

Import Competition, Productivity and Multi-Product Firms (Dhyne, Petrin, Smeets and Warzynski)

Comments

Marcel Timmer

Groningen Growth and Development Centre, University of Groningen

Presentation at ECB conference "Enhancing competitiveness and fostering sustainable growth: methodological issues and empirical results", 25/26 June, Frankfurt



Main findings

- Massive data effort: firm-product-quarterly data on output and inputs for Belgian manufacturing, 1995Q1-2007Q4 period (925,641 observations)
- Step 1: measuring firm-product level TFP with "hybrid" measure based on Diewert (1973)
- Step 2: regressing firm-product level TFP on import competition measures based on imports (in same product code)
- > Main findings: TFP reacts positively on increased competition
 - mainly by improving TFP in production of core product
 - > And less in "minor" products



Method:

The **requirements for the Diewert (1973) model to hold** are not innocuous:

- declining marginal rates of transformation of outputs for inputs (among at least some subset of inputs, Lau 1976): likely given (high) fixed costs?
- Substitution of inputs for inputs: will different products use same inputs in (*I*, *k* and <u>m</u>)? Short run substitution possibilities might be limited, given installed machinery.
- Did you test for assumptions to hold (locally) (Diewert and Wales 2005)?
- More involved: do assumptions imply the finding concerning lower ranked products?



Data:

- > Deflation of **intermediate inputs?**.
- > The (dis)advantages of high-frequency data:
 - Role of inventories (differ across products)
 - Picking up effects of high-frequency shocks to demand on TFP

Results:

- What is the underlying mechanism driving productivity growth? Within firm specialisation?
- Long-run (dynamic) versus short-run (efficiency) effects: what do we want to pick up, for policy?
- Alternative interpretation: increasing (cheaper) intermediate imports improve measured TFP if price decline due to source switching is not accounted for (Houseman and follow up work)?



Implicit underlying model of competition in final products

- Some basic stats for Belgian manufacturing in 2011
 - Value added / gross output ratio is 20% (17% cars to 42% in pharma) in 2011
 - Imported intermediate inputs / gross output ratio is 42%
- Belgium is competing in *tasks*, and price/quality of imports will be determinant of its competitiveness
- Future work: distinction between final and intermediate imports (based on BEC, 8-digit)?