Comments on:

Determinants of global spillovers from US monetary policy by Georgios Georgiadis, European Central Bank **Stijn Claessens ECB-IMF** Conference on International Dimensions of Conventional and Unconventional Monetary Policies 29 - 30 April 2014, Frankfurt am Main

Question and Answer

- How much does US monetary policy spillover to other countries' real economies?
- Using G-VAR with sign restrictions, finds much support for large <u>economic</u> spillovers
 - US MPO has large impact on GDP, even larger than on US'
 - Effects depend on country's economic, institutional and other characteristics (trade and financial integration), and can differ between Advanced and Emerging Economies
 - But: capital controls do not matter; some policies have costs
 - Robust to different periods, restrictions, measures of Δ MPO

Relevance: Surely a worthwhile topic

- Recent developments have led to large demand for better assessments of (monetary policy) spillovers
- Thinking used to be MPO spillovers were small with just nominal frictions, and limited welfare gains of MPO coordination internationally
- But these models had limited financial frictions and no other non-linearities or convexities
- Some recent models have started to question this
- And much empirical evidence suggests the presence of a global financial cycle influenced in part by monetary policy in advanced countries

Main Comments

Empirics ahead of theory and data in this area Clearly do not have good model as to what drives what And do not have enough detailed data for channels Analysis is very US MPO centric, but why it alone? ■ No obvious reasons to expect only US, or it is a proxy? ■ Could be other than (just) MPO? Hard time believing fully magnitudes/robustness ■ Find very large impacts of US MPO: something else? Doing analysis in two stages could be problematic + questions of robustness in 2nd stage given few DOF

Is it US MPO?

- Financial cycle is more general and global
 - Cycle is commonality in: many dimensions MPO, financial conditions, VIX, etc.; and many countries
- Is it thus MPO?
 - "Cannot rule out US business cycle spills over"
 - Even just financial cycle: can test also the leverage, balance sheets, risks appetite, etc. channels of Rey, Bruno-Shin, etc., using (similar) sign restrictions?
- And is US MPO unique?
 - Test MPO of €, £, ¥, etc. Also to compare sizes

Econometric methodology

Not obvious two steps is best way to do ■ G-VAR provides impulses. Then take the lowest (trough) in 2nd stage. Regress on number of variables ■ But comes at a cost, very few degrees of freedom in 2nd stage, with many correlated country variables Instead: do G-VAR by groups of countries Classify a priori classification, see if coefficients vary Or try interactions with prior variables 1st stage E.g., make impact function of capital controls

1st Stage: identification and other questions

- Identification in 1st stage VARX is key
 - Have more faith in methods other than sign restrict
 - UMP vs. MP. Use some quantities?
- A few other, more minor quibbles
 - Careful using euro as numeraire given large exchange rate movements and its endogeneity
 - Consider wider euro area (e.g., also Denmark)
 - Study longer periods (before euro, since US MPO)
 Odd classifications (e.g., why is Norway non-oil?)

If still using 2nd Stage, then..

Reconsider dependent variable in 2nd stage Not so obvious should be actual trough in GDP ■ Varies by country. Make timing of trough common? Reduce and adapt independent variables Now long list, good on one hand (to do horse races) ■ But correlated (BTW show correlations!) ■ Use uni-variate first to select, then run with those Less attempt to control for all other factors

Relate variables in 2nd stage more to (US) MPO and AEs vs. EMs Try variables more obviously related to US MPO Use bilateral financial and trade links with US Other suggestions and robustness here Try (other) RHS variables clearly related to monetary policy channels, e.g., state of banking systems, wholesale (FX) funding, macro-prudential policies, etc. Advanced vs. Emerging Economy: not obvious Distinction small once institutional, development etc. variables used; should capture differences AE vs. EM. In other words, what can be left?

Policy Interpretation

Be more careful here on interpretation Obvious that less financial integration, lower development, "worse" country \Rightarrow more insulated But also hurts local growth and inefficient (globally) And need to know all economic magnitudes Policy lessons (to be developed) ■ Formally need to find externalities of (US) MPO Not clear ability to differentiate sufficient this way Related literature, other papers on spillovers missing Bruno and Shin; Rey;