plaese set only the CB and change the footonce as follows: "The cash account object takes includes the TIS CMMs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, else, please add a new line for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the S0 for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "alt".	Clarification Rejected Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
423	283	process 5.5.1.35tatus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features	Table 120 Table 120	further possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to revoke pending payments (as today in the current t2 environment) for "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: The cash account object also includes the TIMS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, eke, please add an envi ine (TIT SCMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SO for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "all". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actions in U2A can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on the way privileges ar granted to the user (two or four-eyes	Clarification Rejected Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
423	283 283 283	process 5.1.15tatus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview	Table 120 Table 120 Table 120	Jurther possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveake pending-payments (as today in the current 12 environment) for "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: "The cash account object tasio includes the TSC CMMs, which apyment basis may create and maintain". Or, etike, please add a new line for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. "Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SO for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "ain". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actos in UZA can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on the way privileges are granted to the user (two or four-eyes mode).	Clarification Rejected Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS
423 424 425	283 283 283	5.5.135ktus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.125MIG features overview 6.5.128MIG features	Table 120 Table 120	Jurther possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveake pending-payments (as today in the current t2 environment) for "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, etc., please add a new line (for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SO for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "all". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actions in U2A can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on the way privileges ar granted to the use (two or four-eyes mode).	Clarification Rejected Accepted Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS UDPS, we prefer to keep the current wording.
423 424 425	283 283 283	5.5.135ktus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.125MIG features overview 6.5.128MIG features	Table 120 Table 120 Table 120	Jurther possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveake pending-payments (as today in the current 12 environment) for "cash account", please set only the CB and change the tootroot as follows: "The cash account object tasis includes the TBS CMMs, which apyment basis may create and maintain", Or, etke, please add a new line for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the S0 for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "ain". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actions in U2A can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on the way privileges are granted to the user (two or four-eyes mode). As the Central Banks need to verify if the authorised account	Clarification Rejected Accepted Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS UDPS, we prefer to keep the current wording.
423 424 425	283 283 283 268	5.5.135ktus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.125MIG features overview 6.5.128MIG features	Table 120 Table 120 Table 120	Jurther possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveke pending-payments (as today in the current t2 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, etc., please add a new line (or TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SO for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "all". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actors in UAA can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on the way privileges are granted to the use (two or four-eyes mode). As the Central Banks need to verify if the authorised account users, the respective set-up in TSS will be performed via the	Clarification Rejected Accepted Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS UDPS, we prefer to keep the current wording.
423 424 425	283 283 283	5.5.135ktus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.125MIG features overview 6.5.128MIG features	Table 120 Table 120 Table 120	Jurther possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveake pending-payments (as today in the current 12 environment) for "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: "The cash account object tasis includes the TSC CMBs, which apyment basis may create and maintain", Or, etie, please add a new line for TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. "Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the S0 for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "ain". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actions in U2A can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on the way privileges are granted to the user (two or four-eyes mode). As the Central Banks need to verify if the authorised account users, fulf the necessary criteria to be authorised account users, fulf the necessary criteria to be authorised account users, the respective set-up in TTPS will be performed in bases.	Clarification Rejected Accepted Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS UDPS, we prefer to keep the current wording.
423 424 425	283 283 283 268	5.5.153tut management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.153MG features overview 6.5.155MG features overview 6.5.152MG reatures	Table 120 Table 120 Table 120	Jurther possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveke pending-payments (as today in the current t2 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, etc., please add a new line (or TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SO for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "all". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actors in UAA can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on the way privileges are granted to the use (two or four-eyes mode). As the Central Banks need to verify if the authorised account users, the respective set-up in TSS will be performed via the	Clarification Rejected Accepted Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS UDPS, we prefer to keep the current wording. Confirmed, this is fully in line with the T2S model.
423 424 425	283 283 283 268	5.5.135ktus management process 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.1ESMIG features overview 6.5.125MIG features overview 6.5.128MIG features	Table 120 Table 120 Table 120	Jurther possible to be partial paid. We expect that it is possible to reveake pending payments (as today in the current 12 environment) For "cash account", please set only the CB and change the footnote as follows: The cash account object also includes the TIPS CMBs, which payment banks may create and maintain". Or, etc., please add a new line (or TIPS CMBs, being the responsible actor the Payment banks. Please add in the table the CRDM actor responsible for defining the SO for reservation. In "grantee privilege" please change Operator, CB, payment bank for "all". Can you please confirm that all the CRDM actions in U2A can be performed in both two or four-eyes mode, depending on two sty horizes are granted to the use (two or four-eyes mode). As the Central Banks need to verify if the authorised account users, the respective set-up in TPS will be performed via the Centra Banks. We assume that the same will be applicable for dure services in case it is necessary to set. Authorised account other services, in case it is necessary to set. Authorised account other services, in case it is necessary to set. Authorised account other services, in case it is necessary to set. Authorised account other services, in case it is necessary to set. Authorised account other services, in case it is necessary change.	Clarification Rejected Accepted Accepted	clarifies the general status concept, it does not include all pecularities. Yes, it is possible. As this is specifically relevant to TIPS and it was already approved in this way in the CRDM-TIPS UDPS, we prefer to keep the current wording.