Debt Fragility and Monetary Policy

Antoine Camous* Russell Cooper**

*European University Institute

**Penn State University

December 11, 2014

From Corsetti and Dedola

[T]he proposition [is] that countries without a printing press are subject to self-fulfilling crises in a way that nations that still have a currency of their own are not.

P. Krugman, August 17, 2011

Motivation Environment

Real Debt Fragility Nominal Debt Fragility Conclusions

Research question

Can monetary interventions eliminate expectations driven debt fragility?

Answer

- NO if there is inflation targeting
- NO if there is discretion
- YES if there is one period commitment to 'lean against the winds'

Message: Stability depends on commitment of monetary authority.

Research question

Can monetary interventions eliminate expectations driven debt fragility?

Answer

- NO if there is inflation targeting
- NO if there is discretion
- YES if there is one period commitment to 'lean against the winds'

Message: Stability depends on commitment of monetary authority.

I - Environment

OLG economy

- <u>Infinite horizon</u>: *t* = 0, 1, 2, ...
- Two periods lived agents with preferences:

$$E[u(c')-g(n')]-g(n)$$

assume u(c) = c and $g(n) = \frac{n^2}{2}$

- Linear production
- Young: $y = z_{ heta} n$, where $z_{ heta} \in \{1, z\}$, heterogeneity
- Old: y = An, where $A \sim [A_I, A_h]$, CDF F(.), aggregate shock

OLG economy

- Saving technologies
- Money
- Intermediated claims, participation cost Г
 - \rightarrow Storage with real risk-free return R
 - \rightarrow Government one-period nominal bonds

Policy Interventions Impact Agents

- Labor taxes on old agents
- Inflation tax from money printing
- Outright default

OLG economy

- Saving technologies
- Money
- Intermediated claims, participation cost Г
 - \rightarrow Storage with real risk-free return R
 - \rightarrow Government one-period nominal bonds
- Policy Interventions Impact Agents
- Labor taxes on old agents
- Inflation tax from money printing
- Outright default

Poor agents - money holder

Mass ν^m with young-age productivity $z_{ heta} = 1$

$$\max E\big[u(c') - g(n')\big] - g(n)$$

s.t. real budget constraints:

$$n = m$$

 $c' = An'(1 - \tau) + m \tilde{\pi}$

Notes:

- m is real money holding
- $\tilde{\pi}$ is the <code>inverse</code> gross inflation rate
- au is labor income tax

3

Rich agents - intermediated

Mass u^I with young-age productivity $z_{ heta} = z > 1$

$$\max E\big[u(c')-g(n')\big]-g(n)$$

s.t. real budget constraints:

$$zn = m + s + \Gamma$$

$$s = b' + k$$

$$c' = An'(1 - \tau) + Rk + (1 + i)\tilde{\pi}b' + m\tilde{\pi}$$

No-arbitrage condition on nominal bond $\underbrace{(1+i)\tilde{\pi}^{e}}_{real\ return} \underbrace{(1-P(d))}_{real\ return} = R \qquad (NAC)$

7 / 32

Rich agents - intermediated

Mass u^{\prime} with young-age productivity $z_{ heta} = z > 1$

$$\max E\big[u(c')-g(n')\big]-g(n)$$

s.t. real budget constraints:

$$zn = m + s + \Gamma$$

$$s = b' + k$$

$$c' = An'(1 - \tau) + Rk + (1 + i)\tilde{\pi}b' + m\tilde{\pi}$$

No-arbitrage condition on nominal bond $\underbrace{(1+i)\tilde{\pi}^{e}}_{real\ return} \underbrace{(1-P(d))}_{real\ return} = R \qquad (NAC)$

7 / 32

Fiscal Policy

- Issue nominal bond B to finance given real expenses b
- Under repayment real budget constraint by generation

$$(1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = \tau \left(\nu^m A n_o^m(\tau) + \nu^l A n_o^l(\tau)\right) + \frac{\Delta M}{P}$$

• Resources from Seignorage σ is money growth

$$\frac{\Delta M}{P} = \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma} \nu^m m = (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m$$

• Using policy functions:

$$\Rightarrow (1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = A^2\tau(1-\tau) + (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m \qquad (\mathbf{GB})$$

where:

$$m = n_y^m = E(\tilde{\pi}) = \tilde{\pi}^e$$

うへぐ 8/32

Fiscal Policy

- Issue nominal bond B to finance given real expenses b
- Under repayment real budget constraint by generation

$$(1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = \tau \left(\nu^{m}An_{o}^{m}(\tau) + \nu^{I}An_{o}^{I}(\tau)\right) + \frac{\Delta M}{P}$$

• Resources from Seignorage σ is money growth

$$\frac{\Delta M}{P} = \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma} \nu^m m = (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m$$

• Using policy functions:

$$\Rightarrow (1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = A^2\tau(1-\tau) + (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m \qquad (\mathbf{G})$$

where:

$$m=n_y^m=E(\tilde{\pi})=\tilde{\pi}^e$$

うへで 8/32

Fiscal Policy

- Issue nominal bond B to finance given real expenses b
- Under repayment real budget constraint by generation

$$(1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = \tau \left(\nu^{m}An_{o}^{m}(\tau) + \nu^{I}An_{o}^{I}(\tau)\right) + \frac{\Delta M}{P}$$

• Resources from Seignorage σ is money growth

$$\frac{\Delta M}{P} = \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma} \nu^m m = (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m$$

• Using policy functions:

$$\Rightarrow (1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = A^2\tau(1-\tau) + (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m \qquad (\mathbf{GB})$$

where:

$$m = n_y^m = E(\tilde{\pi}) = \tilde{\pi}^e$$

うへで 8/32

Fiscal Policy

- Issue nominal bond B to finance given real expenses b
- Under repayment real budget constraint by generation

$$(1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = \tau \left(\nu^{m}An_{o}^{m}(\tau) + \nu^{I}An_{o}^{I}(\tau)\right) + \frac{\Delta M}{P}$$

• Resources from Seignorage *σ* is money growth

$$\frac{\Delta M}{P} = \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma} \nu^m m = (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m$$

• Using policy functions:

$$\Rightarrow (1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = A^2\tau(1-\tau) + (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m \qquad (GBC)$$

where:

$$m = n_y^m = E(ilde{\pi}) = ilde{\pi}^e$$

ର ୯.୦ 8 / 32

Fiscal Policy

- Issue nominal bond B to finance given real expenses b
- Under repayment real budget constraint by generation

$$(1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = \tau \left(\nu^{m}An_{o}^{m}(\tau) + \nu^{I}An_{o}^{I}(\tau)\right) + \frac{\Delta M}{P}$$

• Resources from Seignorage *σ* is money growth

$$\frac{\Delta M}{P} = \frac{\sigma}{1+\sigma} \nu^m m = (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m$$

• Using policy functions:

$$\Rightarrow (1+i)\tilde{\pi}b = A^2\tau(1-\tau) + (1-\tilde{\pi})\nu^m m \qquad (\mathsf{GBC}$$

where:

Default

$$W^{r}(A, i, m, \tau, \tilde{\pi}^{r}) = \frac{\left[A(1-\tau)\right]^{2}}{2} + \nu^{m}m\tilde{\pi}^{r} + (1) \\ ((1+i)\tilde{\pi}^{r} - R)\theta b + \nu^{I}R(Rz^{2} - \Gamma).$$

$$W^{d}(A, i, m, \tilde{\pi}^{d}) = \frac{\left[A(1-\gamma)\right]^{2}}{2} + \nu^{m}m\tilde{\pi}^{d} - R\theta b + \nu^{I}R(Rz^{2}-\Gamma) + T$$
(2)

<ロト < 部 > < 言 > < 言 > 言 の Q (C 9/32

• Optimal strategic default if:

$$\Delta(.) = W^d(.) - W^r(.) \ge 0$$
 (OSD)

where, for $h \in \{d, r\}$

$$W^{h}(.) = \sum_{j \in \{m,l\}} \nu^{j} \left[u(c_{o}^{j}) - g(n_{o}^{j}) \right]$$

- γ is default cost impacts old productivity
- A share θ is held by domestic agents
- no intergenerational effects of default

• Optimal strategic default if:

$$\Delta(.) = W^d(.) - W^r(.) \ge 0$$
 (OSD)

where, for $h \in \{d, r\}$

$$W^{h}(.) = \sum_{j \in \{m,l\}} \nu^{j} \left[u(c_{o}^{j}) - g(n_{o}^{j}) \right]$$

- γ is default cost impacts old productivity
- A share θ is held by domestic agents
- no intergenerational effects of default

• Optimal strategic default if:

$$\Delta(.) = W^d(.) - W^r(.) \ge 0$$
 (OSD)

where, for $h \in \{d, r\}$

$$W^{h}(.) = \sum_{j \in \{m,l\}} \nu^{j} \left[u(c_{o}^{j}) - g(n_{o}^{j}) \right]$$

- γ is default cost impacts old productivity
- A share θ is held by domestic agents
- no intergenerational effects of default

• Optimal strategic default if:

$$\Delta(.) = W^d(.) - W^r(.) \ge 0$$
 (OSD)

where, for $h \in \{d, r\}$

$$W^{h}(.) = \sum_{j \in \{m,l\}} \nu^{j} \left[u(c_{o}^{j}) - g(n_{o}^{j}) \right]$$

- $\bullet \ \gamma$ is default cost impacts old productivity
- A share θ is held by domestic agents
- no intergenerational effects of default

Some Structure

Assumption (Costly Default)

$$\frac{A_l^2\gamma(2-\gamma)}{2} > \nu^m. \tag{A.2}$$

if no tax, then no default

Assumption (No Default Equilibrium)

 $b < \bar{b}$ where

$$ar{b} = rac{A_I^2(1-\gamma)\gamma}{R}.$$

Real Debt Fragility

Modified Environment

- non-monetary economy
- $\bullet\,$ all savings through intermediary, $\Gamma=0$
- all debt held abroad

•
$$n_y = R; n_0 = A(1 - \tau)$$

• builds on Calvo(1988), Cooper (2012) not Cole-Kehoe

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

REAL Multiplicity

• government defaults for $A < \bar{A}(i)$, with :

$$\bar{A}(i)^2 = \frac{(1+i)b}{\gamma(1-\gamma)}.$$
(3)

No arbitrage

$$(1+i)\Big(1-F\big(\bar{A}(i)\big)\Big)=R.$$
(4)

• Underlying Complementarity as $F(\bar{A}(i))$ increases in *i*

Proposition

If government debt has value, then there are multiple interest rates that solve the no-arbitrage condition (4).

independent of b > 0

うへで 13/32

REAL Multiplicity

• government defaults for $A < \bar{A}(i)$, with :

$$\bar{A}(i)^2 = \frac{(1+i)b}{\gamma(1-\gamma)}.$$
(3)

• No arbitrage

$$(1+i)\Big(1-F\big(\bar{A}(i)\big)\Big)=R. \tag{4}$$

• Underlying Complementarity as $F(\bar{A}(i))$ increases in *i*

Proposition

If government debt has value, then there are multiple interest rates that solve the no-arbitrage condition (4).

• independent of b > 0

うへで 13/32

REAL Multiplicity

• government defaults for $A < \bar{A}(i)$, with :

$$\bar{A}(i)^2 = \frac{(1+i)b}{\gamma(1-\gamma)}.$$
(3)

No arbitrage

$$(1+i)\Big(1-F\big(\bar{A}(i)\big)\Big)=R. \tag{4}$$

• Underlying Complementarity as $F(\bar{A}(i))$ increases in i

Proposition

If government debt has value, then there are multiple interest rates that solve the no-arbitrage condition (4).

• independent of b > 0

REAL Multiplicity

• government defaults for $A < \bar{A}(i)$, with :

$$\bar{A}(i)^2 = \frac{(1+i)b}{\gamma(1-\gamma)}.$$
(3)

No arbitrage

$$(1+i)\Big(1-F\big(\bar{A}(i)\big)\Big)=R.$$
(4)

• Underlying Complementarity as $F(\bar{A}(i))$ increases in i

Proposition

If government debt has value, then there are multiple interest rates that solve the no-arbitrage condition (4).

• independent of b > 0

うへで 13/32

REAL Multiplicity

• government defaults for $A < \bar{A}(i)$, with :

$$\bar{A}(i)^2 = \frac{(1+i)b}{\gamma(1-\gamma)}.$$
(3)

No arbitrage

$$(1+i)\Big(1-F\big(\bar{A}(i)\big)\Big)=R. \tag{4}$$

• Underlying Complementarity as $F(\bar{A}(i))$ increases in i

Proposition

If government debt has value, then there are multiple interest rates that solve the no-arbitrage condition (4).

- independent of b > 0
 - 1 11 . 1.1

ର ୧୦ 13 / 32





Key: continuity; equilibrium with certain default

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Monetary policy rules and debt fragility

Question: Does monetary intervention eliminate fragility?

- 1) Monetary delegation
 - Monetary policy independence
 - Credible commitment technology
 - Forms of intervention
 - Strict inflation targeting
 - state dependent interventions

2) Monetary discretion

 Fiscal and monetary choices jointly determined and discretionary

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Monetary policy rules and debt fragility

Question: Does monetary intervention eliminate fragility?

- 1) Monetary delegation
 - Monetary policy independence
 - Credible commitment technology
 - Forms of intervention
 - Strict inflation targeting
 - state dependent interventions

2) Monetary discretion

- Fiscal and monetary choices jointly determined and discretionary

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

State variables: $S = (A, i, m, s, s_{-1})$

Exogenous state variables

- A aggregate technological shock
- Sunspot variable to capture debt fragility
 - $s \in \{s^o, s^p\}$ shocks to investors confidence
- \rightarrow if $s = s^o$, debt is "risk-free"
- $\rightarrow\,$ if $s=s^p,$ pessimistic investors coordinate on lowest price, highest risk

Predetermined state variables

- *m* real money tax base
- i nominal interest rate

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

State variables: $S = (A, i, m, s, s_{-1})$

Exogenous state variables

- A aggregate technological shock
- Sunspot variable to capture debt fragility
 - $s \in \{s^o, s^p\}$ shocks to investors confidence
- \rightarrow if $s = s^o$, debt is "risk-free"
- $\rightarrow\,$ if $s=s^{p},$ pessimistic investors coordinate on lowest price, highest risk

Predetermined state variables

- *m* real money tax base
- *i* nominal interest rate

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Definition

A Stationary Rational Expectations Equilibrium (SREE) is given by:

- The labor supply and savings decisions of private agents given state contingent monetary and fiscal policies ({τ(S), π(S), D(S)}), for all S.
- The government maximizes its welfare criterion by choosing a policy ({τ(S), π(S), D(S)}) subject to the government budget constraint, for all S.
- All markets clear (goods, money, bonds) for all \mathcal{S} .

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

II.1 - Monetary delegation

Overview

- Inflation target:

$$\tilde{\pi}(\mathcal{S}) = \tilde{\pi}^* \quad \forall \mathcal{S}.$$
(5)

- With strict inflation targeting, nominal debt is "real"

Steps in Analysis

- critical A partitions repayment and default regions
- multiple solutions to debt valuation equation
- sunspot equilibrium constructed.

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Lemma (Monotone default decision)

Under Assumption 1, given a level of real expenses $(1 + i)\tilde{\pi}^*b$, there is a unique $\bar{A}(i) \in [A_I, A_h]$ such that if $A \leq \bar{A}(i)$, then the treasury defaults on its debt. Otherwise it repays its debt.

Lemma (Multiple Solutions)

Under Assumptions 1 and 2, for any inflation target $0 < \tilde{\pi}^* \leq 1$, there are multiple interest rates that solve the no-arbitrage condition, (NAC).

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Lemma (Monotone default decision)

Under Assumption 1, given a level of real expenses $(1 + i)\tilde{\pi}^*b$, there is a unique $\bar{A}(i) \in [A_I, A_h]$ such that if $A \leq \bar{A}(i)$, then the treasury defaults on its debt. Otherwise it repays its debt.

Lemma (Multiple Solutions)

Under Assumptions 1 and 2, for any inflation target $0 < \tilde{\pi}^* \le 1$, there are multiple interest rates that solve the no-arbitrage condition, (NAC).
Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Fragility under Inflation Target

Proposition

Under Assumption 1 and 2, for any $0 < \tilde{\pi}^* \leq 1$, there is a sunspot equilibrium with the following characteristics:

- If $s_{-1} = s^{\circ}$, the government security is risk free and the treasury reimburses with probability 1.
- If $s_{-1} = s^p$, the interest rate incorporates a risk-premium and the treasury defaults on its debt with positive probability.

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Figure: Multiplicity of Interest Rates under Monetary Delegation



21 / 32

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

(日) (同) (三) (三)

Proposition

In the equilibrium characterized in Proposition 2, for $\tilde{\pi}^* \geq \tilde{\pi}^L$, an increase in the target inflation rate will increase seignorage and lower the probability of default if and only if the equilibrium is locally stable.

 $(\tilde{\pi}^L$ is the top of the Laffer Curve)

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Discretion

- 1) *ex post* choice of $(\tau, \tilde{\pi}, D)$ given S
- 2) maximizes welfare of home agents
- 3) money holdings of old are given
- 4) inflation ceiling: $\tilde{\pi} \geq \underline{\tilde{\pi}}$

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

24 / 32

Choice Problem of Government

$$D \in \{r, d\} = \operatorname{argmax} \left[\max_{\tau, \tilde{\pi}^r} W^r(A, i, m, \tau, \tilde{\pi}^r), W^d(A, i, m, \tilde{\pi}^d) \right]$$
(6)

subject to:

$$(1+i)\tilde{\pi}^{r}b = A^{2}(1-\tau)\tau + \nu^{m}m(1-\tilde{\pi}^{r}) \quad \text{(if repayment)} \quad (7)$$

$$\tau \ge 0, \qquad \tilde{\pi}^{d} \in [\underline{\tilde{\pi}}, 1]. \quad (8)$$

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Choice

Lemma

Under Assumption 1, given (A, m, i), the policy choices of the discretionary government are:

- if the government chooses to repay its debt, then
 - $\tilde{\pi}^r = \max\left\{\underline{\tilde{\pi}}, \Pi(i, m)\right\}$, where $\Pi(i, m) = \frac{\nu^m m}{(1+i)b+\nu^m m}$,
 - $\tau > 0$ and solves the government budget constraint if and only if $\tilde{\pi}^r = \underline{\tilde{\pi}}$.
- if the government chooses to default, then $\tau = 0$ and $\tilde{\pi}^d = \underline{\tilde{\pi}}$.
- the government chooses to default if and only if

$$\frac{[A(1-\gamma)]^2}{2} - \frac{[A(1-\tau)]^2}{2} +$$

$$\nu^m m(1-\underline{\tilde{\pi}}) - (1+i)\underline{\tilde{\pi}}\theta b > 0$$
(9)

25 / 32

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Fragility under Discretion

Proposition

Under Assumptions 1 and 2, there is a SREE under discretion with the following properties:

If s₋₁ = s^o, government debt is risk free as the treasury reimburses with probability 1, with either:
a. if 0 < b < b̂, then π̃^e(s^o) > π̃ and for all A all s, π̃(A, s, ·) > π̃, τ(A, s, ·) = 0, D(A, s, ·) = r,
b. if b̂ ≤ b < b̄, then π̃^e(s^o) = π̃ and for all A all s, π̃(A, s, ·) = π̃, τ(A, s, ·) > 0, D(A, s, ·) = r.
If s₋₁ = s^p, the interest rate incorporates a risk-premium. For all A, π̃(A, ·) = π̃. The treasury defaults on its debt for all A < Ā where Ā ∈ (A_l, A_b) and π̃^e(s^p) = π̃.

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Modeling $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}$

- triggers punishment in reputation equilibrium Chari et al.
- direct costs of inflation Aguiar, et al., Corsetti-Dedola
- sticky prices
- partial commitment
- costly redistribution
- comparative static:

Proposition

In the sunspot equilibrium characterized in Proposition 4, if $\underline{\tilde{\pi}} > \overline{\pi}^L$, then a reduction in the inflation ceiling (i.e. an increase in $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}$) will (i) increase the magnitude of taxes when $s_{-1} = s^o$ and (ii) increase the probability of default under $s_{-1} = s^p$, if and only if the equilibrium is locally stable.

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Modeling $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}$

- triggers punishment in reputation equilibrium Chari et al.
- direct costs of inflation Aguiar, et al., Corsetti-Dedola
- sticky prices
- partial commitment
- costly redistribution
- o comparative static:

Proposition

In the sunspot equilibrium characterized in Proposition 4, if $\underline{\tilde{\pi}} > \overline{\pi}^L$, then a reduction in the inflation ceiling (i.e. an increase in $\underline{\tilde{\pi}}$) will (i) increase the magnitude of taxes when $s_{-1} = s^o$ and (ii) increase the probability of default under $s_{-1} = s^p$, if and only if the equilibrium is locally stable.

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Leaning Against the Winds

The Policy

- $\tilde{\pi}(A, i, s^o) = \tilde{\pi}^*$ for all (A, i)
- pessimism
- $\tilde{\pi}(A, i, s^p)$ deters partial default: given A and i
- $\int_A \tilde{\pi}(A, i, s^p) dF(A) = \tilde{\pi}^*$

Why Leaning?

- A
- for low A, use inflation tax
- for high A, use fiscal policy
- debt burden
- for high *i*, allow default for all A
- for low *i*, repay for all A

ି ବ (୦ 28 / 32

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Leaning Against the Winds

The Policy

- $\tilde{\pi}(A, i, s^o) = \tilde{\pi}^*$ for all (A, i)
- pessimism
- $\tilde{\pi}(A, i, s^p)$ deters partial default: given A and i

•
$$\int_A \tilde{\pi}(A, i, s^p) dF(A) = \tilde{\pi}^*$$

Why Leaning?

- A
- for low A, use inflation tax
- for high A, use fiscal policy
- debt burden
- for high *i*, allow default for all A
- for low *i*, repay for all A

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Figure: State Dependent Monetary and Fiscal Policy



(b) Fiscal Policy

The left panel represents the state dependent monetary policy to which the central bank commits. The right panel represents the induced fiscal policy. The dependence of the policies on the sunspot and realized productivity are displayed.

Monetary Delegation Discretion Contingent Commitment

Proposition

Under Assumptions 2 and 3, when the monetary authority commits to $\tilde{\pi}(A, i, s_{-1})$ debt is uniquely valued and risk-free. Debt fragility is eliminated.

Conclusions

- interventionist monetary policy can eliminate debt fragility
- inflation target will not
- discretion creates some revenue but does not generally eliminate fragility

To Ponder

- European Union and bailout
- adding more or modifying asset structure

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン 三日

32 / 32

- reputation effects
- costly inflation
- partial commitment
- sticky prices